Laying of 24 Dia Natural Gas Pipe line from Mumbai to Nagpur along Reserved/Revenue forest in right of way/use of Samruddhi Expressway, Dahej-Uran pipeline (Dual) & Mumbai Manmad Bijwan pipeline (MMBPL) in Nagpur District (0.6838 ha.) in favour of Gail India Ltd. (FP/MH/Pipeline/139865/2021)

| Pipe Line from Mumbai to Nagpur along Reserved/Revenue forest in right ofway/use of Samruddhi Expressway, Dahej Uran pipeline (Dual) & Mumbai-Manmad-Bijwan pipeline (MMBPL) in Nagpur District (0.6838 ha.) in favour of Gai India Ltd. (FP/MH/Pipeline/139865/2021) Village Haladgaon.Sawangi,Ghodeghat,Borgaon(R), Range Butibori Round Butibori (west) Beat Ajangton Name of the User Agency. Gail India Limited Date of site inspection. 21.04/2022 Extent (ha.) and legal status of forest land proposed for diversion. a) Details of forest land proposed for diversion and activity-wise break-up of forest land. | Site Insp | ection Report |
|--|---|---|
| Name of the project and location Propose for re-diversion of Laying 2.4 Dia Natural Ga Pipe line from Mumbal to Nagpur along Reserved Revenue forest in right ofway use of Samruddhi Expressway, Dally Uran hipeline (Dual). & Mumbal-Mannad-Bijwan pipeline (MMEPL) in Nagpur District (0.6338 ha.) in favour of Gai India Ltd. (FPMHP) pipelina 19865. 2021) Village Butibori (West) Range Butibori (West) Bate of site inspection. Extent (ha.) and legal status of forest land proposed for diversion and activity-wise break-up of forest land. b) Density and Eco-value class. Whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the User Agency in col.2 of part-1 is unavoidable and darest minimum for the project. If no, recommended area item-vise with details of alternative examined. Whether the proposal involves any construction of building (including residential) or not? If yes, details thereof. a) Whether forest area proposed for diversion is important from wildlife point of view or not. b) Details of any are or endangered or unique species of flora and fauna found in proposed forest land. If so, the details thereof. c) Aerial distance from the nearest boundary of any Protected Area (km). Parallel to MISRDC Ltd Express Road Density is from Munia Reserve Approximately 4.6. Kms from Pench Tiger Reserve Approximately 4.6. No. Details of vegetation: Details of vegetation: | (1 | Prescribed vide PCCF (HoFF) No Desk-17-4. Dated.05/11/2015 |
| Pipe Line from Mumbai to Nagpur along Reserved Revenue forest in right ofway use of Sauruddhi Expressway, Dahej Uran fipeline (Dual) & Mumbai-Manmad-Bijwan pipeline (MMEPL) in Nagpur District (9.6838 ha.) in favour of Gai India Ltd. (PFMHP) pipeline 139865 2021. Village Haladgaon Sawangi, Ghodeghat, Borgaon(R). Range Butibori Round Butibori (west) Beat Ajangson Name of the User Agency. Date of site inspection. Extent (ha.) and legal status of forest land proposed for diversion and activity-wise break-up of forest land proposed for diversion and activity-wise break-up of forest land. a) Details of forest land proposed for diversion and activity-wise break-up of forest land activity-wise break-up of forest land as proposed by the User Agency in col.2 of part-l is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project. If no, recommended area item-vise with details of alternative examined. Whether the proposal involves any construction of building (including residential) or not? If yes, details thereof. a) Whether forest area proposed for diversion is important from wildlife point of view or not. b) Details of any rare or endangered or unique species of flora and fauna found in proposed forest land. If so, the details thereof. c) Aerial distance from the nearest boundary of any Protected Area (km). Protected Area (km). d) Remarks about sensitivity of the forest area likely to be affected due to project. e) Whether wildlife mitigation plan is required? If yes, reasons therefore: a) Total number of trees to be felled: No. No. No. Details of vegetation: a) Total number of trees to be felled: Noil | Items | Observation and remarks |
| Range Round Beat Ajang.on Name of the User Agency. Gail India Limited 21 04/2022 Extent (ha.) and legal status of forest land proposed for diversion. a) Details of forest land proposed for diversion and activity-wise break-up of forest land. b) Density and Eco-value class. Density and Eco-value class. Density and Eco-value class. Whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the User Agency in col.2 of part-1 is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project. If no, recommended area item-wise with details of alternative examined. Whether the proposal involves any construction of building (including residential) or not? If yes, details thereof. a) Whether forest area proposed for diversion is important from wildlife point of view or not. b) Details of any rare or endangered or unique species of flora and fauna found in proposed forest land. If so, the details thereof. c) Aerial distance from the nearest boundary of any Protected Area (km). Remarks about sensitivity of the forest area likely to be affected due to project. e) Whether wildlife mitigation plan is required? If yes, reasons therefore: a) Total number of trees to be felled: Nil | Name of the project and location | Proposation re-diversion of Laying of 24 Dia Natural Gas Pipe Line from Mumbai to Nagpur along Reserved/Revenue forest in right ofway/use of Samruddhi Expressway, Dahej- Uran pipeline (Dual) & Mumbai-Manmad-Bijwan pipeline (MMBPL) in Nagpur District (0.6838 ha.) in favour of Gail India Ltd. (FP/MH/Pipeline/139865/2021) |
| Beat Ajangton Name of the User Agency. Date of site inspection. 21 04/2022 Extent (ha.) and legal status of forest land proposed for diversion. a) Details of forest land proposed for diversion and activity-wise break-up of forest land. b) Density and Eco-value class. Density and Eco-value class. Density and Eco-value class. Whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the User Agency in col.2 of part-1 is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project. If no, recommended area item-wise with details of alternative examined. Whether the proposal involves any construction of building (including residential) or not? If yes, details thereof. a) Whether forest area proposed for diversion is important from wildlife point of view or not. b) Details of any rare or endangered or unique species of flora and fauna found in proposed forest land. If so, the details thereof. c) Aerial distance from the nearest boundary of any Protected Area (km). 10.1 Kins. from Munia Reserve Approximately 43.0 Kins. from Umred Karandala Reserve Approximately 44.0 Kins. from Pench Tiger Reserve Approximately 44.0 Kins. from Pench Tiger Reserve Approximately 45.7 Kins from Pench Tiger Reserve Approximately No. Details of vegetation: a) Total number of trees to be felled: Nil | Village | Haladgaon.Sawangi,Ghodeghat,Borgaon(R), |
| Beat Name of the User Agency. Gail India Limited | Range | Butibori |
| Name of the User Agency. Date of site inspection. Extent (ha.) and legal status of forest land proposed for diversion. a) Details of forest land proposed for diversion and activity-wise break-up of forest land. b) Density and Eco-value class. Density. below 0.4 (Open Forests). ECO Value Class III comes ander southern tropical Dry deciduous forests. Whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the User Agency in col.2 of part-1 is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project. If no, recommended area item-wise with details of alternative examined. Whether the proposal involves any construction of building (including residential) or not? If yes, details thereof. a) Whether forest area proposed for diversion is important from wildlife point of view or not. b) Details of any rare or endangered or unique species of flora and fauna found in proposed forest land. If so, the details thereof. c) Aerial distance from the nearest boundary of any Protected Area (km). d) Remarks about sensitivity of the forest area likely to be affected due to project. e) Whether wildlife mitigation plan is required? If yes, reasons therefore: a) Total number of trees to be felled: Nil | Round | Butibori (west) |
| Date of site inspection. Extent (ha.) and legal status of forest land proposed for diversion. a) Details of forest land proposed for diversion and activity-wise break-up of forest land. b) Density and Eco-value class. Density below 0.4 (Open Forests). ECO Value Class III comes under southern tropical Dry deciduous forests. Whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the User Agency in col.2 of part-1 is unavoidable and barrest minimum for the project. If no, recommended area item-wise with details of alternative examined. Whether the proposal involves any construction of building (including residential) or not? If yes, details thereof. a) Whether forest area proposed for diversion is important from wildlife point of view or not. b) Details of any rare or endangered or unique species of flora and fauna found in proposed forest land. If so, the details thereof. c) Aerial distance from the nearest boundary of any Protected Area (km). d) Remarks about sensitivity of the forest area likely to be affected due to project. e) Whether wildlife mitigation plan is required? If yes, reasons therefore: a) Total number of trees to be felled: Nil | | Ajangaon |
| Extent (ha.) and legal status of forest land proposed for diversion. a) Details of forest land proposed for diversion and activity-wise break-up of forest land. b) Density and Eco-value class. Density: below 0.4 (Open Forests). ECO Value Class III comes under southern tropical Dry deciduous forests. Whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the User Agency in col.2 of part-I is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project. If no, recommended area item-wise with details of alternative examined. Whether the proposal involves any construction of building (including residential) or not? If yes, details thereof. a) Whether forest area proposed for diversion is important from wildlife point of view or not. b) Details of any rare or endangered or unique species of flora and fauna found in proposed forest land. If so, the details thereof. c) Aerial distance from the nearest boundary of any Protected Area (km). 10.1 Kms. from Munia Reserve Approximately. 11.6 Kms. from Bor Wildlife Sanctury Approximately 43.0 Kms. from Umred Karandala Reserve Approximately 43.0 Kms. from Pench Tiger Reserve Approximately 46.7 Kms from Pench Tiger Reserve Approximately No. c) Whether wildlife mitigation plan is required? If yes, reasons therefore: Details of vegetation: a) Total number of trees to be felled: Nil | Name of the User Agency. | Gail India Limited |
| a) Details of forest land proposed for diversion and activity-wise break-up of forest land. b) Density and Eco-value class. Density: below 0.4 (Open Forests). ECO Value Class III comes under southern tropical Dry deciduous forests. Whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the User Agency in col.2 of part-1 is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project. If no recommended area item-wise with details of alternative examined. Whether the proposal involves any construction of building (including residential) or not? If yes, details thereof. a) Whether forest area proposed for diversion is important from wildlife point of view or not. b) Details of any rare or endangered or unique species of flora and fauna found in proposed forest land. If so, the details thereof. c) Aerial distance from the nearest boundary of any Protected Area (km). 10.1 Kms. from Munia Reserve Approximately 43.0 Kms. from Der Wildlife Sanctury Approximately 44.7 Kms from Pench Tiger Reserve Approximately 46.7 Kms from Pench Tiger Reserve Approximately No. e) Whether wildlife mitigation plan is required? If yes, reasons therefore: Details of vegetation: a) Total number of trees to be felled: Nil | | 21/04/2022 |
| b) Density and Eco-value class. Whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the User Agency in col.2 of part-I is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project. If no, recommended area item-wise with details of alternative examined. Whether the proposal involves any construction of building (including residential) or not? If yes, details thereof. a) Whether forest area proposed for diversion is important from wildlife point of view or not. b) Details of any rare or endangered or unique species of flora and fauna found in proposed forest land. If so, the details thereof. c) Aerial distance from the nearest boundary of any Protected Area (km). d) Remarks about sensitivity of the forest area likely to be affected due to project. e) Whether wildlife mitigation plan is required? If yes, reasons therefore: Details of vegetation: a) Total number of trees to be felled: Diana of Forests). ECO Value Class III comes wouthern tropical Dry deciduous forests. Yes. Re-diversion project Yes. Re-diversion project No. | Extent (ha.) and legal status of forest land proposed for diversion. | 0.6838 ha. (Rediversion) & Zudpi Jungle |
| whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the User Agency in col.2 of part-I is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project. If no, recommended area item-wise with details of alternative examined. Whether the proposal involves any construction of building (including residential) or not? If yes, details thereof. a) Whether forest area proposed for diversion is important from wildlife point of view or not. b) Details of any rare or endangered or unique species of flora and fauna found in proposed forest land. If so, the details thereof. c) Aerial distance from the nearest boundary of any Protected Area (km). 10.1 Kins. from Munia Reserve Approximately. 11.6 Kins. from Bor Wildlife Sanctury Approximately. 43.0 Kins. from Umred Karandala Reserve Approximately 46.7 Kins from Pench Tiger Reserve Approximately 46.7 Kins from Pench Tiger Reserve Approximately No. e) Whether wildlife mitigation plan is required? If yes, reasons therefore: Details of vegetation: a) Total number of trees to be felled: Nil | a) Details of forest land proposed for diversion and activity-wise break-up of forest land. | Enclosed |
| the User Agency in col.2 of part-I is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project. If no, recommended area item-wise with details of alternative examined. Whether the proposal involves any construction of building (including residential) or not? If yes, details thereof. a) Whether forest area proposed for diversion is important from wildlife point of view or not. b) Details of any rare or endangered or unique species of flora and fauna found in proposed forest land. If so, the details thereof. c) Aerial distance from the nearest boundary of any Protected Area (km). 10.1 Kms. from Munia Reserve Approximately. 11.6 Kms. from Bor Wildlife Sanctury Approximately 43.0 Kms. from Umred Karandala Reserve Approximately 46.7 Kms from Pench Tiger Reserve Approximately 46.7 Kms from Pench Tiger Reserve Approximately No. e) Whether wildlife mitigation plan is required? If yes, reasons therefore: Details of vegetation: a) Total number of trees to be felled: Nil | b) Density and Eco-value class. | Density: below 0.4 (Open Forests). ECO Value Class III comes under southern tropical Dry deciduous forests. |
| building (including residential) or not? If yes, details thereof. a) Whether forest area proposed for diversion is important from wildlife point of view or not. b) Details of any rare or endangered or unique species of flora and fauna found in proposed forest land. If so, the details thereof. c) Aerial distance from the nearest boundary of any Protected Area (km). 10.1 kms. from Munia Reserve Approximately. 11.6 kms. from Umred Karandala Reserve Approximately 43.0 kms. from Umred Karandala Reserve Approximately 46.7 kms from Pench Tiger Reserve Approximately No. e) Whether wildlife mitigation plan is required? If yes, reasons therefore: Details of vegetation: a) Total number of trees to be felled: Nil | the User Agency in col.2 of part-I is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project. If no, recommended area | Yes. Re-diversion project |
| important from wildlife point of view or not. Parallel to MSRDC Ltd Express Road Details of any rare or endangered or unique species of flora and fauna found in proposed forest land. If so, the details thereof. c) Aerial distance from the nearest boundary of any Protected Area (km). 10.1 Kms. from Munia Reserve Approximately. 11.6 Kms. from Bor Wildlife Sanctury Approximately. 43.0 Kms. from Umred Karandala Reserve Approximately. 46.7 Kms from Pench Tiger Reserve Approximately. No. e) Whether wildlife mitigation plan is required? If yes, reasons therefore: Details of vegetation: a) Total number of trees to be felled: No. | building (including residential) or not? If yes, details | No. |
| important from wildlife point of view or not. Parallel to MSRDC Ltd Express Road Details of any rare or endangered or unique species of flora and fauna found in proposed forest land. If so, the details thereof. c) Aerial distance from the nearest boundary of any Protected Area (km). 10.1 Kms. from Munia Reserve Approximately. 11.6 Kms. from Bor Wildlife Sanctury Approximately. 43.0 Kms. from Umred Karandala Reserve Approximately. 46.7 Kms from Pench Tiger Reserve Approximately. No. e) Whether wildlife mitigation plan is required? If yes, reasons therefore: Details of vegetation: a) Total number of trees to be felled: No. | a) Whether forest area proposed for diversion is | Re-diversion |
| flora and fauna found in proposed forest land. If so, the details thereof. c) Aerial distance from the nearest boundary of any Protected Area (km). 10.1 Kms. from Munia Reserve Approximately. 11.6 Kms. from Bor Wildlife Sanctury Approximately. 43.0 Kms. from Umred Karandala Reserve Approximately. 46.7 Kms from Pench Tiger Reserve Approximately. No. e) Whether wildlife mitigation plan is required? If yes, reasons therefore: Details of vegetation: a) Total number of trees to be felled: Nil | | |
| Protected Area (km). 11.6 Kms. from Bor Wildlife Sanctury Approximately. 43.0 Kms. from Umred Karandala Reserve Approximately 46.7 Kms from Pench Tiger Reserve Approximately be affected due to project. e) Whether wildlife mitigation plan is required? If yes, reasons therefore: Details of vegetation: a) Total number of trees to be felled: Nil | flora and fauna found in proposed forest land. If so, the | No. |
| be affected due to project. e) Whether wildlife mitigation plan is required? If yes, reasons therefore: Details of vegetation: a) Total number of trees to be felled: No. | Protected Area (km). | 43.0 Kms. from Umred Karandala Reserve Approximately |
| Details of vegetation: a) Total number of trees to be felled: Nil | d) Remarks about sensitivity of the forest area likely to be affected due to project. | No. |
| a) Total number of trees to be felled: | reasons therefore: | No. |
| a) Total number of trees to be felled: | Details of vegetation: | |
| b) Number of trees to be felled of girth below 60 cm: | | Nil |
| | b) Number of trees to be felled of girth below 60 cm | |

| Recommendations of the Inspecting Officer | Details of documents and photographs enclosed: | e project | Whether land being diverted has any socio-cultural/ religious values? Whether any scared grove or very old growth trees/forests exist in the areas proposed for diversion? | t area treatment plan to prevent (if applicable). | Details on catchment and culturable command area under the project (if applicable). | Whether the proposal involves rehabilitation of displaced person. If yes, whether rehabilitation plan has been approved by the State Government? | Whether the proposal involves any violation of Forest (Conservation) Act 1980? If yes, a detailed report on violation including action taken against the concerned officials to be attached. | Background note on the proposal (short summary). | d) Effect of removal of trees on the general eco-system in the area. | c) Number of trees to be felled of girth above 60 cm: |
|---|--|-----------|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|---|
| It is Government project and area is re-diversion and allotment | Enclosed. | No | Public Transport No. | NA. | NA. | No. | No. | Enclosed . | NA. | Z |

Place: Nagpus Date: 29/04/2022

Name of Inspecting Officer: (Dr. Bharat Singh Hada) Designation: Deputy Conservator of Forests (T),

(Signature) Br

approval. letter issued by

MSRDC Ltd. Hence recommended for

Nagpur Forest Division, Nagpur