

Inspection Report by DFO-Neemuch (M.P.)

(Kaliya Kho Tank Project)


(Proposal No.: FP/MP/IRRIG/123146/2021)

| Sl No. | Particular | Inspection Report By DFO (T) | | |
|--------|--|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| 1. | Extent is hectares. | 22.26 Hactare | | |
| 2. | Location (lat-long) of the forest land proposed for diversion. | S. No. | Latitude | Longitude |
| | | 01 | 24°31'22.02" | 75°16'20.87" |
| | | 02 | 24°31'23.30" | 75°16'22.18" |
| | | 03 | 24°31'28.72" | 75°16'24.49" |
| | | 04 | 24°31'34.66" | 75°16'24.49" |
| | | 05 | 24°31'33.31" | 75°16'30.54" |
| | | 06 | 24°31'23.32" | 75°16'35.62" |
| | | 07 | 24°31'21.88" | 75°16'38.38" |
| | | 08 | 24°31'22.21" | 75°16'41.97" |
| | | 09 | 24°31'19.71" | 75°16'45.10" |
| | | 10 | 24°31'20.20" | 75°16'48.79" |
| | | 11 | 24°31'19.54" | 75°16'38.74" |
| | | 12 | 24°31'16.62" | 75°16'43.61" |
| | | 13 | 24°31'15.82" | 75°16'40.48" |
| | | 14 | 24°31'13.65" | 75°16'39.65" |
| | | 15 | 24°31'14.24" | 75°16'38.16" |
| | | 16 | 24°31'17.03" | 75°16'37.87" |
| | | 17 | 24°31'16.45" | 75°16'35.26" |
| | | 18 | 24°31'14.59" | 75°16'26.98" |
| | | 19 | 24°31'15.87" | 75°16'23.42" |
| | | 20 | 24°31'21.16" | 75°16'20.31" |
| 3. | Legal status of the forest land (protected forest, reserved forest, revenue forest lands or any other forest land) | Block name | Legal status | Compartment no. |
| | | Main Pichor | Reserved Forest | 411 |
| 4. | Demarcation of the area with temporary cairns etc. | Done | | |
| 5. | Any signs of encroachment. | Nil | | |
| 6. | Any activity already taken up within the forest land or adjoining non-forest land as part of the proposed project by the user agency. Details of action taken against the User Agency in case of violation of the FC Act and guidelines there under. | Nil | | |
| 7. | Status of vegetation. Site quality, species composition etc. | Mixed Forest of Tendu ,Moyan, Palash, Amaltash,Saja, Bargad etc. Site quality BL2,VB, Density between 0 to 0.3. | | |
| 8. | Importance of area from wildlife point of view. Status of wildlife (density and abundance of important species, bird life reptiles, butterflies and other scheduled animals, any endangered wildlife). Any latest census of wildlife in this area. | Wildlife Panther, Hyaena, Jackal, Wild Pig, Blue Bull, Monkey, Varanus, Mongoose, Hare, Peafowl are seen in this area. | | |

| 9. | Endemism of flora/fauna or any other unique ecosystem in the area. | Nil | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|---|------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|----|--------|-----|----|-------------------------------|--------|---------|--------|------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|----|---------|--------|------------------------|----|----------|----|-------|--------|------------|------|--------|
| 10. | Current land use. Is this area managed as per prescriptions in the working Plan and, if not, why ? | Yes, Rehabilitation Working Circle fall in this area. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11. | Importance of the area from historical or religious point of view. | Nil | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12. | Any dependent persons/families on this land. | Nil | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 13. | Any displacement of persons proposed. | Nil | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 14. | Is there any Rehabilitation and Resettlement Plan for the persons to be affected? Is there any dissenting voice among the persons proposed to be displaced ? | Nil | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 15. | Compensatory afforestation proposed is on forest land or non-forest land. Location of this area, suitability of the area for CA. If in the degraded forest land than what is the current Working plan prescription for the areas? Distance of the non-forest land for CA from the nearest forest area. Number of patches in case the area should be more than to kms. | <p>The non-forest land 22.76 hectares made available by User Agency, Some Part of non-forest land is not suitable for Compansatory Afforastation . So Compansatory Afforastation is proposed on non-forest land and degraded forest land. Details are given below:-</p> <p>01. On Forest Land:-</p> <table><tr><th>S. No.</th><th>Range</th><th>Comp . No.</th><th>Area (in Hac.)</th><th>Working plan prescription</th></tr><tr><td>01</td><td>Manasa</td><td>320</td><td>36</td><td>Rehabilitation Working Circle</td></tr></table> <p>02. On Non- Forest land:-</p> <table><tr><th>S. No.</th><th>Village</th><th>Tehsil</th><th>Survey No.</th><th>Area (in Ha)</th><th>Distance from nearest forest area</th></tr><tr><td>01</td><td>Hanspur</td><td>Manasa</td><td>718/1/1, 718/2, 1024/2</td><td>20</td><td>1.45 km.</td></tr><tr><td>02</td><td>Danta</td><td>Manasa</td><td>497/2, 498</td><td>2.76</td><td>03 km.</td></tr></table> | S. No. | Range | Comp . No. | Area (in Hac.) | Working plan prescription | 01 | Manasa | 320 | 36 | Rehabilitation Working Circle | S. No. | Village | Tehsil | Survey No. | Area (in Ha) | Distance from nearest forest area | 01 | Hanspur | Manasa | 718/1/1, 718/2, 1024/2 | 20 | 1.45 km. | 02 | Danta | Manasa | 497/2, 498 | 2.76 | 03 km. |
| S. No. | Range | Comp . No. | Area (in Hac.) | Working plan prescription | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 01 | Manasa | 320 | 36 | Rehabilitation Working Circle | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| S. No. | Village | Tehsil | Survey No. | Area (in Ha) | Distance from nearest forest area | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 01 | Hanspur | Manasa | 718/1/1, 718/2, 1024/2 | 20 | 1.45 km. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 02 | Danta | Manasa | 497/2, 498 | 2.76 | 03 km. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16. | Proposed area should not be part of any protected area. Also distance from the boundary of the nearest protected area should'be more than 10 kms. | Proposed area is not a part of any protected area. The nearest protected area Ghandhisagar Sanctuary of Madhya Pradesh is Situated in more than 10 kms. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 17. | Dependence of tribals in the area. Wheather the rights of the tribals have been recognized in this area. | Nil | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 18. | Utility of the project, including the people living in close vicinity of the project. | This project will accumulate large quantity of water which shall be very much usefull for the peoples, cattles and wild life as well. The presence of water in this area will also help in making the surrounding area green. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 19. | In case of renewal whether all the conditions stipulated in the earlier sanction order have been complied with. | Nil | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20. | Alternatives examined by the user agency in case of non-site specific projects. | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | |
|-----|---|--|
| 21. | A certificate by the user agency that the forest land requested for diversion for non-forestry purpose is bare minimum. | Attached (Annexure-I) |
| 22. | Any scope of saving tree growth while ensuring that the purpose for which the forest land is being diverted is also not adversely affected. | No |
| 23. | Any other issue of significance. | - |
| 24. | Specific recommendations of the DFO with reasons for approval of the project. | Project for Sanction is recommended as per rule. |

Enclosed-As above
Place:- Neemuch
Date:- 05-04-2024


(S.K. Atode)
I.F.S.
Divisional Forest Officer
Division Neemuch (M.P.)

कालिया खो जलाशय परियोजना

तहसील-मनासा

जिला-नीमच

न्यूनतम वन क्षेत्र उपयोग प्रमाण-पत्र

(अन्य विकल्प प्रमाण पत्र)

यह प्रमाणित किया जाता है कि कालिया खो जलाशय परियोजना स्थल क्षेत्र वन मण्डल नीमच के अंतर्गत वनउपखण्ड मनासा के संरक्षित वन कम्पार्टमेंट नंबर 411 में आता है। परियोजना के डूब क्षेत्र से 22.26 हेक्टेयर वन भूमि प्रभावित हो रही है।


नक्शे में दर्शाई गई बांध एवं डूब लाई सही है और भविष्य में नहीं बदली जावेगी। वनों के संरक्षण व संवर्धन की दृष्टि से वांछित वन क्षेत्र न्यूनतम है। इसके अतिरिक्त अन्य भूमि तकनीकी दृष्टि से उपलब्ध नहीं है। इसका अन्य कोई विकल्प नहीं है।


(आर.एल.मेहता)

उपयंत्री
जल संसाधन उपसंभाग
रामपुरा


(हिमांशु भाबोर)

अनुविभागीय अधिकारी
जल संसाधन उपसंभाग
रामपुरा


(विमल श्रीवास्तव)

कार्यपालन यंत्री
जल संसाधन संभाग
नीमच



वन मण्डलाधिकारी
सामान्य वन मण्डल, नीमच