

**Full Title of the project :-** उत्तर रेलवे, लखनऊ द्वारा जंघई-प्रतापगढ़ अमेठी रेलवे ट्रैक के दोहरीकरण में जनपद जौनपुर (किमी० 847.170 से 868.000 तक) में प्रभावित 11.5778 हे० संरक्षित वन भूमि एवं 450 वृक्षों/पौधों के पातन, जनपद प्रतापगढ़ में (किमी० 868.000 से 924.00 तक) प्रभावित 29.5555 हे० संरक्षित वन भूमि एवं 4471 वृक्षों/पौधों (2873 वृक्ष/पौध तथा 1798 प्राकृतिक रूप से उगे 0-30 सेमी० के पौधों) के पातन तथा जनपद अमेठी में (किमी० 924.000 से 934.450 तक) प्रभावित 6.1698 हे० संरक्षित वन भूमि एवं 2816 वृक्षों/पौधों के पातन अर्थात् कुल 47.3031 हे० संरक्षित वनभूमि के गैर वाणिजी प्रयोग एवं बाधक 7737 वृक्षों/पौधों के पातन की अनुमति के सम्बन्ध में।

**File No.**

**FP/UP/Rail/43121/2019**

**Date of Proposal:-**

**31-08-2020**

**SITE INSPECTION REPORT NOT BELOW THE RANK OF DCF/DFO**

(for the forest land to be diverted under FCA)

A proposal has been received by this office from Divisional Director Social forestry Division Pratapghar **31-08-2020** for diversion (under FCA- 1980) of **47.3031 ha of Total protected land** of forest land for non-forestry purpose. The Subject envisages the use of above Protected land The site inspection of the land involved in the proposal has been done by me dated **24-12-2020**.

- 2- On inspection of the site, it was found that the land required by the user agency is a protected Forest land measuring **11.5778 ha.** in Jaunpur Division, **29.5555 ha** Pratapgarh Division **6.1698 ha.** In Amethi Division protected land (Total forest area measuring **47.3031 ha.**)
- 3- The requirement of forest land as proposed by the user agency in col. 2 of part-1 is unavoidable and is barest minimum required for the project.
- 4- Whether any rare/ endangered/ unique species of flora and fauna. found in the area. If so, the details thereof. Nil
- 5- Whether any protected archaeological / heritage site / defence establishment or any other important monument is located in the area. If so. the details thereof with NOC from the competent authority. Nil
- 6 (a) The user agency has not violated the provisions of Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 and no work has been started without proper sanction.
- (b) It has been found that the user agency has violated the provisions of the Forest (Conservation) Act 1980 Indian Forest Act, 1927/ any other Forest Act. A detailed report as per para 1.9 of Chapter 1, Part C of Hand-book of Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 is attached).

**(Note; Whichever of the above is applicable should be shown in bold letters)**

Specific recommendation for acceptance or otherwise of the proposal.

Project is recommended for approval

Place :- Prayagraj

Date 06-01-2021

(Signature)

Name - B. R. Ahirwar

Designation :- Conservator Of Forest

Office Seal

वन संरक्षक

प्रयागराज