No. 118/2022

22/2 /2022 Date:

To,

The Chief Conservator of Forests,

Pune Forest Circle,

Pune.

Sub.: Query compliance in FCA Proposal .... Reg.

Ref.: I>Your letter No. A/Desk-4/4(2)/LAND/FCA-329/2021-22 dated 15/02/2022 27 Your letter No. grand: - @ / \$24-8-2/ 718/19/ 1/2/ 12020-29 16 9/192/29

Sir,

In compliance of your letter dated 15/02/2022, we hereby submit our para wise comments as under:

### Reply to query at Para No. 1: i)

Roads proposed in both proposals appears to be one. However, the proposed roads bear different numbers and the Government has accorded separate Administrative Approvals, Technical Sanctions for the proposals. The road execution work is also assigned to different Contractors. Hence, the separate proposals.

#### Reply to query at Para No. 2: ii)

Roads proposed in both proposals pass through the single Gut Number of Forest Land. However, the road bears different numbers and the Government has accorded separate Administrative Approvals, Technical Sanctions for the proposals. The road execution work is also assigned to different Contractors. Hence, the separate proposals.

# Reply to query at Para No. 3:

Roads proposed in both proposals bear different numbers, separate Administrative Approvals, Technical Sanctions and different design chainages. The continuous chainage has been assigned to both the roads for the purpose of technical convenience.

## Reply to query at Para No. 4:

Roads proposed in both proposals pass through the single Gut Number of Forest Land. The work proposed in both proposals, though appears to be executed in single Gut Number, the roads bear different numbers, separate Administrative Approvals and Technical Sanctions. The road execution work is also assigned to different Contractors. Hence, the separate proposals.

Yours faithfully,

S. S. Kupal Deputy Engineer B & C Department, Sub- Division, Indapur, Zilla Parishad, Pune

### Copy to:

- 1. The APCCF/ Nodal Officer, Nagpur
- 2. The Dy. Conservator of Forests, Pune Forest Circle, Pune