Site Inspection Report

Prescribed vide PCCF (MOEF) No. Desk-17-4/1445, Dated 5/11/2015

| Sr. No. | Items | Observations and remarks |
|------------------------|--|---|
| 1. | Name of the Project and location (Range, Round, Beat) | Proposal of Diversion of Forest land required for construction 800 KV HVDC Raigarh -Pugalur Transmission Lin. Range: Bedgoan, Purada, Kurkheda, Delanwadi and Armori. Round: Bethkathi, Korchi, Bedgaon, Kohaka, Ramgad, Purada, Gothangaon, Sonsari, Palasgaon, Vairagad and Armori. Beat: Bori, Mundipar, Bethkathi, Dawandi, Bedgaon, Banjari, Andhli, Purada, Yedapur, Ramgad, Chirchadi, Chandagad, Shiwani, Sawalkheda, Vairagad, Wasala |
| 2. | Name of the User Agency | M/s Power Grid Corporation of India Ltd, Bramhapuri |
| 3. | Date of site inspection | 17.01.2018. |
| 4. | Extent (ha) and legal land proposed for diversion | Reserve Forest 168.08 ha. |
| | | Protected Forest 137.12 ha. |
| | | Zudpi Forest 3.14 ha. |
| | | Unclassified Forest 7.28 ha. |
| | | 7.20 1.6. |
| | | |
| 5. | a) Details of forest land proposed for diversion | Total 328.78 ha. Details of forest land proposed for diversion and activity-wise |
| 7. | b) Density and Eco-value class Whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the User Agency in col.2 of Part-I is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project. If no recommended area item-wise with details of alternative examined Whether the proposal involves any construction of | Density 0.4 & above Eco-Value Class - III The requirement of forest land as proposed by the user agency in col. 2 of Part 7 I is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project. |
| | building (including residential) or not? If yes, details thereof | No. |
| 8. | a) Whether forest area proposed for diversion is important from wildlife point of view or not. b) Details of any rare or endangered or unique species of flora and fauna found in proposed forest land. If so, the details thereof. | Yes, the line crosses the Nagzira Nawegaon TR (NNTR)-Indravati TR corridor & passes near the Tadoba- NNTR tiger Corridor as per WII Tiger Corridor of Vidharba Landscape. Tiger, leopard, Bear, Wolf, Hyena, Wild dog etc. are some rare/endangered species of fauna in the area. |
| | c) Aerial distance from the nearest boundary of any Protected Area (KM) | 45 Km from Navegaon WL 60 Km from Chaprala WL 46.6 Km from TATR |
| | d) Remarks about sensitivity of the forest area likely to be affected due to project. | Sensitivity of the area is not so well documented. The use of the tigers as a corridor for movement from one TR to another is known. The presence of another 5 sanctioned/under process HVTL added to the problems. |
| | e) Whether wildlife mitigation plan is required? If yes, reasons therefore | A separate Wildlife mitigation plan may not be required, however in consultation with Dr. Bilal Habib some mitigative measures are suggested which are: The height of the tower |

| | | should be raised from 18 mt to 24 mt throughout, the UA should install Bird reflectors at appropriate places and that the UA should support the Deptt in monitoring of the areas diverted for protection and monitoring. |
|-----|---|--|
| 9. | Details of vegetation: a) Total number of trees to be felled: b) Number of trees to be felled of girth below 60 cm: c) Number of trees to be felled of girth above 60 cm: d) Effect of removal of trees on the general ecosystem in the area | 36571 nos. 16783 nos. 19808 nos. |
| 10. | Background note on the proposal (Short summery) | bottled-up power surplus States to power deficit States and will also support to provide additional system strengthening of National Grid. Strengthening of Transmission Network across various States of India. |
| 11. | Whether the proposal involves any violation of Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980? If yes, a detailed report on violation including action taken against the concerned officials to be attached | No. |
| 12. | Whether the proposal involves rehabilitation of displaced person. If yes, whether rehabilitation plan has been approved by the State Government? | No. |
| 13. | Details on catchment and culturable command area under the project (if applicable) Status of Catchment and treatment plan prevent siltation of reservoir (if applicable) | NA. |
| 14. | Utility of the project | Strengthening of Transmission Network across various States of India. |
| 15. | Whether land being diverted has any socio- cultural/religious values? Whether any sacred grove or very old growth trees/forests exist in the areas proposed for diversion? | Not observed or reported. |
| 16. | Any other important information related to the project (Separate note may be attached, if required) | No. |
| 17. | Details of documents and photographs enclosed: | As per attachment with the proposal. |
| 18. | | The proposal is recommended for sanction under Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 subject to the following conditions: 1) The height of the tower should be raised from 18 mt to 24 mt throughout 2) The UA should install Bird reflectors at appropriate places 3) The UA should support the Deptt in monitoring of the areas diverted by handing over 1 (one) all-terrain vehicle for protection and monitoring. |

Place:- Gadchiroli Date:- 18.05.2018.

W. I. Yatbon.
Chief Conservator of Forests (T.)
Gadchiroli