

Site Inspection Report

FP/MH/Pipeline/139865/2021

FP/MH/Pipeline/139865/2021

| Items | | Observation and remarks | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|--|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------------|----------------------|----|
| Sr. No | Name of the project and location | Mumbai-Nagpur Pipeline Project (Re-diversion) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Village : | Sr.No | Taluka | Village | Sur No. | Proposed Area (Ha) | Legal Status of Land | |
| | | 1 | Wardha | Lonsawali | 122 | 0.0572 | ZJ | |
| | | | | Lonsawali | 127 | 0.0092 | ZJ | |
| | | | | Lonsawali | 127 | 0.0398 | ZJ | |
| 2 | | | | Dhamangaon | 65 | 0.2421 | ZJ | |
| 3 | | | | Wathoda | 83 | 0.2020 | ZJ | |
| 5 | | | Seloo | Khadki | 80 | 0.0124 | ZJ | |
| 6 | | | | Khadki | 56 | 0.0113 | ZJ | |
| 7 | | | | Kotamba | 300 | 0.0135 | ZJ | |
| 8 | | | | Kotamba | 206 | 0.0203 | ZJ | |
| 9 | | | | Kotamba | 210 | 0.0580 | ZJ | |
| 10 | | | | Itala | 7 | 0.1793 | ZJ | |
| 11 | | | | Kinhala | 62 | 0.0478 | ZJ | |
| 12 | | | | Kinhala | 63 | 0.0582 | ZJ | |
| 13 | | | | Kelzar | 616 | 0.0104 | ZJ | |
| 14 | | | | Amgaon | 44 | 0.0271 | ZJ | |
| Total | | | | | | 0.9886 | | |
| 2 | Name of the User Agency. | GAIL (INDIA) LIMITED (MNPL) | | | | | | |
| 3 | Date of site inspection. | Dt.- 08/12/2021 | | | | | | |
| 4 | Extent (ha.) and legal status of forest land proposed for diversion. | 0.9886 Ha. Zudpi Jungle land | | | | | | |
| 5 | a) Details of forest land proposed for diversion and activity-wise break-up of forest land. | Item of Work Proposed | Name of Village | Forest Land Required | | | Legal Status of Land | |
| | | | | Survey No. | Length x Width(m) | Area | | |
| | | | | | | Sq. M | Ha. | |
| | | Natural GAS Pipe Line | Lonsawali | 122 | 190.54 X 3 | 571.62 | 0.0572 | ZJ |
| | | | Lonsawali | 127 | 30.51 X 3 | 91.53 | 0.0092 | ZJ |
| | | | Lonsawali | 127 | 132.727 X 3 | 398.18 | 0.0398 | ZJ |
| | | | Dhamangaon | 65 | 806.903 X 3 | 2420.71 | 0.2421 | ZJ |
| | | | Wathoda | 83 | 673.357 X 3 | 2020.07 | 0.2020 | ZJ |
| | | | Khadki | 80 | 41.49 X 3 | 124.47 | 0.0124 | ZJ |
| | | | Khadki | 56 | 37.717 X 3 | 113.15 | 0.0113 | ZJ |
| | | | Kotamba | 300 | 44.99 X 3 | 134.97 | 0.0135 | ZJ |
| | | | Kotamba | 206 | 67.81 X 3 | 203.43 | 0.0203 | ZJ |
| | | | Kotamba | 210 | 193.337 X 3 | 580.01 | 0.0580 | ZJ |
| | | | Itala | 7 | 597.66 X 3 | 1792.98 | 0.1793 | ZJ |
| | | | Kinhala | 62 | 159.257 X 3 | 477.77 | 0.0478 | ZJ |
| | | | Kinhala | 63 | 193.93 X 3 | 581.79 | 0.0582 | ZJ |
| | | | Kelzar | 616 | 34.513 X 3 | 103.54 | 0.0104 | ZJ |
| | | | Amgaon | 44 | 90.39 X 3 | 271.17 | 0.0271 | ZJ |
| Total Area | | | | | | 0.9886 | | |
| b) Density and Eco-value class. | | Below 0.4 density, ECO Value Class III comes under Tropical Dry Deciduous Forest | | | | | | |

| | | |
|----|---|--|
| 6 | Whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the User Agency in col.2 of part-I is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project. If no, recommended area item-wise with details of alternative examined. | Yes |
| 7 | Whether the proposal involves any construction of building (including residential) or not? If yes, details thereof. | No |
| 8 | a) Whether forest area proposed for diversion is important from wildlife point of view or not. | No |
| | b) Details of any rare or endangered or unique species of flora and fauna found in proposed forest land. If so, the details thereof. | No |
| | c) Aerial distance from the nearest boundary of any protected Area (km). | No, Area under project is 11.6 Km away from Bor Sanctuary. |
| | d) Remarks about sensitivity of the forest area likely to be affected due to project. | No |
| | e) Whether wildlife mitigation plan is required? If yes, reasons therefore: | No |
| 9 | Details of vegetation: | |
| | a) Total number of trees to be felled: | Nil |
| | b) Number of trees to be felled of girth below 60 cm: | 0 |
| | c) Number of trees to be felled of girth above 60 cm: | 0 |
| | d) Effect of removal of trees on the general ecosystem in the area. | - |
| 10 | Background note on the proposal (short summary). | Project summary attached in the proposal. |
| 11 | Whether the proposal involves any violation of Forest (Conservation) Act 1980? If yes, a detailed report on violation including action taken against the concerned officials to be attached. | NA |
| 12 | Whether the proposal involves rehabilitation of displaced person. If yes, whether rehabilitation plan has been approved by the State Government? | NA |
| 13 | Details on catchment and culturable command area under the project (if applicable). Status of catchment area treatment plan to prevent siltation of reservoir (if applicable). | N/A |
| 14 | Utility of the project. | The project will generate employment for people and benefit the population of the entire region. |

| | | |
|----|--|---|
| 15 | Whether land being diverted has any socio-cultural/ religious values? Whether any scared grove or very old growth trees/forests exist in the areas proposed for diversion? | No |
| 16 | Any other important information related to the project (Separate note may be attached, if required). | Project Note enclosed in the proposal. |
| 17 | Details of documents and photographs enclosed: | Enclosed |
| 18 | Recommendations of the Inspecting Officer | Proposed gas pipeline is within ROW of Nagpur Mumbai Super Communication Express Way minimum distraction of forest land in this proposal. There is no tree cutting in this proposal. Hence this proposal is forwarded for acceptance. |

Place : Wardha

Date : 8/12/2024


(Rakesh Sepat)

Dy. Conservator of Forests
Wardha Division Wardha