Cheek list for site inspection by DFO:

SI.No	Particular	Inspection Report by
1	Extent in hectares.	1.61 Hact.
2	Location (lat-long) of the forest land proposed for diversion.	2. 22°17′20.04 N ′81°3′46.04′.E 3. 22°17′20.69 N ′81°3′48.83′ E 4. 22°17′20.24 N ′81°3′50.17′ E 5. 22°17′18 76 N ′81°3′50.57′ E 6. 22°17′18.45 N ′81°3′51.24′ E 7. 22°17′16.85 N ′81°3′52.48′ E 8. 22°17′15.81 N ′81°3′50.81′ E
3	Legal status of the forest land (Protected forest	9. 22°17′18.18 N ′81°3′46.48′E Reserve forest
	Reserved forest, Revenue forest lands or any other forest land).	,
4	Demarcation of the area with temporary cairns etc.	Demarcation with wooden pegs.
5	Any signs of encroachment.	None
6	Any activity already taken up within the forest land or	None
	adjoining non - forest land as part of the proposed	
	project by the user agency Details of action taken	
	against the User Agency in case of violation of the FC	
	Act and Guidelines there under.	
7	Status of vegetation site quality, species composition	III Sal forest
	etc.	
8	Importance of area from wildlife point of view status of	Part of Buffer Zone of Kanha Tig
	wildlife (density and abundance of important species	Reserve, Mandla.
	bird life reptiles butterflies and other scheduled	
	animals, any endangered wildlife) Any latest census	
	of wildlife in this area.	
9	Endemism of flora/fauna or any other unique	None
	ecosystem in the area.	
0	Current land use, Is this area managed as per	This area is managed as p
	prescriptions in the Working plan and if not, Why?	prescriptions of the Tiger Conservtion
		Plan Plan of KTR
1	Importance of the area from historical or religious	None
	point of view.	
2	Any dependent persons of/ families on this land.	None
3		None
		None
	the persons to be affected? Is there any dissenting	
	voice among the persons proposed to be displaced?	
		No
	land or non-forest land.	
	Location of this area, suitability of the area for CA If in	

-	the degraded forest land then what is the current	
	Working Plan Prescription for the area? Distance of	
	the forest land for CA from the nearest forest area,	
	Number of patches in case the area should be more	
	than 10 km ² .	
16	Proposed area should not be part of any protected	Not part of Protected Area. But part of
	area, Also distance from the boundary of the nearest	Buffer Zone of KTR
	protected area should be more than 10 kms.	
17	Dependence of tribal's in the area, Whether the rights	No
	of the tribals have been recognized in this area.	
18	Utility of the project, including the people living in	Project will help construction of Police
	close vicinity of the project.	Camp for people safty.
19	In case of renewal whether all the conditions	Not applicable
	stipulated in the carlier sanction order have been	,
	complied with.	
20	Alternatives examined by the user agency in case of	Yes
	non-site specific projects.	
21	A certificate by the user agency that the forest land	Yes
	requested for diversion for non-forestry purpose is	
	bare minimum.	-
22	Any scope of saving tree growth while ensuring that	No trees being felled.
	the purpose for which the forest land is being diverted	
	is also not adversely affected.	
23	Any other issue of significance.	None
24	Specific recommendations of the DFO/ACF/RO with	The project will benefit people of that
	reasons for approval of the project.	area, therefore recommended.

Deputy Director Buffer Zone Division Kanha Tiger Reserve, Mandla

Place: Mandla

Date: