

कार्यालय वनमंडलाधिकारी सा0 वनमंडलऔबेदुल्लागंज

होशंगाबादरोडऔबेदुल्लागंजफोन नं0 07480.224062 फेक्स नं0 07480.224911 email-dfooganj@mpforest.org

DFO Inspection Report

| | |
|-------------------------------|---|
| Name of the Project | - NOFN (National Optical Fiber Network) D.E. Phones-II in gram panchayat Kamton Kansiya, Obedullaganj Block |
| Name of the District/division | - District Raisen/ Obaidullaganj Division |
| Name of Forest Range | - Chiklod and Chilwaha |
| Forest Compartment No | - PF-801, RF-429 & RF-428 |
| Date of Inspection | - 27-12-20 |

| S.No | Particular | Inspection Report By DFO (T) |
|------|---|--|
| 1 | Extent is hectares. | Range Chiklod and Chilwaha – 0.161 hectares. (Block name Nayapura and Kamton Kansiya) |
| 2 | Location (Lat-long) of the forest land proposed for diversion. | In Compartment no. PF-801, RF-429 & RF-428 starting to end reading:- 1) 23°8'33.68" N 77°50'09.72" E, 2) 23°8'27.74" N 77°50'27.94" E, 3) 23°8'23.92" N 77°51'00.31" E, 4) 23°8'15.23" N 77°51'29.31" E, 5) 23°8'12.18" N 77°51'50.54" E, 6) 23°8'15.64" N 77°52'01.12" E. |
| 3 | Legal Status of the land (protected forest reserved forest revenue forest land or any other forest land) | The proposed forest area Range Chiklod compt. no. PF-801 area 0.044 hact. (length 872m X width 0.5m) and Range Chilwaha compt. no. RF-429 area 0.083 hact. (length 1670m X width 0.5m), & compt. no. RF-428 area 0.034 hact. (length 686m X width 0.5m) total area 0.161 hact. (length 3228m X width 0.5m) is Protected and Reserved forest as per notification. |
| 4 | Demarcation of the area with temporary cairns etc. | Yes, the proposed forest land is demarcated on ground. |
| 5 | Any signs of encroachment. | No |
| 6 | Any activity already taken up within the forest land of adjoining non forest land as part of the proposed project by the user agency. Details of action taken against the user agency in case of violation of the FC Act and guideline there under. | Nil |
| 7 | Status of vegetation, site quality, species compositions etc. | As per Champion and Seth classification 1964 is 5 tropical dry deciduous forests |
| 8 | Importance of area from wildlife point of view Status of wildlife (density and abundance of important species bird life Reptiles, Butter flies and other scheduled animals any endangered wildlife) any latest census of wildlife in this area. | No any importance of proposed area because proposed area is out of sanctuary so no importance from wildlife provision. |
| 9 | Endemism of flora/fauna or any other unique ecosystem in the area. | Not Endemic to any particular flora/fauna. |
| 10 | Current land use. Is this area managed as per prescription in the working plan and, if not, why? | The applied area is maintained as per the working plan as a PF and RF and it is involved in IFS and RDF working circle. |
| 11 | Importance of the area from historical or | No Importance of the proposed area from historical |

| | religious point of view. | or religious point of view. |
|----|---|---|
| 12 | Any dependent persons/families on this land. | No |
| 13 | Any displacement of persons proposed. | No |
| 14 | Is here any rehabilitation and resettlement plan for the persons to be affected? Is there any dissenting voice among the persons proposed to be displaced? | No |
| 15 | Compensatory afforestation proposed is on forest land or non forest land. Location of this area suitability of the area for CA. If in the degraded forest land then what is the current working plan description for the area/Distance of the non forest land fir CA from the nearest forest area. Number of patches in case the area should be more than to KM | Compensatory afforestation is not applicable because proposal is less than 1 hact. and no trees proposed to be cuton proposed Forest land. |
| 16 | Proposed area should not be part of any protected area. Also distance from the boundary of the nearest protected area should be more than 10 KM. | Distance of Proposed area is 4.11 km nearest boundary of Ratapani Sanctuary. |
| 17 | Dependence of tribal's in the area. Whether the right of the tribal's have been recognized in the area. | No |
| 18 | Utility of the project including the people living in close vicinity of the project. | It facilitates the growth in the economy and communication system for people. |
| 19 | In case of renewal whether all the conditions stipulated in the earlier sanction order have been complied with. | NA |
| 20 | Alternatives examined by the user agency in case of no-site specific project. | An alternative not required because OFC is proposed along the cart road. |
| 21 | A certificate by the user agency that the forest land requested for diversion for non-forestry purpose as are minimum. | Yes |
| 22 | Any scope of saving tree growth while ensuring that the purpose for which the forest land is being diverted is also not adversely affected. | Yes |
| 23 | Any other issue of significance. | No |
| 24 | Specific recommendations of the DFO with reasons for approval of the project. | Recommended the diversion of forest area for the proposed NOFN (National Optical Fiber Network) D.E. Phones-II in gram panchayat Kamton Kansiya, Obedullaganj Block in forest division Obedullaganj because this project is beneficial for better telecommunication facilities to rural people. |


Divisional Forest Officer
 Division Obaidullaganj