

PART - II

(To be filled by the Concerned Deputy Conservator of Forests)
State Serial No. Proposals


7.	Location of the Project/ Scheme:	Suryapet Division for Diversion of 8.02 Ha of Forest area in Saidulnama RF of Suryapet Division for establishing part of the railway siding and associated activities and other permanent structures in Suryapet District in f/o M/s DCL.					
	State/ Union Territory	Telangana					
	District	Suryapet					
	Forest Division	Suryapet					
	Area of Forest Land proposed for diversion (in Ha)	An area of 8.02 Ha is proposed for diversion establishing part of the railway siding and associated activities and other permanent structures. Total Extent : 8.02 Ha					
	Legal status of forest	Saidulnama Reserve Forest is notified u/s 18 of Hyderabad Forest Act I, 1326F, vide Gazette No.8, Dt.23 rd Dai 1327 F and under section 10, Gazette No.19 Dt. 9 th Ferwardi 1333 F.					
	Density of Vegetation	The details of vegetation canopy density as assessed using the Remote Sensing data is as follows: <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th>Density Class</th> <th>Area in Ha</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Less than 0.1</td> <td>8.02 Ha</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Density Class	Area in Ha	Less than 0.1	8.02 Ha
Density Class	Area in Ha						
Less than 0.1	8.02 Ha						
	Species wise & (scientific names) and diameter class- wise enumeration of trees (to be enclosed. In case of irrigation / hydel projects: enumeration at FRL, FRL-2 meter & FRL-4 meter also to be enclosed.	There are no trees in the proposed diverted forest area. The works are already taken up by violating F(C)Act, 1980.					
	Brief note on vulnerability of the forest Area to erosion	The above project will not be causing any major soil erosion cause vulnerable of the forest areas to erosion.					
	Approximate distance of proposed site for Diversion from boundary of forest	It is passing through the notified reserve forest and protection measures are required which are stipulated with recommendations.					
	Whether forms part of National Park, Wildlife Sanctuary, biosphere reserve, tiger reserve, Elephant corridor, etc., (If so, the details of the area and comment of the Chief Wildlife Warden to be annexed.)	Doesn't form part of National Parks, Wildlife Sanctuary, Biosphere reserve, Tiger reserve, Elephant corridor etc.					
	Whether any rare/endangered/ unique species of flora and fauna in the area if so details: thereof.	No rare/ endangered /unique species of flora and fauna found in the area.					
	Whether any protected archaeological heritage site/ defense establishment or any other important monument is located in the area. If so, the details thereof with NOC from competent authority, if enquired	No protected archaeological heritage site /defense establishment of any other important monument is located in the proposed area.					
8	Whether the requirement of forest land as Proposed by the user agency in col. 2 of part- I is unavoidable and barest minimum for the Project. If so, recommended area item-wise with details of alternatives examined	As per the proposals with alternatives submitted by the user agency and technical details involved in the project, the area proposed is unavoidable and barest minimum required; and hence recommended.					
9	Whether any work in violation of the Act. Has been Carried out (Yes/No). If yes, details of the same including period of work done, action taken on erring officials, Whether work in: violation is still in progress	Yes, the work was carried out during 2011-12 to 2015-16 and show cause notice issued to the User Agency vide District Forest Officer, Suryapet Rc.No.789/2020/S4. Dt. 01.07.2020. Now, there is no progress of works in the proposed area.					

		<p>But, the violation is not intentional. It occurred due to mistake of facts.</p> <p>The UA has obtained forest clearance for railway sliding to an extent of 1.93377 ha, but extended beyond the permitted area.</p> <p>For the approved mining lease project (183.11 ha), the then DFO Nalgonda has submitted in Part-II application that, the proposed area for additional mining lease is the southern boundary within the Saidulanama RF in Compt.No.26 & 27. It seems the leased area was presumed to be upto the boundary line of RF. The situation arose due to the fact that the reserved forest boundary lines were not clearly demarcated on the ground. But, the during the detailed survey of RF Block with DGPS, it is understood that, the extended railway siding is also a part of RF. Hence, it appears that, user agency has not intentionally utilized the RF area for the purpose of Railway line, extended beyond the approved diversion. It is also submit that, the committee constituted by Hon'ble National Green Tribunal has recommended regularization of said area. Hence, necessary penalty and Penal Compensatory Afforestation may be imposed to the user agency.</p>
10	Details of Compensatory Afforestation scheme. :	
i	Details of non-forest area/ degraded forest Area identified for Compensatory Afforestation, its distance from adjoining forest, number of patches, size of each patch	<p>The UA had submitted that, an extent of 8.15 Ha of Non-Forest (CA) land is identified in Sy.No.208, 209 & 210/1/2 of Charakonda V&M, Nagarkurnool Dist. The CA land details to be confirmed by the District Forest Officer, Nagarkurnool, along with the CA scheme for raising with 10 years of maintenance. Penal Compensatory afforestation over degraded forest land, double in extent of violation, is identified in Bhadradri-Kothagudem District and CA scheme for raising with 10 years of maintenance will be submitted by DFO, Bhadradri-Kothagudem.</p>
ii	Map showing non-forest/ degraded forest area identified for compensatory Afforestation and adjoining forest boundaries	
iii	Detailed Compensatory Afforestation scheme including species to be planted implementing agency, time schedule, cost structure, etc.,	
iv	Total financial outlay for compensatory Afforestation scheme	
V	Certificate from the competent authority regarding suitability of area identified for Compensatory Afforestation and from management point of view. (To be signed by the concerned Deputy Conservator of Forests)	
11	Site inspection report of the DCF (to be enclosed) especially highlighting facts asked in: col.no.7 (xi, xii), 8 and 9 above.	Site inspection report is enclosed.
12	Division/ District profile:	Suryapet District and Division
i	Geographical area of the district.	14,250 Sq Km
ii	Forest area of the District.	834.95 Sq Km
iii	Total forest area diverted since 1980 with number of cases	A total of 345.2867 Ha Forest land Have been Diverted in Suryapet Division and District in (12) No. of Cases.
iv	Total compensatory Afforestation stipulated in the district/ division since 1980 or (a) forest land including penal Compensatory Afforestation,	A Total of 975.5745 Ha of Compensatory Afforestation is stipulated in the in the District
	(a) Forest land including penal Compensatory Afforestation	Nil
	(b) Non-forest land.	975.5745 Ha (Non Forest Land)
v	Progress of Compensatory Afforestation as on date	599.68 Ha (implemented CA as on 31.12.2020)

(a)	Forest land	:	35.60 Ha (Forest Land implemented)
(b)	Non-forest land.	:	599.68 Ha (Non Forest Land implemented)
13	Specific recommendations of the DCF for acceptance or otherwise of the proposals with reasons	:	This project is for regularization of violation under F(C) Act, 1980, i.e., use of excess forest land for laying the railway line than the approved area of 1.93377 ha. The committee constituted by Hon'ble Green Tribunal, Chennai in connection with O.A.No.33 of 2106 (SZ), has also recommended for regularization of excess forest utilized, after detailed survey and taking all facts into consideration. Hence, the project for regularization of 8.02 ha is recommended and necessary penalty may be imposed to the UA under F(C)Act,1980.

Date:15.04.2021

Place: Suryapet


 Name: G. Mukund Reddy, Dy.C.F.,
 District Forest Officer,
 Suryapet.