

PART-II

(To be filled by concerned Deputy Conservator of Forests)

State Serial No. of Proposal

7	Location of the project / Scheme														
i	State / Union Territory	~	Gujarat												
ii	District	~	Surendranagar												
iii	Forest Division	~	Surendranagar												
iv	Area of forest land proposed for diversion (in ha)	~	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sr. Taluka</th> <th>Village</th> <th>S.No</th> <th>Area.</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1. Drangadhra</td> <td>Chuli</td> <td>536/Pt25</td> <td>0.6174</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">Total:-</td> <td>0.6174</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Sr. Taluka	Village	S.No	Area.	1. Drangadhra	Chuli	536/Pt25	0.6174	Total:-			0.6174
Sr. Taluka	Village	S.No	Area.												
1. Drangadhra	Chuli	536/Pt25	0.6174												
Total:-			0.6174												
v	Legal status of forest	~	Section-4												
vi	Density of Vegetation	~	0.1												
vii	Species wise (Scientific names) and diameter class wise enumeration of trees (to be enclosed. In case of irrigation / hybel projects enumeration at FRI.....1 FRI.....2 meter also to be enclosed).	~	Anne-16												
viii	Brief note on vulnerability of the forest area to erosion.	~	Area is predominantly plain draining into LRK. No vulnerability observed.												
ix	Approximate distance of proposed site for diversion from boundry of forest.	~	The site is inside the forest.												
x	Whether forms part of National Park, Wildlife sanctuary, biosphere reserve, tiger reserve, elephant corridor, etc. (if so, the details of the area and comments of the Chief Wildlife Warden to be annexed)	~	N.A.												
xi	Whether any rare / endangered / unique species of flora and fauna found in the area - if so details thereof.	~	Indian Wild asses (Equus hemionus khur) visit this area.												
xii	Whether any protected archeological / heritage site / defence establishment or any other important document is located in the area. If so, the details thereof with NOC from competent authority, if required.	~	No Such monument is located in this area.												
8	Whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the user agency in col. 2 of Part-I is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project. If no, recommended area item wise with detials of alternatives examined.	~	Yes												

9	Whether any work in violation of the Act has been carried out (Yes / No) If yes, details of the same including period of work done, action taken on erring officials, Whether work in violation is still in progress.	:~	No.
10	Details of compensatory afforestation scheme	:~	
i	Details of non forest area / degraded forest area identified for compensatory afforestation, its distance from adjoining forest, number of patches, size of each patch.	:~	Anne-18
ii	Map showing non-forest / degraded forest area identified for compensatory afforestation and adjoining forest boundaries.	:~	Anne-18/A
iii	Detailed compensatory afforestation scheme including species to be planted, implementing agency, time schedule, cost structure etc.	:~	Anne-19
iv	Total financial outlay for compensatory afforestation scheme.	:~	6,24,130/-
v	Certificate from competent authority regarding suitability of area identified for compensatory afforestation and from management point of view (To be signed by the concerned Deputy Conservator of Forests)	:~	Anne-20
11	Site inspection report of the DCF (To be enclosed) especially highlighting facts asked in col. 7 (xi, xii), 8 and 9 above	:~	Anne-17
12	Division / District profile :	:~	
i	Geographical area of the district	:~	9219.80 Sq.Km.
ii	Forest area of the district	:~	28202.7184
iii	Total forest area diverted since 1980 with number of cases.	:~	85.6384
iv	Total compensatory afforestation stipulated in the district / division since 1980 on		
	a) forest land including penal compensatory afforestation	:~	7.4976 Ha.
	b) non- forest land		208.1499 Ha.
v	Progress of compensatory afforestation as on (date) / / 11 / on		
	a) forest land	:~	273.3446 Ha.
	b) non- forest land		107.00 Ha.
13	Specific recommendation of the DCF for acceptance or otherwise of the proposal with reasons.	:~	User Agency shall use minimum forest land; hence recommended to accept this proposal.

Date : 29/6/2016

Signature :

Name :

Official Seal :

(P.Purusottama)

Dy. Conservator of Forest
SURENDRANAGAR