

Full title of the Project: Widening and improvement to MDR- 85 Pedgaon Shirapur to Hingani Berdi Road (Chainage Km. 13+500 to Km. 22+025) File No.: FP/ MH/ROAD/144584/2021

Date of Proposal: 10/07/2021

1

0

## Annexure

| Sr.<br>No. | Items   | Observations and Remarks   |
|------------|---|--|
| 1          | Name of the Project and<br>location   | Diversion of Forest Land for Widening and<br>improvement to MDR- 85 Pedgaon Shirapur to<br>Hingani Berdi Road (Chainage Km. 13+500 to Km.<br>22+025)                     |
| 2          | Name of the User<br>Agency  | Public Works East Division, Pune   |
| 3          | Date of Site Inspection   | 14 / 10 /2022  |
| 4          | Extent (in Ha) and legal<br>status of the Forest Land<br>proposed for diversion   | 0.09 Ha. Reserved Forest land falling within jurisdiction of Pune Forest Division, Maharashtra State.  |
| 5          | <ul> <li>a. Details of Forest<br/>Land proposed<br/>for diversion and<br/>activity wise<br/>break-up of<br/>Forest Land</li> <li>b. Density-wise Eco-<br/>Value Class</li> </ul>  | Area Statement is appended hereto as Appendix-<br>I.<br>Required Forest Land: 0.09 Ha.<br>Eco-Value Class- III, Tropical Dry Deciduous Forest<br>(OF) Density: Below 0.1 |
| 6          | Whether the<br>requirement of Forest<br>Land as proposed by the<br>User Agency in Col. No 2<br>of Part- I is unavoidable<br>and the barest minimum<br>for the project? If no,<br>recommended area<br>item-wise with details | Yes. The requirement of Forest Land is unavoidable and restricted to barest minimum.   |

## SITE INSPECTION REPORT

|   | with alternatives        |   |
|---|--------------------------|---|
|   | examined                 |   |
| 7 | Whether the area         | No.   |
|   | involves construction of |   |
|   | building (Including      |   |
|   | residential) or not. If  |   |
|   | yes, details thereof.    |   |
| 8 | a. Whether the           | No.   |
|   | Forest Area              |   |
|   | proposed for             |   |
|   | diversion is             |   |
|   | important from           |   |
|   | wildlife point of        |   |
|   | view or not.             |   |
|   | b. Details of any        | No rare or endangered or unique species of flora  |
|   | rare or                  | and fauna observed or reported so far   |
|   | endangered or            |   |
|   | unique species of        |   |
|   | flora and fauna          |   |
|   | found in                 |   |
|   |                          |   |
|   | proposed Forest          |   |
|   | Land. If so, details     |   |
|   | thereof.                 | hand the second s |
|   | c. Areal distance        | Beyond 10 Kms.  |
|   | from nearest             |   |
|   | boundary of              | The second se   |
|   |                          | And a second  |
|   | protected area           |   |
|   | (km)                     |   |
|   | d. Remark about          | Insensitive. Forest Area is unlikely to be affected by  |
|   | sensitivity of the       | the project.  |
|   | Forest Area likely       |   |
|   | to be affected           |   |
|   | due to the               |   |
|   |                          |   |
|   | project                  |   |

EnviroConcern | www.enviroconcern.in

the series

|    |                            | rage <b>SI</b>                                       |
|----|----------------------------|--|
|    | e. Whether Wildlife        | No.  |
|    | Mitigation Plan is         |  |
|    | required? If yes,          |  |
|    | reason thereof             |  |
| 9  | Details of vegetation:     |  |
|    | a. Total no. of trees      | Nil  |
|    | to be felled               |  |
|    | b. No. of trees to be      |  |
|    | felled of girth            | Nil  |
|    | below 60 cm.               |  |
|    | c. No. of trees to be      |  |
|    | felled of girth            | Nil  |
|    | above 60 cm.               |  |
|    | d. Effect of removal       |  |
|    | of trees on                | Not applicable in view of Clause 'a' above.          |
|    | general                    |  |
|    | ecosystem in the           |  |
|    | area                       |  |
| 10 | Background Note on the     | The Government of Maharashtra has approved           |
|    | proposal (Short            | project of Widening and improvement to MDR- 85       |
|    | summary)                   | Pedgaon Shirapur to Hingani Berdi Road               |
|    |                            | (Chainage Km. 13+500 to Km. 22+025)                  |
|    |                            | With increased need for accommodating ever           |
|    |                            | increasing volume of traffic and to ensure safety of |
|    |                            | human life & property, there is a need for widening  |
|    |                            | of existing width by alteration and addition to      |
|    |                            | existing lanes.                                      |
| 11 | Whether the proposal       | No work has been carried out in violation of Forest  |
|    | involves violation of      | (Conservation) Act, 1980                             |
|    | Forest (Conservation)      |  |
|    | Act 1980? If yes, detailed |  |
|    | report on violation,       |  |
|    | including action taken     |  |
|    | against the concerned      |  |
|    | officials to be attached   |  |
|    | officials to be attached   |  |

| 12 | Whether the proposal       | No   |
|----|----------------------------|--|
|    | involves rehabilitation of |  |
|    | displaced persons? If      |  |
|    | yes, whether the           |  |
|    | Rehabilitation Plan has    |  |
|    | been approved by the       |  |
|    | State Government?          |  |
| 13 | Details on Catchment       | Not applicable                                   |
|    | and Culturable             |  |
|    | Command area under         |  |
|    | the project (If            |  |
|    | applicable)                |  |
|    | Status of Catchment        |  |
|    | Area Treatment Plan to     |  |
|    | prevent siltation of       |  |
|    | reservoir (If applicable)  |  |
| 14 | Utility of the project     | The project is also aimed at resolving issues of |
|    |                            | future demand for increased traffic. The         |
|    |                            | project is also aimed at achieving economic      |
|    |                            | and financial growth of the State through        |
|    |                            | Agriculture, Commerce and Trade by               |
|    |                            | improving connectivity and transportation        |
|    |                            | facilities.                                      |
| 15 | Whether land being         | No   |
|    | diverted has any socio-    |  |
|    | cultural / religious       |  |
|    | value? Whether any         |  |
|    | sacred groove or very      |  |
|    | old grown trees/ forest    |  |
|    | exist in the areas         |  |
|    | proposed for diversion?    |  |
| 16 | Any other important        | No   |
|    | information related to     |  |
|    | the project (separate      |  |

|    | note may be attached, if required)                           |   |
|----|--|---|
| 17 | Details of documents<br>and photographs<br>attached          | Annexed hereto as below:<br>Photographs of Site Inspection (Appendix- II)   |
| 18 | Recommendations of<br>the Inspecting Officer<br>(CCF/CF/DCF) | The project is site specific and recommended for acceptance on priority as it is associated with road safety, communication & socio-economic development of the local area. |

Date: / / / / 0/2022 Place: Pune

Office Seal:

Rahul Patil, IFS Dy. Conservator of Forests Pune Forest Division, Pune