## ISP-KALISINDH PHASE II MICRO LIFT IRRIGATION SCHEME, NVDA

S NO	PIPE LINE	LENGTH IN FOREST(M)	LENGTH IN REVENUE	TOTAL LENGTH(M)	Remark
ALT-1	PIPE LINE	27590	1010	28600	Final
ALT-2	PIPE LINE	32712	2749	35461	-
ALT-3	PIPE LINE	30127	2239	32366	-

## ALTERNATIVE ROUTE EXAMINATION FOR PUMP HOUSE 1 TO PUMP HOUSE 3

Alternative-1 is found to be more suitable option because of the following reasons

- > In alternatives 2 & 3 the forest length is more than the alternative 1.
- In alternative 2 & 3 total length of the rising main is much more than the alternative-1 adding up to extra cost.
- In alternatives 2 & 3 at many places the permanent structures are coming very near to the alignment and it is very difficult to avoid them without further increasing the length of the rising main.
- > And in alternative 2& 3 there are many hillocks as it is crossing forest at many places and it is very difficult to route the pipeline.
- In alternative 2 & 3 the power consumption is more since the length is considerably higher leading to higher friction losses. As this project is having stringent clause on power consumption, hence alternative 1 is most suitable.

S NO	PIPE LINE	LENGTH IN FOREST(M)	LENGTH IN REVENUE	TOTAL LENGTH(M)	REMARK
ALT-1	PIPE LINE	11860	5881	17741	Final
ALT-1 ALT-2	PIPE LINE	11950	10825	22775	
ALT-2	PIPE LINE	13082	10536	23618	1. 20

## ALTERNATIVE ROUTE EXAMINATION FOR PUMP HOUSE 3 TO COMMAND AREA

Alternative-1 is found to be more suitable option because of the following reasons

- In this section of pipe, alternative 1 & 2 are having similar routing
- In this section of piping, all the alternatives are having almost equal length but alternative 1 is having least length of pipe in forest.
- In alternative 3, pump house is coming very near to settlement area and difficult to construct the permanent structures at these locations
- And in alternatives 3 there are many hillocks along the alignment and it is very difficult to route the pipeline.