PART -II

(To be filled by the concerned Deputy conservator of Forests)

State Serial No. of Proposal

S No.	Location of the project/scheme	Dive	rsion of 0.252 Hect of forest Land	
1.	and the second		For SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT PLANT A	
			ROHRU DIST SHIMLA	
(i)	District	SHIM	The state of the s	
(ii)	Forest Division		RU FOREST DIVISI ON	
(iii)	Area of forest land proposed for diversion	0.252 .of forest land is required to be diverted.		
	(in ha)		is required to be diverted.	
(iv)	Legal status of forest	U.P.F	BRARA	
(v)	Density of vegetation	NOT	REES ARE STANDING ON THE PROPOSED SITE	
2.	Species-wise (scientific names) and diameter class-wise enumeration of trees (Detailed			
	enumeration list enclosed at page). NO TREES ARE STANDING ON THE	
	PROPOSED SITE			
	(Trees Enumeration List a	ttached	lat Page No.	
3.	Brief on vulnerability of the forest area to erosion.		The area is not seriously eroded or is not	
			nauch vamerable to erosion.	
4.	Approximate distance of proposed site for		50 mtrs. From the boundary of the forest.	
	diversion from boundary of forest.			
5.	Whether forms part of National Park, wildlife Sanctuary, biosphere reserve, tiger reserve, elephant corridor, etc. (if so details of the area and			
	comments of the Chief Wild Life Warden to be			
	annexed)			
6.	Whether any are/endangered /unique Species of		No rare or engangered species of flora o	
7	ftora and fauna found in the area?		fauna is found in the area	
7.	Whether any protected archaeological/heritage		No	
	site/defense establishment or any other important			
	monument is located in the area? If no, recommended area item-wise with details of			
	alternatives examined?			
	Whether the requirement of forest land as			
	proposed by the user agency in col.2 of part-	C La	Yes the requirement of forest land as	
3			oroposed by the user Agency is unavoidable and baress minimum for the project.	
8.		icet 2	COLUMN TO THE PROJECT	
3.	unavoidable and barest minimum for the pro		and and administration the project.	
3.	unavoidable and barest minimum for the pro- If no, recommend area hem-wise with details		and the project.	
3. 9.	unavoidable and barest minimum for the pro- If no, recommend area item-wise with details alternatives examined.	sof		
	unavoidable and barest minimum for the proof of the proof of the proof of the proof of the Act, has whether any work in violation of the Act, has	s of s been	No.	
	unavoidable and barest minimum for the proof of no, recommend area item-wise with details alternatives examined. Whether any work in violation of the Act, has carried out? If yes, details of the same include.	s of s been		
	unavoidable and barest minimum for the proof of the proof of the proof of the proof of the Act, has whether any work in violation of the Act, has	s of s been		
	unavoidable and barest minimum for the pro- lf no, recommend area item-wise with details alternatives examined. Whether any work in violation of the Act, has carried out? If yes, details of the same includ- period of work done, action taken on erring	s of s been		

(i)	Post is all and a second secon	å
(1)	Details of non-forest area/degraded torest area	Attached at Page No. 33-35
	locationed for compensatory afforestation, its	
	distance from adjoining forest, number of patches,	
(ii)	size of each parch.	
440)	Mar snowick non-forest/degraded forest area	Attached at page No. 34-35
	compensatory artorestation and	
(iii)	anjoining forest boundaries	
(111)	Detailed compensatory afforestation scheme	Attached at page No. 36-38
	including spaces to be planted , implementing	
(iv)	Agency, time schedule Cost Structure, etc.	
(14)	Total financial outlay for compensatory	Rs. 62697 1-
(v)	efforestation scheme.	
(*)	Certificate from competent authority regarding	Attached at page No. 39
	area identified for compensatory	
	Afforestation and from management point of	
	view.(to be signed by the concerned Deputy	
11.	Consenator of Forests)	
11.	Site inspection report of the DCF (to be enclosed)	Attached at page No. 32
	especially hig lighting facts Asked in Cal. 7 (IX-XII)	
12.		
4.6.	- Division/Districts Profile:	
	i) Geographical area of the district	170705 Sq. Km
	ii) Forest area of the districts	82053.99 Hect.
	III) Total forest area diverted since 1980 with	202,8073 Hect and 64 cases
	number of cases	Louison of the cases
	iv) Total compensatory afforestation stipulated in	474,6602 ha
	the districts/division since 1980 as on 30/11/2019	110.
	a)Forest land including penal compensatory	nil
	afforestation	7111
13.	V) Progress of compensatory afforestation as on	
	dated 31-03-2018 on	
	a)Forest land	284.0387 Hect
	b) Non forest land	NIL
10.	Specific recommendations of the DCF for	The proposal is recommended for diversion
	acceptance or otherwise of the proposal with	of forest land because the project will benefit
	reasons;	large population and promote better waste
		management.
		management.

Date: - 15-10-2019 Place: - ROHRU Divisional Forest Officer, Rohru Forest Division, Refine