

Sub : Diversion of 112.736 ha. Road side protected forest land for Rehabilitation and up-gradation of Mahuva- Savarkundla- Amreli- Bagasara-Jetpur section of NH-351 to two/four lane with paved shoulder configuration in the State of Gujarat(FP/GJ/ROAD/25954/2017)

Annexure-17

Check List for site inspection by DFO/CF/CCF

sr.no	Particular	Inspection Report by C.F.Rajkot	
		112.736 Ha.	
1	Extent in hectares	Starting Point	Ending Point
2	Location (Lat-Long) of the forest land proposed for Mahuva- Savarkundla- Amreli- Bagasara-Jetpur section of NH-351	21° 05'56.9"N 71° 44'59.9"E	21° 41'52.62"N 70° 49'00.75"E
3	Legal status of the forest land (protected forest, Reserved forest, Revenue forest land or any other forest land)	Road side Protected Forest	
4	Demarcation of the area with temporary cairns etc.	In Protected Forest Road side Area no Temporary cairns are Available.	
5	Any signs of encroachment.	No	
6	Any activity already taken up within the forest land or adjoining non-forest land as part of the proposed project by the user agency. Detail of action taken against the user Agency in case of Violation of the FC Act and Guidelines there under.	No work has been done by the user agency for the proposed Widening of road in Proposed area.	
7	Status of vegetation, site, quality, species composition etc.	No vegetation in the Proposed area.	
8	Importance of area from wildlife point of view, status of wildlife (density and abundance of important species, bird life reptiles, butterflies and other scheduled animals any endangered wildlife). any latest censuses of wildlife in this area.	The Proposed area is not Important from wildlife point of view.	
9	Endemism of flora / fauna or any other unique ecosystem in the area.	The Proposed area is not Important for Endemism of flora / fauna.	
10	Current land use. is this area managed as per prescriptions in the working plan and if not, why	The Proposed area is Road side Protected forest Area, which is not Under Working Plan.	
11	importance of the area from historical or religious point of view.	There is no Historic Place/Archeological Place in this Demanded Area.	
12	Any department of persons proposed	No	
13	Any displacement of persons proposed	No	
14	Is there any Rehabilitation and Resettlement plan for the person to be affected ? is there any dissenting.	There is no Rehabilitation Hence not Applicable.	

Sub : Diversion of 112.736 ha. Road side protected forest land for Rehabilitation and up-gradation of Mahuva- Savarkundla- Amreli- Bagasara-Jetpur section of NH-351 to two four lane with paved shoulder configuration in the State of Gujarat(FP/GJ/ROAD/25954/2017)

Annexure-17

Check List for site inspection by DFO/CF/CCF

sr.no	Particular	Inspection Report by C.F.Rajkot
15	Compensatory afforestation proposed is on forest land or non-forest land. Location of this area, suitability of the area for CA. if in the degraded forest land then what is the current working plan prescription for the area ? Distance of the non-forest land for CA from the nearest forest area. Number of patches in case the area should be more than 10 kms.	Attached annexure No. 19
16	Proposal area should not be part of any protected area. Also distance from the boundary of the nearest protected area should be more than 10 kms.	Proposed Area is 41.00 K.M.(Approx) (Using GPS technology) Away in Areal Lenear Distance from the nerest as Mitiyala Sanctuary's Eco-sensitive zone boundary and not Fall in Eco-sensitive zone .
17	Dependence of tribal in the area, Whether the rights of the tribal have been recognized in this area.	The Proposed area is not in tribal area.
18	utility of the project, Including the people living in closed vicinity of the project.	The proposed Project is useful for the people living in closed vicinity of the project.
19	In case of renewal whether all the conditions stipulated N/A in the earlier sanction order have been complied with.	The Proposal satisfactory fulfills all the necessary conditions of FCA.
20	Alternatives examined by the user agency in case of non-site specific projects.	NO
21	A Certificate by the user agency that the forest land requested for diversion for non-forestry purpose in bare minimum.	Yes
22	Any scope of saving tree growth while ensuring that the purpose for which the forest land is being diverted is also not adversely affected.	No Scope of saving tree growth
23	Any other issued of singificance	No
24	Specific recommendation of the CF with reason for approval of the project.	Recommended because, The Project is not Harmful from wildlife and flora / fauna point of view.

Place : Rajkot

Date : 02 / 05 / 2023

(Dr. Sandip Kumar)
Conservator of Forests
Social Forestry circle
Rajkot