	PART-II (To be filled by the concerned Deputy Co State Serial No. of prop	
7	Location of the project/Scheme:	
(i)	State/Union Territory	Jharkhand
(ii)	District.	Ranchi
(iii)	Forest Division	Ranchi Forest Division, Ranchi
(iv)	Area of forest land proposed for diversion (in ha.)	126.72 hac.( 84.35 Forest, 42.37 GMJJ)
(v)	Legal status of forest	42.37 ha. GM Jungle Jhari & 84.35 ha. Forest
(vi)	Density of vegetation.	0.3 on an average
(vii)	Species-wise (Scientific names) and diameter class-	No trees required to be felled
	Wise enumeration of trees (to be enclosed. In case	
	of irrigation /hydel projects enumeration at FRL-2	
	meter & FRL-4 meter also to be enclosed.)	
(viii)	Brief note on vulnerability of the forest area to	The proposed area is undulated and is
	erosion.	vulnerable to erosion.
(ix)	Approximate distance of proposed site for diversion	The adjoin land is notified forest.
	from boundary of forest.	
(x)	Whether forms part of National Park, wildlife	NO
	sanctuary, biosphere reserve, tiger reserve, elephant	
	corridor etc. (If so, the details of the area comments	
	of the Chief Wildlife Warden to be annexed).	
(xi)	Whether any protected archaeological/unique species of flora and fauna in the area if so details	NO
(xii)	thereof. Whether any Protected archaeological/heritage site/defense establishment or any other important monument is located in the areas. If si, the details thereof with NOC from competent authority, if required.	NO
8	Whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the user agency in col. 2 of Part-I is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project. If no, recommended area item wise With details of alternatives examined,	The proposed area for diversion is unavoidable for extraction and is the barest minimum.

0	Whether one mode is stated as full A (1, 1)	NO
9	Whether any work in violation of the Act has been carried out (Yes/No.). If yes, details of the same including period of work done, action taken on	NO
	erring officials. Whether work in violation is still in progress.	
10	Details of compensatory afforestation scheme:	Attached
(i)	Details of non-forest/degraded forest area identified	Details of the PF for CA in which
	for compensatory afforestation, its distance from	patches area shown & size given in the maps are attached.
	adjoining forest, number of patches, size of each	
	patch.	
(ii)	Map showing non-forest/degraded forest area identified for compensatory afforestation and adjoining forest boundaries.	In attached maps for CA, Boundaries are shown. Annexure-
(iii)	Detailed compensatory afforestation scheme including species to be planted implementing agency, time schedule, cost structure, etc.	Estimate for 7 years is attached.
(iv)	Total  financial  outlay  for  compensatory    afforestation scheme.	Rs. 35391900.00 for 7 years.
(v)	Certificates from competent authority regarding suitability of area identified for compensatory afforestation and from management point of view. (To be signed by the concerned Deputy Conservator of Forests).	Attached annexure-
11	Site inspection report of the DCF (to be enclosed)	Attached
	especially highlighting facts asked in com. 7(xi, xii),	
	8 and 9 above.	
(i)	Geographical area of the district.	7698.00 Sqkm
(ii)	Forest area of the district.	732.86 Sqkm
(iii)	Total forest area diverted since 1980 with number of	14
	cases	
(iv)	Total compensatory afforestation stipulated in the district! division since 1980 on	722.1236 На.
	(a) Forest land including penal compensatory afforestation.	255 Hec.
	(b) Non-forest land.	0.00
		1

(v)	Progress of compensatory afforestation as on	10.07.2017
	(date) on	
	(a) Forest land.	126.72 На.
	(b) Non-forest land.	0.00
13.	Specific recommendations of the DCF or acceptance or otherwise of the proposal with reasons.	The economy of the area is dependent on agriculture only. There is no other major means of livelihood in this area. Production of coal and other related works will provide direct and indirect employment to the people of this region. Further, this area will add up to the economy of the state and the nation as a whole. Cost benefit analysis would be in favour of this project. Therefore, this proposed is recommended for acceptance.

प्रतिहरताक्ष रेते वन प्रमाडल पदाधिकारी. रोकी वन प्रमंडल, रोबी