

Reference: Index Sr. NO 30

(Site inspection Report from Deputy Conservator of Forests Savantwadi)
(Prescribed vide PCCF (HOFF) No Desk – 17 - 4 / 1445. Dated 5/11/2015)

Sr.No	Items	Observations and remarks.				
1	Name of Project and location (Range, Round Beat)	Proposal for diversion of forest land for laying underground OFC duct & cable within the ROW of existing road SH.-185, road from Savantwadi to Kumbharli up to NH 66,Road passing through forest land at village- Kumbharli, Tal.-Savantwadi Dist.-Sindhudurg,				
		S. no	Range	Round	Beat	
		1	Savantwadi	Majagaon	Majagaon (South)	
2	Name of User Agency	Reliance JIO Infrocomm Ltd. (JIO) Pune, The Vice president, Reliance JIO Infrocomm Ltd. (JIO), Reliance Corporate I T Park Ltd, Down town 2 nd . & 3 rd . Floor, S. no 8+13 /1/2 , Off. Karve road, Mhatre Bridge, Erandwane, Pune 411,004.				
3	Date of site Inspection	25/12/2021				
4	E xtent (ha) and legal status of forest land proposed to diversion.	Forest land required 0.112('Reserved Forests.')				
5	a) Details of forest land proposed for diversion and activity-wise break-up of Forest land b) Density and Eco-value class	Forest land requires for laying underground OFC duct & Cable.				
		Name of Row/ Type of Road	Forest land required for OFC within ROW of existing road passing through forest			
			Name of Village	G. no	L. X W in Mt.	Area in ha.
		Laying of underground OFC Cable Within Row of existing Road SH 185	Kumbharli	84 C. no 150	1120 x 1	0.112
		Total Forest area required			1120 Sq mt	0.112
		Eco-Value class = density 0.7% , Dense Forest, Eco-Class I. NPV rate = Rs. 14,36,670 /				

6	Whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the User Agency in col. of Part I is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project. If no recommended area item-wise with details of alternative examined.	Yes, the requirement of forest land as proposed by U. A. is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project. As the work is proposed within Row of road. There is no need to examine three alternatives,
7	Whether the proposal involves any construction of building (including residential) or not? If Yes, details thereof	No, this proposal doesn't involve any building construction.
8	<p>a) whether forest area proposed for diversion is important form wildlife point of view or not.</p> <p>b) Details of any rare or endangered or unique species of flora and fauna found in proposed forest land. If so the details thereof.</p> <p>c) Aerial distance from the nearest boundary of any Protected Area (Km).</p> <p>d) Remarks about sensitivity of the forest area likely to be affected due to project.</p> <p>e) Whether wildlife mitigation plan is required? If yes, reasons therefor.</p>	<p>Forest area Proposed for diversion is not importance from Wildlife point of view.</p> <p>There is no any rare or endangered unique species of flora and fauna found in proposed forest land.</p> <p>The proposed forest land failing 37 Km away from boundary of Radhanagri WL Sanctuary.</p> <p>There is no adverse effect due to this project as project is laying of underground OFC duct & cable within ROW of existing Road passing through forest.</p> <p>No</p>
9	<p>Details of vegetation:</p> <p>a) Total no of trees to be felled.</p>	<p>No tree felling is required.</p> <p>Nil</p>

	<p>b) Number of trees to be felled of girth below 60 cm.</p> <p>c) Number of trees to be felled of girth above 60 cm.</p> <p>d) Effect of removal of trees on the general ecosystem in the area.</p>	<p>Nil</p> <p>Nil</p> <p>Question doesn't arise.</p>
10	Background note on the proposal (short summary)	<p>Reliance Industries Ltd. has launched broadband services. The main aim of company to provide the mobile service & 4 G , 5G internet data service in all over Indian villages. To provide network, there is need network of Towers connected by OFC duct & cable, For laying of underground the OFC duct & cable within ROW of road, where the maximum area will be covered for mobile network and also easy maintain for long period. Executive Engineer, Public Works Dept. Savantwadi has sanction permission for laying of underground OFC duct & cable within the ROW of existing road S H. 135 As same part of existing road passing through the forest land, and there need the prior permission from competent authority for laying of underground OFC duct & cable within the Row of the existing road Savantwadi to Kumbharli up to NH 66.</p> <p>There is no other alternative other than the use of forest land. Hence this proposal is being submitted for approval under section 2 (ii) of F. C. Act, 1980.</p>

11	Whether the proposal involves any violation of Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980? If yes, a detailed report on violation including action taken against the concerned officials to be attached	No Project Authority has not violated any rule of Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980. Certificate on page 48
12	Whether the proposal involves rehibitions of displaced person, If yes, whether rehibitions plan has approved by the State Govt.?	No In this project not involve rehibition of displaced person
13	Details of catchment and culturable command area under the project (If applicable) Status of catchment area treatment plan to prevent siltation of reservoir (If applicable)	Not applicable. Not applicable.
14	Utility of Project	To provide network, there is need network of Towers connected by OFC duct & cable, For laying of underground the OFC duct & cable within ROW of road, This project is useful to rural peoples /Offices far away from the developed urban area.
15	Whether land being diverted has any socio-cultural/ religious values? Whether any scared grove or very old gRowth tree/ forests exist in the areas proposed for diversion	No. No.

16	Any other importance information related to the project (separate note may be attached, (If required)	Project authority submitted that, cost of C.A. may not be levied in this proposal as the proposed laying of OFC cable within Row of existing road Savantwadi to Kumbharli up to NH 66, Road passing outside National Park & WL. Sanctuary area & no need to cutting of trees, which fulfil the conditions given in MoEF guideline no FC-11 /165/2019- FC, Dt. 27 Th . July 2020
17	Details of documents and photographs enclosed	1) Authority letter 2) Photographs of proposed area.
18	Recommendation of the Inspecting Officer.	The proposal is recommended for acceptance & may not be levied cost of C.A. in this proposal. the proposed work will be useful to rural peoples /Offices far away from the developed urban area.

Place- Savantwadi.

Date 27/05/2022


(Shahaji Narnavar)
Deputy Conservator of Forests,
Forest Division, Savantwadi