## (Part-III)

(To be filled by the Concerned Chief Conservator of Forests)

·		Yes.
1.	Whether Site, where the forest land	
	involved is located has been	Date of Inspection 22/01/2017
	inspected by concerned Chief	Inspection note enclosed.
	Conservator of Forests (Yes / No). If	
	yes,the date of inspection &	
	observations made in form of	
	inspection note to be enclosed.	
2.	Whether the concerned Chief	I agree with the information given
	Conservator of Forests agree with the	in Part-II and the recommendation
	information given in Part-II and the	of the Dy.Conservator of Forests
	recommendations of the	except on point No 9 (iv). The
	Dy.Conservator of Forests.	action taken on Officers responsible
	Dy.Conscivator of rorests.	for violation is very insignificant.
		Hence recommended for logically
		responsible for violation under
		Maharashtra Civil service
		(Discipline & Conduct) Rules 1979.
		Accordingly informed the User
		Agency vide this <sup>,</sup> office letter
		no.Desk-2/land/ID-17764/7140,
		/2016-17 dated,-24/01/2017.
3.	Specific recommendation of	
	concerned Chief Conservator of	there is no alternative land
	Forests for accepatance or otherwise	available nearby for the purpose.
	of the proposal with detailed reasons.	<b>v i i</b>
L		

Place-Nashik. Date- 24 /01/2017

\_

(S.V.Rămarao) Chief Conservator of Forests (Territorial) Nashik

E:\2016-17\Land\Prastav\Nashik- (East,West,Malegaon)\Skywalk FCA Letter.docx