Site inspection Report by the APCCF, Chhindwara circle

No.	Particular	Inspection Report By CCF/DFO (T) 206.826 hac				
1.	Extent is hectares				(1)	
2.	Location (lat-long) of the forest land proposed for diversión.		(1) Location (lat-long) Cmpt.No. PF- 400,401,409 a,410,413,414,422			
			LATITUI		NGITUDE	
			22°11′11.6		18'15.89"	
			22°11'24.2		18'49.96''	
			22°11'35.8	77 57	20'10.47"	
			22°11'20.9		18'59.73"	
			22°11′12.7		19'44.09"	
		6	22°11′13.3		19'51.89"	
			22°10′40.2		19'05.31"	
3.	Legal status of the forest land (Protected forest, reserved		ed Forest			
	forests,revenue forest lands or any other forest land)		ted Forest 2	THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE	ac	
1.	Demarcation of the area with temporary cairns etc.	Yes				
5.	Any signs of encroachment.	No				
6.	Any activity already taken up within the forest land or adjoining	No				
	non-forest land as part of the proposed Project by the user	1000				
	agency. Details of action taken against the User Agency in case					
	of violation of the FC ACt and guidlines there under.					
7.	Status of vegetatin. Site quality, species composition etc.	Density of vegetation				
		S.No	The state of the s	Density	Eco-Class	7
		1	206.826	0.5	Eco3	1
).	wildlife (density and aboundance of important species, bird life reptiles, butterflies and other scheduled animals, any endangered wildlife). Any latest census of wildlife in this area.					
	Endemism of flora/fauna or any other unique ecosystem in the area. Current land use. In this area managed as per prescriptions in the Working Plan and, if not, why?	No Yes			ger in the Prop	
11.	area. Current land use. In this area managed as per prescriptions in the Working Plan and, if not, why? Importance of the area from historical or religious point of view.	No Yes No	10			ose
11. 12.	area. Current land use. In this area managed as per prescriptions in the Working Plan and, if not, why? Importance of the area from historical or religious point of view. Any dependent persons/farmilies on this land.	No Yes No There the Pro Patra u area.	oposed Are	als familie	es are dependential have Vanada, in adjoining f	nt o
10. 11. 12.	area. Current land use. In this area managed as per prescriptions in the Working Plan and, if not, why? Importance of the area from historical or religious point of view. Any dependent persons/farmilies on this land. Any displacement of persons proposed.	No Yes No There the Pro Patra u	oposed Are	als familie	es are depende	nt o
11. 12. 13.	area. Current land use. In this area managed as per prescriptions in the Working Plan and, if not, why? Importance of the area from historical or religious point of view. Any dependent persons/farmilies on this land. Any displacement of persons proposed. Is there any Rehabilitation and Resettlement Plan for the person to be affected? Is there any dissenting voice among the person proposed to be displaced?	No Yes No There the Pro Patra u area. No Not rec	oposed Are under FRA quired	als familie a. They a act 2006,	es are depende all have Vanad , in adjoining f	nt chika
11. 12.	area. Current land use. In this area managed as per prescriptions in the Working Plan and, if not, why? Importance of the area from historical or religious point of view. Any dependent persons/farmilies on this land. Any displacement of persons proposed. Is there any Rehabilitation and Resettlement Plan for the person to be affected? Is there any dissenting voice among the person	No Yes No There the Pro Patra u area. No Not rec Com	oposed Are under FRA quired	als familie ea. They a act 2006,	es are dependent all have Vanad and in adjoining for adjoining for underground	nt chika

	be more than 10 kms.	1.Pench Tiger Reserve - 90 km 2. Satpura Tiger Resrve - 6.18 km 3. Proposed Mine is located with in Satpura-Pench Corridore. CWLW comments should be required for this			
	•				
		mine.			
17.	Dependence of tribal's in the area. Whether the rights of the tribal's have been recognized in this area.	FRA certificate from collector is under process			
18.	Utility of the project, including the people living in close vicinity of the project.	For Coal Mining			
19.	In case of renewal whether all the conditions stipulated in the earlier sanction order have been complied with.	It is Fresh Proposal			
20.	Alternatives examined by the user agency in case of non-site specific projects.	No			
21.	A certificate by the user agency that the forest land requested for diversion for non-forestry	Yes			
22.	Any scope of saving tree growth while ensuing that the purpose for which the forest land is being diverted is also not adversely affected.	Not required hence proposal is for underground mining			
23.	Any other issue of significance.	No			
24.	Specific recommendations of the CCF with reasons for approval of the project.	Proposed Mining area located in Satpura- Pench Corridore. So Proposal should obtain permission from CWLW. Connecting path between patches should be cleared by User agency.			

Additional Principal chief conservator of forest chhindwara forest circle chhindwara

Place :- chhindwara Date :- 9.03.2022