


PART – III


(To be completed by the officer – in charge of the National park/sanctuary completed and submitted to the Chief wild life warden or officer authorized by him in this behalf within 30 days of the receipt of part II)



- 1 Date of receipt of the part-II - 16/10/2020
- 2 Total area (ha.) Sanctuary - 60852.72 Ha.
- 3 Total area (ha.) diverted from the WLS so far for development purposes - For the right of way to lay in Tamor Pingla Sanctuary, Khond Game Range .Area- 1.462 Ha.
- 4 List the past projects the area (Ha.) diverted - N.A.
 Name of project area diverted - N.A.
 Year of diversion - N.A.
- 5 Positive impact/s due to the diversion of area for the projects referred to in column 4 above
 Name of Project
 Positive impact
 Scientific basis of assessment
 BharatNet Project Phase-II in State of Chhattisgarh.
 CHiPS Laying OFC with in Surajpur Forest Division in Surajpur District to Connect gram panchayat with their block head quarter to improved service delivery (online e-gram panchayat services, e-governance, e-education, e-health, e-medicine, e-grievances, e-agriculture, e-citizen, etc.
- 6 Negative impact/s due to the diversion of area for the projects referred to in column 4 above
 Name of Project
 Positive impact
 Scientific basis of assessment
 - N.A.
- 7 Management Plan Period (Attach copy of the management plan/management scheme/recommendation of chief wildlife warden - N.A.

- | | | | |
|----|---|---|------------|
| 8 | List Management actions taken/ proposed to be taken in the whole block/Zone in which the proposed area is located | - | N.A. |
| 9 | Type of forest in which the proposed area falls. | - | R.F.& P.F. |
| 10 | Location of the proposed area w.r.t. the critical/intensive wildlife management areas/wildlife habitats.(attach map to scale) | - | N.A. |
| 11 | | - | |
| 12 | List the likely POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE impact/s of the proposed project giving scientific and technical justification for each impact | - | N.A. |
| 13 | Provide COMPREHENSIVE details of the impact of the proposal in terms of sections 29 and /o section 35 (6) of the wildlife (protection) act, 1972 as the case may be. | - | N.A. |
| 14 | Whether the project authorities have ever committed violation of the wild life (protection) act, 1972 or forest conservation act, 1980. If yes, provide the EXHAUSTIVE details of the offence and the present status of the case. (Concealing or misrepresenting the facts will lead to rejection of the case in addition to any other penalty as prescribed under law) | - | N.A. |
| 15 | Have you examined the project Appraisal document and the alternatives as provided in Part-II | - | N.A. |
| 16 | Have you examined the bio diversity impact assessment report? | - | N.A. |
| 17 | If yes. please give your comments on the recommendations given in the Report | - | N.A. |

- 18 Dates and duration of your field visits -
to the proposed
- 19 Do you agree that the present - Yes
Proposal of diversion of WLS area is
the best or only option and is viable
- 20 Any other information that you would - N.A.
bring to the notice of the state


Superintendent
Tamor Pingle Sanctuary
Dist. -Surajpur (C.G.)


C.F. (Wild Life)
Surguja Circle
Ambikapur (C.G.)


Deputy Director
Elephant Reserve Surguja
 Ambikapur (C.G.)