## AS PER ITEM NO. 30 OF THE CHECK LIST

## The site inspection report should be on the following points should replace Item No.30 of the Check List

1.	Name of Project and location (Range,	Diversion of 374.75 ha. Reserved Forest
1.	Round, Beat)	land for Rehabilitation Rantalodhi Village of
	Kound, Deal)	Tadoba Andhari Tiger Reserve.
		Comptt. No. 14B, Area 374.75 ha., Reserved
		Forest.
		Range - Warora, Round - Surla, Beat- Surla of
		Chandrapur Forest Division, Chandrapur.
2.	Name of the User Agency	Dy. Director (Core), Tadoba-Andhari
		Tiger Reserve, Chandrapur.
3.	Date of Site Inspection	18/04/2019
4.	Extent (ha.) and legal status of forest land	Area 374.75 ha., Reserved Forest.
	proposed for diversion,	
5.	a) Details of forest land proposed for	Agriculture Land – 290.00 Ha.
	diversion and activity-wise break-up of	Gaothan, Road, School, Play Ground, Community
	forest land,	land etc. – 20.00 Ha.
	,	Tank – 35.00 Ha.
		Contingency land (Facilities as mentioned is
		Revenue & Forest Department G.R. No. WLP-
		1012/c-122/F-1, dated 03.11.2012) – 29.75 Ha.
		Total Area - 374.75 ha.
	b) Density and Eco-value class	Below 0.4
		Eco-value Class III
6.	Where the requirement of forest land as	Yes
	proposed by the User Agency in Col.2 of	
	Part-I is unavoidable and barest minimum	
	for the project. If no recommended area	
	item-wise with details of alternative	5 5 5 <sup>10</sup> 10 807
	examined.	4
7.	Whether proposal involves any	No
	construction of building (including	
	residential) or not? If yes, details thereof.	
8.	a) Whether forest area proposed for	No
	diversion is important from wildlife point	
	of view or not.	
	b) Details of any rare or endangered or	No rare or endangered or unique species
	unique species of flora and fauna found in	
	unque species or nora ana rauna rouna m	or more than the second of the post of the second s

	proposed forest land if so. The details thereof.	
	c) Aerial distance from the nearest boundary of any protected Area (km.)	21.347 km. from Protected Area.
	d) Remarks about sensitivity of the forest area likely to be affected due to project.	Not Applicable
	e) Whether wildlife mitigation plan is required? If yes reasons thereof,	No
9.	Details of vegetation a) Total number of trees to be felled.	Total number of trees 3704 proposed for felling.
	b) Number of trees to be felled girth below	* Total number of 1764 trees below girth of
	60 cm.	60 cm.
	c) Number of trees to be felled of girth	Total number of 1940 trees above girth of
	above 60 cm.	60 cm.
	d) Effect of removal of trees on the general ecosystem in the area.	Not Applicable
10.	Background note on the proposal (short	The people of Rantalodhi have selecte
10.	summary)	the Comptt. No. 186 of Bramhapuri Fore
	Summary)	Division near village Golabhuj for the
		rehabilitation. Subsequently the proposal under
		Forest Conservation Act 1980 for Comptt. No
		186 area 180.00 ha. has been sent to Governmen
		of India for diversion of said land in to no
		forest land for rehabilitation of Rantalod
		village. Accordingly Govt. of India has approve
	×.	the proposal vide letter F.No. 8-40/2001-FC
		dated 16.01.2002. But the people of Rantalod
		have denied for rehabilitation of their villag
		Rantalodhi in Comptt. No. 186 as there is n
	4	water sources. So also the said area is no
		suitable for establishing/ constructing artificia
		tank for Irrigation/Cultivation.
		Thereafter the people of Rantalodhi hav
		selected the Comptt. No. 13 & 14B in Waron
		Range of Chandrapur Forest Division an
		submitted the resolution passed by th
		Gramsabha stating that they are ready an
		willing to rehabilitate in the said Comptt. No
		14B in Warora Range of Chandrapur Fore
		The second s

11.	Whether the proposal involves any violation of Forest (Conservation) Act 1980? If yes a detailed report on violation including action taken against the concerned officials to be attached.	No
12.	Whether the proposal involves rehabilitation of displaced person. If yes, whether rehabilitation plan has been approved by the State Government?	Yes, rehabilitation involve in this proposal. No, rehabilitation plan approved by the State Government.
13.	Details on catchment and culturable command area under the project (if applicable) Status of catchment area treatment plan to prevent siltation of reservoir (if applicable)	Not Applicable Not Applicable
14.	Utility of the project.	Shifting of the Rantalodhi village will overcome the existing human interference having deleterious effects on the TATR area. Ecological boundaries of the TATR area would be firmed up and provisions under the Wildlife (Protection) Act for the TATR would be implemented in letter and spirit. Shifting of cattle will eliminate serious competition for fodder and water. It will be possible to establish meadows over an area of about 175.26 ha. These meadows will also have assured water supply and, therefore, it is expected that within a short period of 3 to 4 years, these meadows will be able to support increasing herbivores like Chital, Sambar and Gaur. With the increase in the prey-base, tiger population in the TATR area will improve and will be free of conflict with human beings which, many times, leads to poisoning of carcasses, resulting, in tiger deaths.
15.	Whether land being diverted has any socio- cultural/religious values? Whether any scared grove or very old growth trees/ forests exist in the areas proposed for	Not Applicable
	diversion?	

	the project (separate note may be attached if required)	
17.	Details of documents and photographs enclosed.	No
18.	Recommendations of the Inspecting Officer (DFO)	Recommended for acceptance of the proposal for better management of Tadoba-Andhari Tiger Reserve.

Date :- 22/04/2019 Place :- Chandrapur

(A.L. Sonkusare) Divisional Forest Officer, Chandrapur Forest Division, Chandrapur

-6-