

कड़ान मध्यम परियोजना हेतु आवेदित वनभूमि कक्ष क्रमांक आर. 367, 368, 369 की सीमांकित वनभूमि रकबा 248.76 हे. का दिनांक 14.12.2017 को मेरे द्वारा संयुक्त स्थल निरीक्षण किया गया निरीक्षण अनुसार पायी गयी स्थिति में बिन्दुवार जानकारी निम्नानुसार

है :-

Sl. No.	Particular	Inspection Report by DFO (T.)
1	Extent in hectares.	248.76 Hac.
2	Location (lat-long) of the forest land proposed for diversion.	(1) N 23° 52' 21.16" E 78° 38' 30.30", (2) N 23° 52' 40.72" E 78° 38' 1.9", (3) N 23° 52' 50.9" E 78° 38' 21.3", (4) N 23° 52' 58.23" E 78° 38' 41.92", (5) N 23° 52' 27.5" E 78° 38' 38.2" .....
3	Legal status of the forest land (Protected forest, reserved forests, revenue forest lands or any other forest land)	RF-367, 368, 369 ( Reserved Forest)
4	Demarcation of the area with temporary cairns etc.	Yes
5	Any signs of encroachment.	No
6	Any activity already taken up within the forest land or adjoining non-forest land as part of the proposed project by the user agency. Details of action taken against the User Agency in case of violation of the FC Act and guidelines there under.	No
7	Status of vegetation. Site quality, species composition etc.	IV-B, Density under- 0.1 to 0.3 (R 367) mixed forest. V-A, Density under- 0.1 to 0.3 (R 368) mixed forest. V-A, Density under- 0.1 to 0.3 (R 369) mixed forest.
8	Importance of area from wildlife point of view. Status of wildlife (density and abundance of important species, bird life reptiles, butterflies and other scheduled animals, any endangered wildlife) Any latest census of wildlife in this area.	Area has no importance from wildlife point of view.
9	Endemism of flora/fauna or any other unique ecosystem in the area.	Not applicable
10	Current land use. Is this area managed as per prescriptions in the Working Plan and, if not, why ?	RF-369 (RDF - C/No.- I to V) RF-368 (RDF - C/No- IV to X) RF-367 (RDF - C/No- I to III)
11	Importance of the area from historical or religious point of	Area has no importance from historical or

	view.	religious point of view.																																				
12	Any dependent persons/families on the land.	No persons/Families are dependent on the proposed area.																																				
13	Any displacement of persons proposed.	No displacement proposed.																																				
14	Is there any Rehabilitation and Resettlement Plan for the persons to be affected? Is there any dissenting voice among the persons to be affected? Is there any dissenting voice among the persons proposed to be displaced?	As no persons/families are dependent on the proposed area, therefore no resettlement and rehabilitation plan is applicable and there is no dissenting voice.																																				
15	Compensatory afforestation proposed is on forest land or non-forest land.  Location of the area, suitability of the area for CA. If in the degraded forest land then what is the current Working Plan prescription for the area? Distance of the non-forest land for CA from the nearest forest area. Number of patches in case the area should be more than 10 kms.	Compensatory afforestation is being prepared 248.76 hac. Transferred Revenue land. <table><tr><td>Tehsil</td><td>Village</td><td>Kh.No.</td><td>Area (hac.)</td></tr><tr><td>Malthone</td><td>Mirgawali</td><td>1/1</td><td>96.00</td></tr><tr><td>Malhtone</td><td>Vhilaniya</td><td>402/1</td><td>30.00</td></tr><tr><td>Sagar</td><td>Maswasi</td><td>37/2</td><td>50.00</td></tr><tr><td>Deori</td><td>Mudnri</td><td>47/1</td><td>47.00</td></tr><tr><td>Keshli</td><td>Bhardi</td><td>88</td><td>14.38</td></tr><tr><td>Keshli</td><td>Kharkhari</td><td>1</td><td>4.68</td></tr><tr><td>Gourjahmar</td><td>Sahajpuri</td><td>1/2</td><td>8.02</td></tr><tr><td><b>Total :-</b></td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td><b>250.08</b></td></tr></table>	Tehsil	Village	Kh.No.	Area (hac.)	Malthone	Mirgawali	1/1	96.00	Malhtone	Vhilaniya	402/1	30.00	Sagar	Maswasi	37/2	50.00	Deori	Mudnri	47/1	47.00	Keshli	Bhardi	88	14.38	Keshli	Kharkhari	1	4.68	Gourjahmar	Sahajpuri	1/2	8.02	<b>Total :-</b>	-	-	<b>250.08</b>
Tehsil	Village	Kh.No.	Area (hac.)																																			
Malthone	Mirgawali	1/1	96.00																																			
Malhtone	Vhilaniya	402/1	30.00																																			
Sagar	Maswasi	37/2	50.00																																			
Deori	Mudnri	47/1	47.00																																			
Keshli	Bhardi	88	14.38																																			
Keshli	Kharkhari	1	4.68																																			
Gourjahmar	Sahajpuri	1/2	8.02																																			
<b>Total :-</b>	-	-	<b>250.08</b>																																			
16	Proposed area should not be part of any protected area. Also distance from the boundary of the nearest protected area should be more than 10 kms.	Proposed area is not a part of protected area.  Proposed area is at above 60 kms from Panna Tiger Reserve																																				
17	Dependence of tribals in the area. Whether the rights of the tribals have been recognized in the area.	There are no tribals residing on the proposed area.																																				
18	Utility of the project, including the people living in close vicinity of the project.	Project is of great importance from national point of view and also for development of Agricultural & allied activities, including generation of employment to those living in close vicinity of the project.																																				
19	In case of renewal whether all the conditions stipulated in the earlier sanction order have been complied with.	It is an application for allocation of fresh forest land.																																				
20	Alternatives examined by the user agency in case of non-site specific projects.	Yes, alternatives are examined and proposed forest land is minimum and inevitable.																																				
21	A certificate by the user agency that the forest land requested for diversion for non-forestry purpose is bare minimum.	Certificate is obtained from the user agency.																																				



22	Any scope of saving tree growth while ensuring that the purpose for which the forest land is being diverted is also not adversely affected.	No
23	Any other issue of significance.	No
24	Specific recommendations of the DFO with reasons for approval of the project.	Kadan medium project is of national importance and it will provide water for Irrigation of the land. Therefore it is recommended for approval of diversion of 248.76 hac. of forest land for construction of aforesaid project.

14.12.17

**Divisional Forest Officer**  
**North Division Sagai**

