Proceedings of the 3rd Meeting of the State Level High Powered Steering Committee (SHPSC) chaired by Chief Secretary, GoK for implementation of the Centrally Sponsored "AMRUT" Scheme on 10.03.2016

Members Present: Annexed.

The Secretary, UDD and State Mission Director for AMRUT, Bangalore, welcomed Chairman SHPSC and Chief Secretary to Government of Karnataka, ACS, UDD and Co-Chairman, SHPSC and other members to the third meeting of the State Level High Powered Steering Committee (SHPSC) for AMRUT scheme.

1. Background on AMRUT

Secretary, UDD, GoK and State Mission Director-AMRUT explained about the centrally sponsored 'AMRUT' mission, thrust areas and schemes under the Mission, attributes, coverage, GoI criteria for selection of cities/towns under AMRUT, the cities/towns of Karnataka selected for implementation of AMRUT, fund allocation, funding pattern under the Mission, planning process carried-out by the State, ULBs & Parastatals.

State Mission Director – AMRUT explained that the State Annual Plan (SAAP) for the FY 2015-16 was prepared based on the Service Level Improvement Plan (SLIP) submitted by the ULBs, as per the MoUD guidelines. The SAAP has been submitted to Government of India, after obtaining the approval of SHPSC and the Apex Committee of GoI has approved the same for Rs.1258.54 crores. Based on the action plan approved, the ULBs and parastatals (KUWSDB and BWSSB) have prepared DPRs as per the AMRUT guidelines, which have been appraised and approved by the State Level Technical Committee (SLTC) chaired by ACS, UDD.

DPRs have been submitted by KUWSDB, BWSSB and ULBs as per SAAP 2015-16 and in some cases for the 3 years financial allocation of the towns. Mission Director informed that approval had been sought from the Apex Committee, Government of India for taking up works as per allocation for 3 years. However, the Apex Committee had noted the request of the State, but had given approval for Rs.1258.54 crores for 2015-16 only. However, in the SLTC, it was felt that since projects normally takes 3 to 4 years for completion giving yearly approvals not only split the works, but also would delay the entire implementation. Hence, it

usually within 3 days the backfilling and consolidation is done. And, after allowing excavated material to settle in 2 months time, road is restored.

Decision: After detailed discussions the Committee accorded administrative approval to all the 17 water supply, 17 UGD and 2 Green Spaces and Parks projects as below subject to the condition that 'Council Resolutions for bearing O&M cost and setting up Escrow accounts for water supply and UGD projects, that are to be implemented by KUWS&DB shall be obtained from the concerned ULBs'.

The projects approved by the Committee are as follows:

Rs. in Cr.

Sl. No.	City	Name of the Project/DPR	Implementing Agency	Project cost	WWC @ 1% of the project cost	Total
	Water Supply					
1	Chickmagalur	Providing Water Supply Scheme to Chickmagalur town - Replacement of existing transmission mains, pumping machineries at Head works, IPS and WTP premises, Feeder mains, Service reservoirs and Distribution network and Civil works etc. (6 works)	KUWS&DB	102.57	1.03	103.60
2	Hassan	Construction of New Head Works and WTP Transmission and Feeder mains and allied works (13 works)	KUWS&DB	117.00	1.17	118.17
3	Mandya	3rd Stage Water Supply Scheme to Mandya City (7 works)	KUWS&DB	114.48	1.14	115.62
4	Bangalore				-	-
	Project 1	Implementation of Water Supply Facilities to balance slums in core area of Bangalore (3 works)	BWSSB	13.04	0.13	13.17
	Project 2	Providing and laying of 1016mm dia OD MS pipeline from GKVK Reservoir to HBR Reservoir for a length of 18.25Km	BWSSB	44.88	0.45	45.33
5	Chitradurga	Augmentation of water supply scheme to Chitradurga city (Vanivilas Sagar)	KUWS&DB	46.76	0.47	47.23
6	Kolara	Providing, laying and jointing of DI, HDPE distribution pipeline and other allied works at all 35	KUWS&DB	7.00	0.07	7.07

7. Additional agenda with the permission of Chair - Delegation of powers for approving tender premium amount upto 10% -

ACS, UDD said that in all the projects technically and financially cleared by SLTC and approved by SHPSC the implementation agency will invite the tenders. Usually the tender premium cost for the projects varies from 10-15% in excess of the amount put to tender. In the interest of the project and in order to avoid delay in implementation, it is better that the power for approval of tender upto 10% on the current S.R for the L1 bidder be delegated to the respective tender inviting authority instead of Government/ SHPSC according approval. The Chairman sought the opinion of members regarding this. All the members agreed for this suggestion.

Decision: **The Committee approved to delegate the power of approving the tenders upto 10% of tender premium on the current SR for the L1 Bidder to the tender inviting authority. After approval, the same shall be brought to the notice of the SHPSC in the next meeting.**

The meeting ended with a vote of thanks.

(ARVIND JADHAV)
Chief Secretary to Govt.
and Chairman State Level High Powered
Steering Committee of AMRUT
Govt. of Karnataka.