Reference: Index Sr. NO 30

(Site inspection Report from Deputy Conservator of Forests Kolhapur) (Prescribed vide PCCF (HOFF) No Desk – 17 - 4 / 1445. Dated 5/11/2015)

Sr.No	Items			Obs	ervatior	is and remark	s.
Sr.No	Name of P (Range, Roun	Observations and remarks. Proposal for diversion of forest land for laying underground OFC duct & cable within the ROW of existing roadODR 61 from village –Talashi, Tal Radhanagri, to Village –Savarde Budruk, Tal Kagal, Dist-Kolhapur. Road passing through forest land at Kasaba Walve, TalRadhanagri, Dist					
			Kolhap S. no 1	ur. Range Radhar		Round	Beat Kasaba walv
2	Name of User	Agency	Relianc preside Relianc & 3 rd .	e JIO In ent, Reli e Corpo Floor, S.	frocomi iance J irate I T . no 8+:	m Ltd. (JIO) IO Infrocom Park Ltd, Do	Pune,The Vice m Ltd. (JIO), own town 2 nd . f. Karve road,
3	Date of site Inspection		31.12.2	021			
4		and legal status of oposed to diversion.	Fores Forests		requi	red 0.1395	ha.('Protected
5 a) Details of forest land proposed for diversion and activity-wise break-up of Forest land b) Density		Forest land requires	f Forest la existing forest Name of C Kasaba	and require road pa f Village	ed for OFC	L. X W in Mt. 1395 X 1	Cable. Area in ha. 0.1395

	value class	
6	Whether the requirement of	Yes,
	forest land as proposed by the User Agency in col. of Part II is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project. If no recommended area item-wise with details of alternative examined.	The requirement of forest land as proposed by U. A. is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project. As the work is proposed within Row of road. There is no need to examine three alternatives,
7	Whether the proposal involves any construction of building (including residential) or not? If Yes, details thereof	No, This proposal doesn't involve any building construction.
8	 a)whether forest area proposed for diversion is important form wildlife point of view or not. b) Details of any rare or endangered or unique species of flora and fauna found in proposed 	species of flora and fauna found in proposed
	forest land. If so the details thereof. c) Aerial distance from the nearest boundary of any Protected Area (Km).	The proposed forest land failing 18 Km away from boundary of Radhanagri WL Sanctuary.
	 d) Remarks about sensitivity of the forest area likely to be affected due to project. e) Whether wildlife mitigation plan is required?If yes, reasons therefor. 	No

9	Details of vegetation:	No tree felling is required.
	a) Total no of trees to be felled.	Nil
	b) Number of trees to be felled of	Nil
	girth below 60 cm.	
	c) Number of trees to be felled of	Nil
	girth above 60 cm.	
	d) Effect of removal of trees on the	Question doesn't arise.
	general ecosystem in the area.	
10	Background note on the proposal	Reliance Industries Ltd. has lunched broadband
	(short summary)	services. The main aim of company to provide
		the mobile service & 4 G , 5G internet data
		service in all over Indian villages. To provide
		network, there is need network of Towers
		connected by OFC duct & cable, For laying of
		underground the OFC duct &cable within ROW of
		road, where the maximum area will be covered
		for mobile network and also easy maintain for
		long period. Executive Engineer , Z. P . Kolhapur
		has sanction permission for laying of
		underground OFC duct & cable within the ROW
		of existing road ODR 61 As same part of existing
		road passing through the forest land, and there
		need the prior permission from competent
		authority for laying of underground OFC duct &
		cable within the Row of the existing road at
		village Talashi, Chandre, Kasaba Valve, Tal-
		Radhanagri, Village –Savarde Budruk,
		Pirachiwadi, Tal- Kagal, Dist-Kolhapur.
		There is no other alternative other than the use
		There is no other alternative other than the us

		of forest land. Hence this proposal is being
		submitted for approval under section 2 (ii) of F.
		C. Act, 1980.
11	Whether the proposal involves any	No
	violation of Forest (Conservation)	Project Authority has not violated any rule of
	Act, 1980? If yes, a detailed report	Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980.
	on violation including action taken	
	against the concerned officials to	Certificate on page 54
	be attached	
12	Whether the proposal involves	No
	rehibitions of displaced person, If	In this project not involverehibitionof displaced
	yes, whether rehibitions plan has	person
	approved by the State Govt.?	
13	Details of catchment and	Not applicable.
	culturable command area under	
	the project (If applicable)	
	Status of catchment area	Not applicable.
	treatment plan to prevent siltation	
	of reservoir (If applicable)	
14	Utility of Project	To provide network, there is need network of
-		Towers connected by OFC duct & cable, for
		laying of underground the OFC duct & cable
		within ROW of road, This project is useful to rural
		peoples /Offices far away from the developed
		urban area.

r		
15	Whether land being diverted has	No.
	any socio-cultural/ religious values?	
	Whether any scared grove or very	
	old gRowth tree/ forests exist in	No.
	the areas proposed for diversion	
1.5		
16	Any other importance information	Project authority submitted that, cost of C.A.
	related to the project (separate	may not be levied in this proposal as the
	note may be attached, (If required)	proposed laying of OFC cable within Row of
		existing road Talashi, Chandre, Village –Kasaba
		Walve, Tal- Radhanagri, Pirachiewadi, Savarde
		Budruk, Tal- Kagal, Dist-KolhapurRoad passing
		outside National Park & WL. Sanctuary area & no
		need to cutting of trees, which fulfil the
		conditions given in MoEF guideline no FC-11
		/165/2019- FC, Dt. 27 Th . July 2020
17	Details of documents and	1)Authority letter
	photographs enclosed	2) Photographs of proposed area.
18	Recommendation of the Inspecting	
	Officer.	The proposed work will be useful to rural people
		/Offices far away from the developed urban area

Place- Kolhapur. Date 03 / 01 / 2022

 \mathcal{N} > (R. R. Kale)

45

(R. R. Kale) Deputy Conservator of Forests, Forest Division, Kolhapur