



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण
(सड़क परिवहन एवं राजमार्ग मंत्रालय)
National Highways Authority of India
(Ministry of Road Transport & Highways)

परियोजना कार्यान्वयन इकाई, गाजियाबाद
Project Implementation Unit, Ghaziabad

मकान नं० : 518, नीति खण्ड-1, इन्दिरापुरम्, गाजियाबाद-201014 (उ०प्र०)
House No. : 518, Niti Khand-1, Indirapuram, Ghaziabad-201014 (U.P.)

टेलीफैक्स / Telefax : 91-(O) 120-2605195
ईमेल / Email : gha@nhai.org

NHAI/PIU-GZB/DME-IV/12012/FRST/2017/ 3585

Date: 29.03.2017

To,

Divisional Forest Officer,
Forest Department, Ghaziabad, District,
Uttar Pradesh-UP.

Sub: Development of Dasna – Meerut Greenfield Alignment of Delhi – Meerut Expressway
in the State of Uttar Pradesh **Reg.: Submission of Forest Compliance**

- Ref:** 1) Your Office Letter no.1889/14-1 dated 20.01.2017
2) MoEF & CC Office Letter no.8B/06/2/2017/F.C./479 dated 11.01.2017
3) Online Proposal No: FP/UP/ROAD/10947/2015

Sir,

In compliance to above cited letters regarding diversion of 15.053 hectare of forest land in which 14.018 hectare "reserved forest" and 1.035 hectare "protected forest" land for Development of Dasna – Meerut Greenfield alignment of Delhi – Meerut Expressway in the State of Uttar Pradesh, the Point-wise compliance is given as under:-

S.No.	Information Sought	Reply
1	The KML file of proposed forest land diversion is in Poly-line format rather polygon format. Therefore, GIS DSS analysis of the proposed forest land diversion is not possible.	The KML file of proposed forest land diversion in polygon format is enclosed here with.
2	The Proposal is without copy of documents in support of the competence/authority of the person making this application to make application on behalf of the user Agency.	A copy of documents in support of the competence/authority of the person making this application to make application on behalf of the user Agency is enclosed in annexure I.
3	The details of 10 patches of forest land proposed for diversion has not been provided in hard copy as well as not as not uploaded online.	The details of forest patches of forest land proposed for diversion is being provided in hard copy as well as has been uploaded online.
4	The proposal has been submitted with analysis of minimum three alternative alignment but selection of proposed alignment is not based on the basis of the basis of minimum forest area. The KML files of three alternatives with details of forest area calculation have also not been submitted with the proposal.	The selection of proposed alignment out of three alternatives was based on Techno-economic considerations in all three alternatives. The MOEF & CC has already accorded the Environmental Clearance (a copy enclosed) for the proposed alignment duly considering merits and demerits of the alternative alignment discussed in the project proposal. KML files of three alternatives with details of forest area calculation have been submitted with the proposal and enclosed here with.

.....2

5	Topo-sheet attached for alternative alignment does not provide forest area values of each alternative.	Topo-sheets indicating the forest area values of each alternative is enclosed as annexure V.
6	Though the proposal is less than 20ha in plains and does not require cost benefit analysis but the copy uploaded with the proposal is not as per MOEF & CC guidelines.	Since the proposal is less than 20ha in plains, cost benefit analysis is not required and online copy uploaded earlier with the proposal has been deleted.
7	The Proposal is without copy of application required for Environment clearance.	Environment Clearance has already been accorded by MOEF & CC on 16-06 -2016 and a copy is enclosed as annexure no Xiii.
8	The forest area calculation as per land schedule uploaded with the proposal shows different value of forest area for diversion .This is a major flaw and needs rectification.	The rectified forest area calculations as per land schedule has been uploaded with the proposal as well as hard copy is enclosed.
9	The forest gazette notification uploaded and copy provided with the proposal area different.	The forest gazette notifications provided in the hard copy has been uploaded in annexure -Vii
10	The certificate of DM under FRA 2006 (Page 216) does not mention all the gazette notifications. This is needs revision. The correct certificate needs uploading also.	In the certificate of DM under FRA 2006 (Page 216) all the gazette notifications has been mentioned and the certificate is enclosed as annexure 21
11	A copy of Strip plan uploaded with the proposal needs submission along with hard copy.	A copy of Strip plan uploaded with the proposal has been submitted along with hard copy. Annexure -Viii
12	The compensatory afforestation has been proposed on double degraded forest land which is without inclusion of cost escalation due to inflation.	The compensatory afforestation has been proposed on double degraded forest land and accounts for cost escalation due to inflation and is enclosed with hard copy in annexure - 10.

The above point wise compliance is submitted for further necessary action.

Encl: As above.

Yours faithfully,



(R.P. Singh)

General Manager (T)/Project Director