## COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS FOR DIVERSION OF FOREST LAND

Nature of proposal S. Applicable Remarks N /not applicable 1. All categories of proposal Applicable These proposals May Be involving forest land up to20 Considered on a Case-to hectares in plains and up to 5 Case basisand Value hectares in hills judgment Proposal for defense installation 2. Not applicable In a view of a national priority purpose and oil prospecting accorded to these proposals (prospecting) would becritically assessed to holden Matheway help ascertain that the upmost minimum forest land is diverted for non-forest use 3. Habitation ,establishment of Not applicable These activates being industrial units tourist lodge detrimental to protection complex and other Building and conservation of Construction Self diaman Said proposals would be rarely entertained "我帮你的……" State of the second 2. 小学校的 4. All other proposal involving forest Applicable These are cases where a cost land more than more benefit analysisis necessary than 20 hectare in plains andmore todetermine when diverting than 5 Hectares in hills including the forest land to non forest roadstransmission lines minor use in the overall public medium and major irrigation interest projects hydro projects mining activity railway line location specific installations like micro wave stations auto repeater centers TV towers etc.

Table-A:CasesunderwhichaCost-henefitanalysis forforest diversionis required

Since the proposal is for diversion of forest land measuring more than 20 hectare in partly plane and partly in hilly area for road project cost benefit analysis report is applicable

A Salah a nake sa a a fill a sana

Pablo	K2. 1	C I maint	tion of	fcosto	fforest	diver	SION
6 38 5 1 1 6	THE REAL	[14] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1	BUSH THE IN P. N. A.	and the second se	doe not the sector sector and the own		ALL AND REAL PROPERTY.

	Table	-B: Estimation of cost of forest dive	Evaluation
S.N	Parameters	Given Guideline	
1.	Ecosystem services losses due to proposed forest diversion	Economic value of loss of ecosystem diversion of forest shall be the net present value (NPV) of the forest land being diverted as prescribed by central government (MOEF&CC) Note in case of National parks the NPV shall be ten (10) times the normal NPV and in case Wildlife sanctuary the NPV shall be five (5) times the normal NPV or otherwise prescribed by the ministry or any other competent authority Note-1 : Net present value (NPV) of environment and ecosystem services loss ;- The concept of Net present Value of the forest land diverted is a scientific method of calculating the environmental cost and other losses caused due to diversion of forest land for non- forestry purposes. The NPV represents the net value of various ecosystem services in monetary terms which the forest would have provided if the forest would not have been diverted	NPY Value tas per through Handbook/Guideline dated 18/03/2019) of forest land is in between Rs 6,99,000 to Rs 10,43,000 per hectare Most part Of Project road passing through reverse forest area (eco class -2 tropical Dry Deciduous Forest Dense Forest and per ha. NPV rate is considered Rs.9,39,000 (as per forest Handbook Guideline 18/03/2019 Foe NPV For 22.8 Ha will be INR 18,914,000/- Rupees
2.	Loss of animal husbandry productivity including loss of Folder	expressed in monetary terms or 10%of NPV applicable whichever is maximum.	Loss of animal husbandry due to proposed diversion is moderate and calculatedGross loss @5 ton/ha./year@Rs per ton . Therefore loss of fodder as estimated for about 22.8hect. Will be 22.8x5x100=Rs11400Yr x50 year = Rs570000/- or 5.7 lakh or 0.1x18,914,000=INR 18,91,400/
3.	Cost of human resettlement	To be quantified and	Nillas no human resettlement is required in forest land .

	Loss of public facilities and administrative infrastructure (Roads, building ,school, dispensaries , electric	expressed in monetary terms on actual cost basis at the time of diversion.	No less of public infrastructure like Roads, hospital etc are investigated. However at few locations there will be some utility shifting like electricity pole telephone line OFC cable etc, from proposed row located in forest land.
	Possession value of forest land diverted	<ul> <li>30% of environmental cost (NPV) due to loss of forest or circle rate of adjoining areain the district should beadded as a cost component as possession value of forestland whichever is maximum.</li> <li>Note2: passion value of forest land Diverted : The forest land diverted for the project such as irrigation hydropower railways roads wind and transmission lines mining etc are unlikely to be returned and remains in possession of the user agencies therefore 3% of the net present value (NPV) of forest land</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>land will be (considering 30% of NPV = 0.3x 189.14</li> <li>Lakh = 56.742 Lakh</li> <li>For the purpose of estimation average per hectare average of the rate of all these three districts has been taken which are follows</li> <li>In district Gunaper hectare rate of nearby area (project road non forest land is Rs 250000 to 450000 Average Rate = R</li> </ul>
6.	Cost of suffering to oustees	oustees (in addition tothe cost likely to be incurred in providing residenceoccupation and social services as per R&R plan) be worked out as 1.5 times of what oustee should have earned in two years have he not been shifted	Rehabilitation is identified or required in forest land which is proposed to be diverted. Also, the community residing along the project road is not dependent on forest or forest produce.
7	7. Habitat Fragmentation Cost	While the relationship between fragmentation and forest goods and services iscomplex ,for the sake of simplicity the cost due to fragmentation has been pegged at 50% of NPN applicable as a thumb rule.	of NPV that is Rs189.14 lakh x0.5 94.57 lakh

Keeping in view of similar The actual cost of compensatory 8. Compensatory calculation in neighboring afforestation (LeGuna) of soll district and moisture & Madhyapredesh, the CA cost per conservation and its considered maintenance in future hectare is at Rs829561.40 per hectare for present d estimation purpose. It will be Is counted value. further updated once concerned DFO office will provide actual CA estimate. So, CA cost 22.8hect. x 2 x Rs 829561.40 = Rs37,828,000 OR Rs378.28 Lakh

> Divisional Manager M.P.R.D.C. Gwallor

生物生产的 的复数

CI	Parameter	Given Guideline	Evaluation
	Parameter Increase in productively attribute to the specific project	Given Guideline To be quantified & expressed monetary terms avoiding double counting	
			Project road is to be developed as a lane road to provide smooth and reduced time connectivity between Aron via VidholiyaRadhogerh Road.

## Table - C - Existing guideline for estimation benefits of forest diversion in CBA

	Dama Chi ba annual	The in many set of a second	Economic benefit in terms of increase
÷.		The incremental economic	in trade, tourism, saving in vehicular
	and to specific		operation and maintenance cost
	burdherr.	due	operation and maintenance cost
		to the activities attributed	better connectivity, safer. journey to
		to the specific project	commuter and saving of travel time.
			Improved road connectivity helps in
			better implementation and
1			management of government schemes
			It will provide fast and economica
			transport of goods. After completion
			the local people and industries
analis an			situated in the area will be greatly
Sec. 1			benefited. The widening of project
a citate a			road will provide safe, fast
and the second			economical and environment friendly
The second se			transportation to the State which in
			term will accelerate the rate o
			growth in this area.
	ALL AND		"In addition to that there are severa
			other benefits that may accrue due to
			saving in fuel, reduction in time to
ł			commute, vehicle maintenance
1			reduction in carbon emission and
lotter of the			man animal conflict and animal kill in
and the second			road accident etc. however they have
States of			not been quantified as it will be a
			function of various govt. policy
÷.			variables." Exact quantification of the
			value is not possible as it is time and
			policy dependent.
1			
in the second			
1			
-			
Sector de			
No. of Concession, Name			
No. of Concession, Name			
ŧ.			

64) (1)	No. of population benefited due to specific project	As per detailed project report	The proposed road section (some section part in Greenfield alignment), traverses throughOne districtsGuna. The population of these districts are; Guna - 249.000 lakh, persons which are directly benefited in addition to lakhs ofineighbour district commuters as well as long distance travellers and fright.
	Economic benefits due to of direct and indirect employment due to the project	As per detailed project report	Direct employment to approx. 1500 for 2-year during construction period (accordingly 26 days x 24-month x 1500 labors= 9,36,000 Man days) people and substantial indirect employment as a result of development of infrastructure and will also provide direct benefit to small scale industrial units in the area.
5.	Economic benefitdue tocompensatoryaffo restation	Benefit from suchcompensatory forestation accruing over next 50 yearsmonetized and discounted to the present value should be includedas benefits of compensatory Afforestation*for benefit of CA the guideline of the ministry for NPVestimation may be consulted	In lieu of total trees to be removed from Proposed PRO in Reserve/protected forest land along the project road, it isproposed to undertake at compensatory plantation leasttwice of the affected/diverted forest area as per ForestConservation) Act). So, the net productivity will increase. The compensatory afforestation will be taken up in about 22.8Hect. x 2= 45.6 hectare of degraded Forest land which is

at least two times of the area proposed to be diverted.

The compensatory afforestation will be done on 189.14 hectare of degraded forest land, which is down the line would be having a density of minimum 0.7. The ecological value for a 50 years period for the density of 1.0 is INR 126.74 lacs per hectare (As per Forest Conservation Act 1980). By considering minimum 0.7 density the ecological gain for this project would be INR 633.7 lakh.

> Divisional Manager M.P.R.D.C. Gwallor

and the second		
Summary of Cost-Benefit Analysis for the pr	a barriet	
general general analysis for the pr	ojeci	

Sl no	Loss (in Lakhs)	Benefit (in Lakhs)
1	Ecosystem services losses RS 189.12 Lakh	Ecological gain from compensatory afforestation on 22.8 (atleast) hectare on Revenue land would be RS 189.14 lakh
	Loss of animal husbandry productivity, including loss offodder =RS.18.914lakh	<ul> <li>9,36,000 Mam days will generated for unskilled/semiskilled worker in terms Salary and Wages @Rs.500/day (average)=Rs.4680.00 Lakh (# Minimum wages in Madhyapradesh in Rs.344.62 (In zone C ) To 364.62 (in Zone A) For unskilled labour, but for considering actual practical wages including lodging the average cost per day for semiskilled / labourer is approx. Rs. 500 per day)</li> <li>Also there will be Toll/ patrol and other road maintenance staff during operationand atleast 100 permanent staff for tollperiod (approx.25 years ) will be engaged.</li> <li>Considering average salary Rs.25000 per month, total benefit will be Rs.25000×100×300 Month =7500.00 lakh</li> </ul>
3	Loss of public facilities = 300 lakh	<ul> <li>Martine and Comparison of the Second S</li></ul>
4	POSSESSION Value of forest land diverted =56.742lakh	
5	Habitat fragmentation cost= 94.57lakh	
6	Compensatory afforestation and soil & moisture conservation cost =378.28lakh.	
	Total cost /loss =Rs 189.14+18.914lakh+Rs 300 lakh +Rs56.742lakh +94.57lakh+378.28Lakh = 1037.646lakh	Total gain/benefit from project = Rs 189.14Lakh + Rs4680.00 lakh + 7500.00 lakh + Rs 175.2 lakh = 12369.14 lakh

Cost benefit Ratio= Total benefit / Total Loss = **12368.14:1037.646=11.9**which is>1, so project is found viable based on given/ above- described criteria.