PART-II

(To be filled by the concerned Forest Divisional Officer)

7.	Location of the Project / Scheme		Hyderabad (Laying of 220 KV Gachibowli to Erragadda line XLPE UG Cable to proposed Raidurg substation and LILO of 220 KV Gachibowli to Miyapur line XLPE UG Cable to proposed Raidurg substation)
(i)	State/Union Territory	:	Telangana
(ii)	District.	:	Rangareddy
(iii)	Forest Division	:	Shamshabad
(iv)	Area of forest land proposed for Diversion (in Ha.)	•	0.0013 Ha in Compt. No. 203 of Kothaguda RF of Kothaguda Beat Kothaguda Section in Chilkur Range and 1.0003 Ha rediversion. The total area comes to (1.0003+0.0013)1.0016 Ha
(v)	Legal status of Forest	•	Kothaguda Forest Block was notified U/s 15 A.P.Forest Act 1967 vide G.O. Ms.No. 1328, Food and Agriculture (Forest - III), Dt. 16 th July, 1969
(vi)	Density of Vegetation		0.4
(vii)	Species-wise (scientific names) and diameter Class-wise enumeration of trees (to be enclosed) in the proposed area of diversion.	:	No trees are existing for extraction.
(viii)	Brief note on vulnerability of the forest area to erosion.	•	The proposal involves no cutting of tree growth falling within the proposed area, for Laying of 220 KV Gachibowli to Erragadda line (XLPE UG Cable to proposed Raidurg substation and LILO of 220 KV Gachibowli to Miyapur line XLPE UG Cable to proposed Raidurg substation in Kothaguda RF there is no scope of erosion.
(ix)	Approximate distance of proposed site for Diversion from boundary of forest	:	The proposed area falls in the Kothaguda Forest Block of Shamshabad Division.
(x)	Whether forms part of National Park, Wild life sanctuary, Biosphere Reserve, Tiger Reserve, elephant corridor, etc. (If so, the details of the area and comments of the Chief Wild Life Warden to be Annexed)		No
(xi)	Whether any rare/endangered/unique species of flora and fauna found in the area if so details thereof.	:	No, there are no endangered species of flora and fauna found in the area, mostly Wild Bores are existing.
(xii)	Whether any protected archaeological/ Heritage site /defense establishment or any other important monument is located in the area. If so, the details there of with NOC from competent authority, if required.	7.5	No archaeological/Heritage site / defense establishment or any other important monument is located in the area.
8.	Whether the requirement of Forest land has proposed by the user agency in col.2 of part-l is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project. If no, recommended area item - wise with details of alternatives examined.	•	Yes, the requirement of the forest land as proposed by the user agency in Column No.2 of part-I is the barest minimum & unavoidable.
9.	Whether any work in violation of the act has been carried out (Yes/No). If yes, details of the same including period of work done, action taken on erring officials. Whether work in violation is still in progress.	100 to 10	No violation has taken place in the proposed area.
10	Details of Compensatory Afforestation Scheme.	•	The degraded Forest land of A Ha is identified in Malkaram RF block.
(i)	Details of non-forest area/degraded forest area identified for compensatory afforestation, its distance from adjoining forest, number of patches, size of each patch.	100	Does not arise as the CA is proposed in Degraded Forest land.
(ii)	Map showing non-forest/ degraded forest area identified for compensatory Afforestation and adjoining forest Boundaries.	:	Enclosed
(iii)	Detailed compensatory Afforestation	:	Enclosed

	Scheme/including species to be planted, implementing agency, time scheduled, cost structure, etc.		Α
(iv)	Total financial outlay for compensatory Afforestation scheme.	:	CA scheme proposed with (10) years maintenance in 3 Ha area in Kothaguda RF for Rs. 31,27,000 /-
(v)	Certificates from competent authority Regarding suitability of area identified for compensatory Afforestation and from management point of view. (to be signed by the concerned Deputy Conservator of Forests).	3.5	
11	Site inspection report of the FDO (to be enclosed) especially highlighting facts Asked in col.7 (xi, xii), 8 & 9 above.		Enclosed.
12	Division/District profile	:	Shamshabad/Ranga Reddy
(i)	Geographical area of the District	:	499681 Ha
(ii)	Forest area of the District / Division.	:	29282.48 Ha / 8661.41 Ha
(iii)	Total forest area diverted since 1980 with number of cases.	:	36.3617 Ha, (2) cases
(iv)	Total Compensatory Afforestation stipulated in the district/division since 1980.	:	
(a)	Forest land including penal compensatory Afforestation.	:	Nil.
(b)	Non-forest land.	:	Nil.
(v)	Progress of compensatory Afforestation as on (date) 30.4.2012.		
(a)	Forest land.	:	Nil
(b)	Non-forest land	:	Nil
13	Specific recommendations of the DCF for acceptance of otherwise of the proposals with reasons.	•	Diversion of 1.0016 Ha including rediversion of area 1.0003 Ha in Kothaguda RF, Chilkur Range is recommended for Laying of 220 KV Gachibowli to Erragadda line (XLPE UG Cable to proposed Raidurg substation and LILO of 220 KV Gachibowli to Miyapur line XLPE UG Cable to proposed Raidurg substation in Kothaguda RF with the following condition. 1. The User Agency shall bear the cost of
	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N		Rs. 31,270 lakhs towards Compensatory Afforestation with 10 year maintenance with an espacement of 3mx2m

Date: 02.03. 2020

Place: Shamshabad.

Forest Divisional Officer SignatureShamshapad, R.R. Dist.

Office Seal.

District Forest Officer
Rangareddy