


Site Inspection Report

Sr No	Items	Observation and remarks																
1	Name of the Project and location (Range, Round, Beat)	Rehabilitation of Deur Village at Bhopegaon Range – Wai, Round – Bhuinj, Beat – Bopegaon																
2	Name of the User Agency	Deputy Conservator of Forests, Satara Forest Division Satara.																
3	Date of site inspection	22/05/2021																
4	Extent (ha) and legal Status of forest land proposed for diversion	46.06 ha Reserve Forest																
5	a) Details of forest land proposed for diversion and activity-wise break-up of forest land b) Density and Eco-value class	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; margin-bottom: 5px;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 15%;">Gat.No.</th><th style="width: 20%;">Purpose</th><th style="width: 15%;">Area ha.</th><th style="width: 20%;">Unsuitable Area ha.</th><th style="width: 30%;">Total Land</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>771 p</td><td>Gaonthan</td><td>6.00</td><td rowspan="3" style="text-align: center;">3.66</td><td rowspan="3" style="text-align: center;">46.06</td></tr> <tr> <td>712,</td><td>Agriculture</td><td>40.06</td></tr> <tr> <td>771p</td><td></td><td></td></tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Eco class III, dense forest has the density of 0.6 to 0.8.</p>	Gat.No.	Purpose	Area ha.	Unsuitable Area ha.	Total Land	771 p	Gaonthan	6.00	3.66	46.06	712,	Agriculture	40.06	771p		
Gat.No.	Purpose	Area ha.	Unsuitable Area ha.	Total Land														
771 p	Gaonthan	6.00	3.66	46.06														
712,	Agriculture	40.06																
771p																		
6	Whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the User Agency in col.2 of part I is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project If no, recommended area item-wise with details of alternative examined.	Yes, requirement is unavoidable and proposed area is barest minimum																
7	Whether the Proposal involves any construction of building (including residential) or not? If yes, details thereof	Yes, residential homes and civic amenities for rehabilitated villages.																
8	a) Whether forest area proposed for diversion is important from wildlife point of view or not. b) Details of any rare or endangered or unique species of flora and fauna found in proposed forest land If so, the details thereof. c) Aerial distance from the nearest boundary of any protected Area (Km) d) Remarks about sensitivity of the forest area likely to be affected due to project. e) Whether wildlife mitigation plan is required? If yes, reasons therefore.	No Nil 36.952 km from Koyana Wildlife Sanctuary No No																
9	Details of vegetation A) Total number of trees to be felled B) Number of trees to be felled of girth above 60cm.	4782 4508																

	<p>C) Number of trees to be felled of girth below 60cm.</p> <p>D)Effect of removal of trees on the general ecosystem in the area</p>	<p>274</p> <p>Area selected in Bopegaon village is mixed species plantations of 15 to 17 years old in which percentage of Glericidia species is more than 70% and average growth is stunted upto 3 to 4 meteres Glericidia is mostly branchy and is of very low ecological value. Hence the effect is nearly negligible.</p>
10	Background note on the proposal (short summary)	Proposal for Diversion of 46.06 ha. Reserve Forest land of Village – Bopegaon Gat no 712, 771 Tal. Wai, Dist. Satara for rehabilitation of Deur village from Koyana Wildlife Sanctuary i.e. from Core of Sahyadri Tiger Reserve.
11	Whether the proposal involves any violation of forest (Conservation) Act 1980? If yes, a detailed report on violation including action taken against the concerned officials to be attached	No work has been carried in forest area by any project authority hence, there is no violation of the Forest Conservation Act 1980.
12	Whether the proposal involves rehabilitation of displaced person. If yes, whether rehabilitation plan has been approves by the state Government?	No
13	Details on catchment and cultivable command area under the project (if applicable) Status of catchment area treatment plan to prevent siltation of reservoir (if applicable)	Not applicable
14	Utility of the project	Rehabilitation of Deur village from the core area of Koyana Wildlife Sancturary. (Sahyadri Tiger Reserved) Will help to make the core invoilet which will definitely aid in wildlife Conservation.
15	<p>Whether land being diverted has any socio-cultural /religious values</p> <p>Whether any scared grove or very old growth trees/ forests exist in the areas proposed for diversion?</p>	<p>No</p> <p>No</p>
16	Any other important information related to the project (Separate note may be attached, if required)	Rehabilitation of Deur village from the core area of Sahyadri Tiger Reserve
17	Details of documents and photographs enclosed.	Maps and Certificates etc attached in proposal

18	Recommendation of the Inspection Officer	Project is recommended for Rehabilitation of deer village.
----	--	--

Place – Satara
date - 22/05/2021


(M. N. Mohite)
Dy. Conservator of Forests,
Satara Forest Division, Satara