SITE INSPECTION REPORT

| Sr. No. | The same | Items | | Observation and remarks |
|------------|--|--|---|--|
| 1. | Name of the Project and location (Range, Round, Beat) | | | Diversion of 9.78 Ha. restored private forest land for construction of bunglows, residential buildings, internal roads, parking and other ancillary non-forest activities as "White Magic project" at village Jambhivali, Tal. Panvel, Dist. Raigad, Maharashtra State |
| | Location i) | Division | : | Alibag Forest Division. |
| | ii) | Range | : | Pen |
| | iii) | Round | | No. |
| | iv) | S.No. | | 121/1 |
| 2. | Name of the User Agency | | | Shri. Sandeep Suresh Dapake, Sai Enterprises, Sudhagad, Pali authorized signatory of Shri. Kanji Karamshi Patel & Ors. 2 |
| 3. | Date of site inspection | | : | 24/08/2018. |
| 4. | Extent (ha) and legal status of forest land proposed for diversion | | : | 9.78 Ha. Restored Private Forest |
| 5. | a) Details of forest land proposed for diversion and activity-wise break-up of forest land | | : | Detailed area statement attached on Pg. No. 76 |
| | b) Density of the forest | | : | The density is below 0.4 |
| 6. | Whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the user Agency in col.2 of Part-I is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project. If no, recommended area item-wise with details of alternative examined | | : | Yes. Required forestland is barest minimum and unavoidable. |
| 7. | Whether the proposal involves any construction of building (including residential) or not? If yes, details thereof | | | No. |
| 8. | for dive | r forest area proposed ersion is important form point of view or not | : | No. |

| | | Details of any rare or endangered or unique species of flora and fauna found in proposed forest land. If so, the details thereof. | |
|-----|--------------|---|----------------------------|
| | c | Aerial distance from the nearest boundary of any Protected Area (km) The Project area is about 5.0 Km Karnala Bird Sanctuary and this project area is about 5.0 Km Sensitive Zone. | ect ara |
| | d | Remarks about sensitivity of the forest area likely to be affected due to project. There is no adverse effect on the wild birds in the sanctuary or Eco-Sensitive | llife and Zone. |
| 15 | e. | Whether wildlife mitigation : No. plan is required? If, yes, reason therefore | |
| 9. | | Details of vegetation | |
| 17 | a. | Total number of trees to be : Nil. felled: | |
| | b. | Number of trees to be felled of : Nil. | |
| | c. | Number of trees to be felled of : Nil. | al to |
| | d. | Effect of removal of trees on : Nil. ne general ecosystem in the rea | arigin arigin langua |
| 10. | Bac (sho | ground note on the proposal : Project summary attached on Page No. | 74 |
| 11. | Act on | ner the proposal involves any on of Forest (Conservation) 980? If yes, a detailed report plation including action taken the concerned officials to be ed. | |
| 12. | reha If y | er the proposal involves : No. itation of displaced person. whether rehabilitation plan een approved by the State ment? | |

| 13 | Details on catchments and cultivable command area under the project (if applicable) | | : Nil |
|-----|--|------------------|--|
| | Status of catchments area treatment plan to prevent siltation of reservoir (if applicable) | : | Nil |
| 14. | Utility of the Project | | This project is of restored private forest land and project authority is ready to bear all Government lavies. |
| 15. | Whether land being diverted has any socio-cultural / religious values? Whether any sacred grove or very old growth trees/forests exist in the areas proposed for diversion | e: | Government levies. No. |
| 16. | Any other important information related to the project (Separate note may be attached, if required) | : | No. |
| 17. | Details of documents and photographs enclosed: | | Photographs enclosed on Page No. 75 |
| 8. | Recommendations of the Inspecting : Officer (CCF/CF/DCF) | T a acth G beeth | This proposal is for construction of bunglows and residential buildings and other ancillary ctivities in restored private forest land and the Project proponent is ready to bear all the covernment levies hence the proposal is being recommended for approval for diverting the required forest land under Forest Conservation) Act, 1980. |

Date: 90/08/2018. Place: Alibag

(Maneesh Kumar)
Deputy Conservator of Forests
Alibag

Site Inspection Note

The under signed has inspected the proposed site on dated 24/08/2018, which is proposed for construction of bunglows and residential buildings and ancillary non-forest activities at village Jambhivali, Tal. Panvel, Dist. Raigad.

When the undersigned visited the site, the project proponent indicated the required land of the Restored Private Forest of G.No. 121/1 area admeasuring 9.78 ha. for proposed non-forest activities in his private forest property. There is no work is started, hence violation of the Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 and guidelines under it is not noticed.

From the site inspection it appears that primafacia there was no soil disturbance. The cutting of trees to be required for land diversion for approach road.

Date: 24/08/2018.

Place: Alibag

(Maneesh Kumar)

Beputy Conservator of Forests is

PROJECT NOTE

Shri. Sandeep Suresh Dapke authorised signatory of Shri. Kanji Karamshi Patil & Others 2, has submitted a proposal for for diversion of 9.78 Ha. restored private forest land for construction of bunglows, residential buildings, internal roads, parking and other ancillary non-forest activities as "White Magic project" at village Jambhivali, S.No. 121/A2 (Old village Bamnoli Survey No. 7) Tal. Panvel, Dist. Raigad, Maharashtra State.

There is no alternate alignment is available and the required forest area is barest minimum for proposed development of the user agency, which was restored to the then khatedar for livelyhood.

The user agency has not started any work on proposed forest land.

This proposal is for residential purposes in restored private forest area. This project involves 9.78 ha. forest land. The status of land is restored private forests, therefore the project authority is not giving non-forest land for compensatory afforestation. The project authority is ready to give non-forest land, if Ministry of Environment & Forest is insisted for the same. The project authority is willing to bear the cost of compensatory afforestation and all Government levies as required by the Government.

Therefore this proposal has been recommended for diversion of 9.78 ha. Restored private forest land for non-forest purposes.

Date: 24/08/2018.

Place: Alibag

(Maneesh Kumar)

MK

Descriptions of Forests as

Alibag.