

**Full Title of the project : Diversion of 1.92ha.of Forest land in favour of HPPWD for the C/o Chillan to Chowki Mirgwal Km 0/00 to 8/00 within the jurisdiction of Renuka Ji Forest Division ,District Sirmour HP**

State Serial No. of Proposal \_\_\_\_\_

### **PART -II**

*(To be filled by the concerned Deputy Conservator of Forest)*

1.	<b>Location of the Project/Scheme</b>	
i	State/Union Territory:	Himachal Pradesh
ii	District	Sirmour
iii	Forest Division	Renuka Ji
iv	Area of Forest Land proposed for diversion (in ha.)	1.92 Ha
v	Legal status of the Forest	Reserve Forest
vi	Density of vegetation	0.5
vii	Species-wise (Scientific names) and diameter class-wise enumeration of trees to be enclosed	<b>Attached in check list No.15</b>
Viii	Brief note on vulnerability of the forest area to erosion	Moderate vulnerable
Ix	Approximate the distance of proposed site for diversion from the boundary of forests	Located within forest land inside forest boundary
X	Whether forms part of a nation park, wildlife sanctuary, biosphere reserve, tiger reserve, elephant corridor, etc. (if so, the details of the area, and comments of the Chief Wildlife Warden to be annexed).	No
Xi	Whether any rare/endangered/unique species of flora and fauna found in the area-if so details thereof	No
xii	Whether any protected archaeological/heritage site/defense establishment or any other important monument is located in the area. If so, the details thereof with NOC from competent authority.	No
2.	Whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the user agency in col. 2 of part-I is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project, if no, recommended area item-wise with details of alternatives examined.	The proposed land is required for the construction of scheme is unavoidable and bare minimum.
3.	Whether any work in violation of various Forest Act has been carried out (Yes/No). If yes, details of the same including period of work done, action taken against the erring officials. Whether work done in violation is still in progress. No violation has been done on the proposed	No
3	<b>Details of compensatory afforestation scheme</b>	<b>Attached in check list No.18</b>
i	Details of non-forest area/degraded forest area identified for compensatory afforestation, its	No, non -forest area available for CA.joint certificate of DC & DFO will be obtained and



	distance from adjoining forest, number of patches, size of each patch	submitted with FRA certificate, which already applied by the user agency (detail given in check list No.14)
ii	Map showing non-forest/degraded forest area identified for compensatory afforestation and adjoining forest boundaries.	<b>Attached in check list No.17</b>
iii	Detailed compensatory afforestation scheme including species to be planted, implementing agency, time schedule, cost structure, etc	RF Sataun C-1 has been identified for raising 3.84 Ha Compensatory. Afforestation. The Land bank has a total area of 3.84Ha
iv	Total financial outlay for compensatory afforestation scheme	<b>Rs. 7,63,100/- ( attached in check list No.18)</b>
v	Certificate from competent authority regarding suitability of area identified for compensatory afforestation and from management point of view. (To be signed by the concerned Deputy Conservator of Forests)	<b>Attached in check list No.20</b>
4	Site inspection report of the DCF (to be enclosed) especially highlighting facts asked in col. 7 (xi, ii), 8 and 9 above.	<b>Attached in check list No.16</b>
5	Division/district profile	
i	Geographical area of the Division	101800 hac.(Renuka Ji Forest Division)
ii	Forest area of the Division	27400 hac. (Renuka Ji Division)
iii	Total forest area diverted since 1980 with number of cases	24.8742hac.
iv	Total compensatory afforestation stipulated in the district/division since 1980 on (a) Forest land including penal compensatory afforestation. (b) Non Forest land	(a) 73.75 hac. (b) ---Nil---
v	Progress of Compensatory Afforestation as on (date) 31.03.2020 on. (a) Forest Land (b) Non Forest land	(a) 73.15 Hac. (b) -Nil-
6	Specific recommendations of the DCF for acceptance or otherwise of the proposal with reason.	The case being a project of public interest recommended for approval under FCA 1980

Date:-...2.18.21....

Place:-...Renuka Ji...

Divisional Forest Officer  
Renuka ji Forest Division  
Sri-Renuka Ji