Annexture-17

Subject:- Permission for laying proposed 12"/6" Ø (304.8/152.4 mm) steel & 125 mm Ø MDPE Natural Gas Pipeline (Same Trench) along SH (Mota kotda-Bhesan), along SH-109(Junagadh-Bhesan) and along/across NH-8D(Junagadh-Jetpur Highway) to Develop City Gas Distribution in Junagadh District. (Ha.3.5235)

Site Inspection By the DFO

Sr.no.	Particular	Inspection Report by DFO
1.	Extent in hectares	**3.5235 Ha.**
2.	Location (Lat-Long) of the forest land Proposed for Diversion	**Starting point MotaKotda** (1) 21°28'35.14" N 70°43'29.15" E **End point Junagadh** (2) 21°34'54.90" N 70°27'57.39" E
3.	Legal Status of the forest land (Protected forest, Reserved forest, Revenue forest land or any other forest land)	Protected forest Area.
4.	Demarcation of the area with temporary cairns etc.	In Protected Forest Roadside Area, no Temporary cairns are Available.
5.	Any signs of encroachment	No
6.	Any activity already taken up within the forest land or adjoining on forest as part of the proposed project by the user agency. Details of action taken against the user agency in case of violation of the FC Act and guidelines there under.	**No Seen,** No work has been done by the user agency for the proposed Laying Gas Pipeline in the Proposed area.
7.	Status of vegetation, site, quality, species composition etc.	No vegetation in the Proposed area.
8.	Importance of area from wildlife point of view, status of wildlife (density and abundance of important species, bird life reptiles, butterflies and other scheduled animals any endangered wildlife). Any latest census of wildlife in this area.	The Proposed area is not Important from wildlife point of view.
9.	Endemism of flora / fauna or any other unique ecosystem in the area.	The Proposed area is not Important for Endemism of flora I fauna.
10.	Current land use. is this area managed as per prescription in the working plan and if not, why	The Proposed area is Roadside Protected forest Area, which is not Under Working Plan.
11.	Importance of the area from historical or religious point of view.	There is no Historic Place/Archeological Place in this Demanded Area.
12.	Any department of persons proposed.	No
13.	Any displacement of persons proposed.	No
14.	Is there any Rehabilitation and Resettlement plan for the person to be affected? Is there any dissenting.	There is no Rehabilitation Hence not Applicable.

15.	Compensatory Afforestation proposed is on forest land or non –forest land. Location of this area, suitability of the area for CA. if in the degraded forest land then what is the current working plan prescription for the area? Distance of the non-forest land for CA from the nearest forest area. number of patches in case the area should be more than 10 kms.	**Not applicable,** as per Guideline GOG letter no. FCA/1015/1013/15/ SF-83/F (1) DT.04/02/2016 & APCCF, Land Letter no FCA/29/A/1062-1135/Dt. 10/03 /2016
16.	Proposal area should not be part of any Protected area. Also, distance from the boundary of the nearest protected area should be more than 10 kms.	Proposed Area is 13.5 K.M. (Approx.) (Using GPS technology) Away in Area Linear Distance from the nearest as Girnar Wildlife Sanctuary's Eco-sensitive zone boundary and not Fall in Eco sensitive zone.
17.	Dependence of tribal in the area, Whether the rights of the tribal have been recognized in this area.	The Proposed area is not in tribal area.
18.	Utility of the project, including the people living in closed vicinity of the project.	The proposed Project is useful for the people living in closed vicinity of the project.
19.	In case of renewal all the condition stipulated N/A in the earlier sanction order have been complied with.	The Proposal satisfactory fulfills all the necessary conditions of FCA,
20.	Alternatives examined by the user agency in case of non-site-specific projects.	No
21.	A certificate by the user agency that the forest land requested for diversion for non- forestry purpose is bare minimum.	Yes
22.	Any scope of saving tree growth while ensuring that the purpose for which the forest land is being diverted is also not adversely affected.	There is no tree cutting during the diversion.
23.	Any other issued of significance.	No
24.	Specific recommendation of the DFO with reason for approval of the project.	Recommended because, The Project is not Harmful from wildlife and flora / fauna point of view.

(Dr.Shobhita Agarwal)
Deputy Conservator of Forests
Social Forestry Division GirSomnath

Date: 22-01-2020.
Place: Motakotda-Junagadh