


Report of Second EDS Reply

Subject- Letter issued by Divisional Forest Officer, Forest Department Aurangabad. Dtd-17/02/2022
(जा. क्र.ब/कक्ष ०७/वि व अ/ व जी औ/सर्वे/3152/2021-22) .

Reference: Proposal of Brahmagavhan Lift Irrigation Scheme – III, for approval of wildlife clearance. Proposal No.FP/MH/IRRIG/5828/2021.

Sr No.	Particular's	Reply																				
1	Point No. A-1 (viii) Distance of the project from boundary of the protected area in km Does not marked on the Map?	The distance of project located within the boundary of protected area is an 4.5 km.																				
2	Point No. A-1 (x) Total period for which clearance required does not given in the application?	The construction period for the scheme is five years however the regular operation and maintenance will continue till and of life of the project.																				
3	Point No. A-1 (xix) Does not mention the Project area inside Ecologically Sensitive Zone (in Hect.)?	The 0.9 hector area of the proposed project involved in the Ecologically Sensitive Zone.																				
4	Point No. A-1 (xx) Does not give the Project area outside Ecologically Sensitive Zone (in Hect.)	The 0.7 hector of area of the proposed project involved in the outside area Ecologically Sensitive Zone.																				
5	Point No. A-3 (i, ii, iii) Does not given the detail of person making application?	i) Dhananjay , ii) Maruti iii) Godse																				
6	Point No. A-3 (xvi) does not given details of the project proponent?	Name of the Project Proponent- Dhananjay Maruti Godse The copy of authority letter has been submitted herewith as enclosure 1 .																				
7	Point No. B-1.3 Does not gives details of the Component wise breakup?	Component wise breakup <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sr No.</th> <th>Component</th> <th>Project area under Protected Area (PA) in ha.</th> <th>Project area under Non Protected Area in ha.</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>Pump House-1</td> <td>1</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>Pump House-2</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>Delivery Chamber</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4</td> <td>Rising Main</td> <td>0.34</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Sr No.	Component	Project area under Protected Area (PA) in ha.	Project area under Non Protected Area in ha.	1	Pump House-1	1	0	2	Pump House-2	0	1	3	Delivery Chamber	0	1	4	Rising Main	0.34	0
Sr No.	Component	Project area under Protected Area (PA) in ha.	Project area under Non Protected Area in ha.																			
1	Pump House-1	1	0																			
2	Pump House-2	0	1																			
3	Delivery Chamber	0	1																			
4	Rising Main	0.34	0																			
8	Point No. C- (iv) The boundary of the Jayakwadi Bird Sanctuary does not marked the on Toposheets?	The toposheets marking boundaries of Jayakwadi Bird Sanctuary has been attached herewith as enclosure 2 .																				

9	Point No. C- (v) Does not marked the boundary of Jayakwadi Bird Sanctuary on Geo-referenced map?	The Geo referenced map marking boundaries of Jayakwadi Bird Sanctuary has been attached herewith as enclosure 3 .
10	Point No. D- The Justification for locating the project in protected area was submitted without signature of the authority person?	The copy of signed justification for locating the project in protected area has been attached herewith as enclosure 4 .
11	Point No. D- (a) Reason for not providing such map?	The signed copy of Justification is submitted herewith. There is no need to do survey for alternative location. Hence, does not require submitting any map with application.
12	Point No. H-2 (a) The Copy of the Bio-diversity Impact Assessment report has not been submitted with the application?	With reference to Point H-2 in the Wild Report (Part-I & II) stated that the Bio-diversity Impact Assessment report does not required to such proposals which contains an area below to 50 hectares area.
13	Point No. H-3 (a) & H-4 (a) Annexure information on the project undertaken by Proponent agency in the past, in protected areas has not submitted with application?	Brahmagavhan Lift Irrigation Scheme – III has sanctioned an administrative approval on 19 th January, 1980. Whereas the notifications declaring the Ecologically Sensitive Zone to Jayakwadi bird sanctuary has published on 12 th July, 2017. Therefore, those schemes do not require any approval from competent authority.


 Executive Engineer,
 Minor Irrigation Division No.1,
 Aurangabad