संयुक्त स्थल निरीक्षण रिपोर्ट

सागर जिले में प्रस्तावित आपचंद मध्यम परियोजना में प्रभावित वनभूमि के व्यपवर्तन हेतु वन मंडल दक्षिण सागर प्रभावित रकवा 181.39 हेक्ट. वन भूमि की आवश्यकता हेतु आवेदित वनभूमि का दिनांक 15/06/2022 को संयुक्त स्थल निरीक्षण किया गया, निरीक्षण उपरांत चेकलिस्ट अनुसार निरीक्षण प्रतिवेदन निम्नानुसार है:—

	Pariticular	Inspection Report by CCF (T.)		
Ex	etent is hectares.	South Sagar Division Comp. No. Area(h.)		
		Sr.no.	Comp. No.	44.20
		1	RF-832	0.92
		2	RF-828	28.18
		3	RF-830	22.80
		4	RF-831	21.30
		5	PF-858	59.60
		6	PF-854	1.84
		7	PF-853	2.55
		8	PF-852	181.39
1			Total	101.07
. 1	Location (lat-long) of the forest land proposed for	According to attached	llist	
	diversion.			
3	Legal status of the forest land (Protected forest,	Reserved Forest Area	- 06 10 ha	
'	reserved forests, revenue forest lands or any other forest	Protected Forest- 85.29 ha		
- 1	land)	Protected Porest- 63.2	.9 IIa	
-	Demarcation of the area with temporary cairns etc.		Yes	
4			No	
5	Any signs of encroachment.			
6	Any activity already taken up within the forest land or			
	adjoining non-forest land as part of the proposed project	No .		
	by the user agency. Details of action taken against the			
	User Agency in case of violation of the FC Act and			
	guide ines there under.	G' O -1'- IV D	Donaity 0.1.0.2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
7	Status of vegetation. Site quality, species composition	Site Quality- IV-B,	Density 0.1-0.5	
	etc.		C '111'C	P!
8	Importance of area from wildlife point of view. Status	Area has no importan	nce from wild life point of	view
	of wildlife (density and abundance of important species,			
	bird life reptiles, butterflies and other scheduled			
	animals, any endangered wildlife) Any latest census of			
	wildlife in this area.			
9	Endernism of flora/fauna or any other unique ecosystem	Not applicable		
	in the area.	Not applicable		
10	Current land use. Is this area managed as per	Yes managed by the	prescriptions of working	g plan (RDF and FF)
10	prescriptions in the Working Plan and, If not, why?			
11	Importance of the area from historical or religious point	Area has no importance from historical or religious point of view.		
11	of view.	the same of the way		
12		No persons/families	are depended on the prop	osed area
13		No displacement proposed		
-	71 0			
14	the persons to be affected? Is there any dissenting			
	voice among the persons to be affected? Is there any		5 VOICE.	
	disserting voice among the persons proposed to be			
	displaced?			

15	Concensatory a forestation proposed is on forest land	Compensatory aforestation is being prepared on revenue land
13	or non-forest land. Location of the area, suitability of	Village Guraiya area 54.586 ha, and on forest land in Range Dhana
- was	the area for CA. If in the degraded forest land then what	and Range Kesli compartment No. RF798 area 40.00 ha. RF812 area
	is the current Working Plan prescription for the area?	25.00 ha. PF1064 Area 35.00 ha. PF-1063 area 30.00 ha. Total area
	Distance of the non-forest land for CA from the nearest	(Revenue+Forest) 184.586 ha.
	forest area. Number of patches in case the area should	
	be more than kms.	
16	Proposed area should not be part of any protected area.	The Proposed area is not a part of protected area. Proposed area is at
	Also distance from the boundary of the nearest	apry 24 10 kms from Nouradehi Wild Life and at aprx 91 kms Ram
	protected area should be more than 10 kms.	Durgavati Wild life Sanctuary and at aprx 140 kms from Panna Tiger
		Reserve Buffer Zone.
17	Dependence of tribal's in the area. Whether the rights	Right of the tribal has been recognized. There are no Tribal residing
	of the tribal's have been recognized in the area.	on the proposed area
18	Utility of the project, including the people living in	It will provide irrigation facility and drinking water for nearby
	close vicinity of the project.	villages.
19	In case of renewal whether all the conditions stipulated	It is an application for allocation of fresh forest land.
	in the earlier sanction order have been complied with.	
20	Alternatives examined by the user agency in case of	Yes, alternatives are examined and proposed forest land is minimum
	non-s te specific projects.	in inevitable.
21	A certificate by the user agency that the forest land	Certificate is obtained from the User Agency.
	requested for diversion for non-forestry purpose is bare	
	minimum.	
22		
-	purpose for which the forest land is being diverted is	
	also not adversely affected.	
23		No
2		Apchand Medium Project will augment irrigation area, as well as
	approval of the project.	provide drinking water to nearby villages in the vicinity. Therefore it
		is recommended for approval of diversion of 181.39 ha of forest land
L		for constriction of aforesaid project.

Place- Sagar

Date-9.7.../06/2022

(Amit Kumar Dubey)
Chief Conservator of Forest
(IFS)
Sagar Circle Sagar