

Part - II

State Serial No. of proposal _____


- 7 Location of the project/scheme : Diversion of 0.20 Ha RF land in Mudimyal Forest Block
- i. State/Union Territory : Telangana
- ii. District : Ranga Reddy District.
- iii. Forest Division : Shamshabad
- iv. Area of forestland proposed for diversion (in ha). : 0.20 Ha (Mudimyal RF)
- v. Legal status of forest. : Mudimyal Reserve Forest under section 15 of AP Forest Act 1967, F&A G O Ms No.94, dt. 12.03.1970.
- vi. Density of vegetation. : 0.1 Mostly open with ground vegetation.
- vii. Species - wise (scientific names) and diameter class - wise enumeration of trees (to be enclosed. In case of irrigation/hydel projects enumeration at FRL, FRL-2 meter & FRL-4 meter also to be enclosed). : Nil.
- viii. Brief note on vulnerability of the forest area to erosion. : The proposed area is in the middle of the forest block. Question of erosion does not arise.
- ix. Approximate distance of proposed site for diversion from boundary of forest. : The proposed diversion area is in the middle of the forest block.
- x. Whether forms part of National Park, wildlife sanctuary, biosphere reserve, tiger reserve, elephant corridor etc (if so, the details of the area and comments of the Chief Wildlife Warden to be annexed). : No
- xi. Whether any rare/endangered /unique species of flora and fauna found in the area-if so details thereof. : No

- | | | | |
|----|---|---|--|
| | xii. Whether any protected archaeological/heritage site establishment or any other important monument is located in the area. If so, the details thereof with No Objection Certificate from competent authority, if required. | : | Not falling in any of the archaeological/ heritage site establishment or any other important monument. |
| 8 | Whether the requirement of forestland as proposed by the user agency in col.2 of part-I is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project. If no, recommended area item-wise with details of alternatives examined. | : | The area proposed for diversion is avoidable, as alternate routes are available to reach the lands in question; avoiding the forest area. Location of the existing road which is in use and proposed road for diversion is enclosed. |
| 9 | Whether any work in violation of the Act has been carried out (Yes/No). If yes, details of the same including period of work done, action taken on erring officials. Whether work in violation is still in progress. | : | No. |
| 10 | Details of compensatory afforestation scheme. | : | Not applicable. |
| | a. Details of non-forest area/degraded forest area identified for compensatory afforestation, its distance from adjoining forest, number of patches, size of each patch. | : | |
| | b. Map showing non-forest/degraded forest area identified for compensatory afforestation and adjoining forest boundaries. | : | Not applicable |
| | c. Detailed compensatory afforestation scheme including species to be planted, implementing agency, time schedule, cost structure etc. | : | Not applicable. |
| | d. Total financial outlay for compensatory afforestation scheme. | : | Not applicable. |

e.	Certificates from competent authority regarding suitability of area identified for compensatory afforestation and from management point of view.	:	Not applicable.
11.	Site inspection report of the Deputy Conservator of Forests (to be enclosed) especially highlighting facts asked in col.7 (xi, xii), 8 and 9 above.	:	Enclosed
12.	Division/District profile :	:	Ranga Reddy District
i.	Geographical area of the district.	:	7500 Sq.Km.
ii.	Forest area of the district.	:	294 Sq.Km
iii.	Total forest area diverted since 1980 with number of cases.	:	32.381 Ha for (3) projects.
iv	Total compensatory afforestation stipulated in the district/division since 1980 onwards.	:	1.798 Ha
(a)	Forest land including penal compensatory afforestation.	:	1.798 Ha
(b)	Non-forest land.	:	0
13.	Specific recommendations of the Deputy Conservation of Forests for acceptance or other wise of the proposal with reasons	:	There are alternate routes available for the farmlands. Further, the proposed project will further fragment the already fragmented forestland into smaller pieces thereby adversely impacting wildlife and biodiversity. Hence NOT recommended.

Date: 22.12.2017.

Place: Hyderabad.

Signature 

Name : M. Ashok Kumar

Forest Divisional Officer,
Shamshabad, R.R. Dist.

DISTRICT FOREST OFFICER
RANGAREDDY.