

GULBARGA ELECTRICITY SUPPLY COMPANY LIMITED

(A Government of Karnataka Enterprise)

Phone No: +91 9448998819
E-mail: eesedamdn@gmail.com



Office of the Executive Engineer Ele.,
O&M Division, GESCOM, Opp. KSRTC
Bus Stand, Main Road Sedam.

No.GESCOM/EEE(SDM)/AEE(O)/AET/2021-22/62445

Date: 07-02-2022

To

The Principal Chief Conservator of Forests
(Forest Conservation) & Nodal Officer (FCA)
Aranya Bhavan, Malleshwaram,
18th Cross, Bengaluru-560 003.

Sir,

Sub: Diversion of 10.3005 ha of forest land in Sy No.50/1, 70 &63 of Lachmasagar, Sy No. 32 of Mombapur, Sy No.58 of Anthawaram, SyNo.45 of Dharmasagar, Multiple Sy No of Venkatapur, Villages in Kalaburagi.

Ref: 1) Our FC proposal submission dated 15-09-2021
2) Your E-office File No. KFD/HoFF/A5-4(GFL)/46/2021-FC dated 7-10-2021.

Referring to the captioned subject, we have applied online proposal for diversion of forest land for non-forestry purpose to your kind office under above Ref (1). The same is examined by your kind office and raised the EDS query vide above Ref (2). Accordingly, we made following correction and re-uploaded for acceptance.

Reference Item in PART-I	Remarks	Replies
A-1(ii) Name of Project for which Forest Land is required	An incomplete text ' <i>diversion of 10.3005 ha of forest land in Sy No. 50/1, 70 & 63 of Lachmasagar, Sy No. 32 of Mombapur, Sy No.58 of Anthawaram, SyNo.45 of Dharmasagar, Multiple Sy No of Venkatapur, Villages in Kalaburgi</i> ' without specifying the purpose of the project, is provided as the project name. It is advised to include the complete location (Village, Hobli, Taluk, District) in this item for easy identification during processing . <i>Example. Construction of 11 KV Transmission Line from Konchavaram to Dharmasagar and Peddathanda to Venkatapur, Peddathanda to Anthawaram and Gopunaik Thanda Cross to Singapur under Nirantara Jyothi Yojane(NJY) in favour of Executive Engineer (File) O&M Division in SyNo.50/1, 70 &63</i>	A complete forest land diversion [Village Name & Sy No.] along with component details is mentioned in this item. But, this item characters spaces is very less ie., up to 200 character including spaces. Hence, a complete diversion details are not show in FORM-A. Further, we have changed the project name as suggested by your kind office.

Sudhakar

	of Luchmasagar, Sy No. 32 of Mombapur, Sy No.58 of Anthawaram, SyNo.45 of Dharmasagar, Multiple Sy No of Venkatapur, villages of Chincholi Hobli, Chincholi Taluk, Kalburgi District	
A-2 Details of User Agency	<p>Instead of specifying the exact User Agency (the authority in whose name the forest lease is sought i.e Gulbarga Electric Supply Company Limited (GESCOM)), the 'EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (ELE) O&M DIVISION GFSCOM SEDAM' is named as the User Agency.</p> <p>1. Please note that the User Agency must be the competent Government authority in whose name the forest lease is sought. [Note. 'Such authority will be required to sign an agreement with Forest Department and take over the forest land after sanction of the forest diversion under Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 into its custody and will be responsible for implementation of the FC lease conditions during the entire lease period.]</p> <p>2. Please make necessary corrections to the name of User Agency in this Item. In case of any difficulty in changing the name of User Agency because of technical restrictions on FC web portal, you may choose to submit a fresh application (new proposal Number) with all necessary corrections incorporated.</p>	<p>We have agree that, User Agency is GESCOM i.e., Gulbarga Electric Supply Company Limited. After Stage-I & Stage-II approval, The EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (ELE) O&M DIVISION GESCOM SEDAM' is the Authorized designated officer to execute the agreement on behalf of GESCOM.</p> <p>This item is a technically restricted. Hence, please consider the same.</p>
B-2.3 Village wise breakup	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> As per the entire alignment map provided in the additional information column it was found that eight Villages and some portion of the Andhra Pradesh State is also included. but, in this item, only 5 Villages have been mentioned. As per the Geo-referenced maps uploaded, the proposed area alignment is passing through Sy no 1, 89, 21, 47, 143 and 77 of Venkatapura Village instead the said Sy No. 124, 48/1, 222, 1391, 39, 86 of Venkatapura Village and also alignment is passing through Sy No 30 of Mambapura village which is falling within Anthavaram Block as per RF boundary and Sy No 68 of Anthavaram village. As per RF boundary the said non-forest alignment is also falling within RF boundary in Dharmasagar, Venkatapura 	Village and survey number wise breakup details uploaded.

M. M. M. M. M.

	<p>village and small portion in Lachmasagar. This needs to be rechecked and needs to be provided correctly.</p> <p>Please specify the Survey Number(s) involved in entire project and then provide individual survey number-wise breakup (without clubbing) of forest (as well as non-forest, if any) land requirement.</p>	
B-2,4 Component wise breakup	<p>Instead of mentioning the exact components (such as Substation, bay station, towers etc), only one component 11kv Transmission line is given as the project component.</p> <p>Please specify all the components involved in the entire project (<i>as per approved Layout Plan / Sketch</i>) and provide the component-wise requirement of forest as well as non-forest land for individual components (without clubbing).</p>	<p>This proposal is involving only one component i.e., 11KV Transmission Line. The same is mentioned in FORM-A Part-I application.</p>
C(ii)(b) KML File of Segments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> KML file is uploaded showing an area extent 10.3201 ha against proposed area of 10.3005 Ha and the GPS readings provided are overlapping with the proposed area KML except some portion in Sy No. 1 of Venkatapura Village. Hence proper GPS readings are required. Component wise breakup KML is not provided. 1. The proposed area KML appears to be overlapping with the Proposal No. : FP/KA/O4"HERS/25957/2017 (BSNL Optical Fiber) proposed area KML. This may be verified and if the proposed area is overlapping with existing diverted area, then proposal for re-diversion for the portion over lapping with diverted area, will have to be submitted with NOC from the concerned User Agency. 	<p>11KV transmission line with polygon KML file with measurement option is uploaded and non- forest land along with KML is also uploaded.</p> <p>Further, proposed transmission line may be overlapping with the Proposal of BSNL Optical Fiber cable area. OFC cable passing through underground and proposed transmission line passing through Overhead above the ground. Further, we have submitted proposal for NOC to concerned office vide letter no. GESCOM/EEE(SDM)/AEE (O)/AET/2021-22/6097 Dt.18.01.2022 same has been enclosed with this letter for you kind reference. We are hereby Submitting undertaking assure that we will submit NOC of concerned department after the Stage-1 approval. The same</p>

Pranmalca

	<p>2. Please upload the correct KML file of forest land proposed for diversion with 'Polygon' feature, inbuilt 'Measurement' option, identifiable File name.</p> <p>3. If non-forest land is also a part of the entire project, please upload KML file (with polygon feature and proper File Name) of such non-forest land too (in a different colour).</p> <p>4. Please ensure that the KML Polygon of the forest land proposed for diversion matches exactly with the corresponding GPS readings and ground features as seen in satellite imagery.</p>	<p>is uploaded for your kind perusal.</p> <p>Further, this route suggested by local field officer. Hence, we have applied the transmission line proposal.</p> <p>11KV transmission line with polygon KML file with measurement option is uploaded and non-forest land along with KML is also uploaded.</p>
<p>C(iii) Copy of Survey of India Topo sheet indicating boundary of forest land proposed to be diverted</p>	<p>As per Topo-map provided some portion of the forest land is also shown as non-forest land which needs to be verified and as mentioned above the Sy No details need to be changed in the Area details Table after verification.</p> <p>1. Please upload Survey of India Toposheet on 1:50,000 scale showing the boundary of forest land proposed to be diverted.</p> <p>2. Please ensure that the said Topo Map -</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is duly signed by User Agency. Has Survey of India Toposheet Number clearly mentioned on it. Has a proper Map Index. Has the project area distinctly marked on it. 	<p>1:50,000 Scale Survey of India Toposheet uploaded and marked the proposed transmission line & showing the forest boundary also.</p> <p>Ink signed Toposheet uploaded Toposheet Number is clearly marked on the Map.</p> <p>Proper Index is also mentioned.</p> <p>Project area is also distinctly marked on map.</p>
<p>C(iv) Scanned copy of the Geo-referenced map of the forest land proposed to be diverted prepared by using GPS or 3 total Station</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Instead of uploading a Geo-referenced Map with boundary of forest land proposed for diversion superimposed on individual whole Village Maps with a scale of 1:7920 merged in the single PDF file, a Mosaic Village Map of the 8 Villages and individual maps without their boundary description and proper scale (i.e 1:7920) has been uploaded. If any changes / connections made in the Sy Nos. and villages details, forest area 	<p>1) 1:17,000 Scale map are covering 7 Villages and marked in the entire transmission alignment both forest and non forest land in two different colors along Village boundary & Sub-station & Ink signed by myself.</p>

Srinivasan

	<p>details in the connected table is also needs to be changed.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Please upload the following two types of Geo-referenced maps (<i>Merge as a single PDF File</i>) showing the <u>forest area proposed for diversion as well as non-forest area (if any; shown in two different colours) in the given village limits</u> on the following two scales with also keeping the present mosaic map containing complete alignment. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Geo-referenced Map-I: Ordinary Village Map scale (1:7920) Ceo-referenced Map-II: It much larger scale (with zoom) for a detailed view of the forest area in question Please ensure that the above two maps: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Arc original (and not photocopy); Show all survey numbers and their boundaries in and around the project area clearly; Have proper Map Index; Are duly ink-signed by User Agency. Has a Village-wise, Survey Number-wise and Component wise Statement of forest land requirement in given village Has a list of GPS readings (Datum: WGS-84; in <u>Degree, decimals</u>) of all corners/ turning points of the forest area proposed for diversion. <i>[Note: After Stage-1 approval, RCC pillars will have to be provided at all these GPS points. However, temporary stone pillars are sufficient t for field verification at present.]</i> <p>Have the GPS points (Serial numbers) distinctly marked on corresponding points on proposed road.</p>	<p>2) Parally, 1:6,000 Scale of Anthawaram Village & 1:6500 Scale of Dharmasagara village, 1:4,139 of Lachamsagara Village, 1:5,000 scale of Mumbapura Village, 1:9,000 scale Venkatapura Village, 1:5,500 scale of Sangapura Village, 1:4,000 scale of Konchawaram Villages are uploaded for kind perusal.</p> <p>3) We are erecting temporary Stone Pillar at the time of site inspection by local filed officers. In this regard, we have uploaded a "UNDERTAKING for erecting temporary stone pillars.</p>
<p>D(i) Copy of note containing justification for locating the Project in forest land</p> <p>(ii) Whether- a Copy f ••a i•dic tti•g location of alternative examine</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Instead of Justification Not providing the specific valid reasons for inevitability of using forest land for the project, a note containing a general description of the proposed Project with its uses is uploaded in Item D(i). It is not specified what alternative alignments were examined before zeroing in on the presently proposed alignment 	<p>I the undersigned along with our filed staff and forest officials have personally inspected the spot and the proposal transmission line is going along the road. There is NO</p>

Subarna

	<p>details in the connected table is also needs to be changed.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Please upload the following two types of Geo-referenced maps (<i>Merge as a single PDF File</i>) showing the <u>forest area proposed for diversion as well as non-forest area (if any; shown in two different colours) in the given village limits</u> on the following two scales with also keeping the present mosaic map containing complete alignment. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Geo-referenced Map-I: Ordinary Village Map scale (1:7920) Ceo-referenced Map-II: It much larger scale (with zoom) for a detailed view of the forest area in question Please ensure that the above two maps: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Arc original (and not photocopy); Show all survey numbers and their boundaries in and around the project area clearly; Have proper Map Index; Are duly ink-signed by User Agency. Has a Village-wise, Survey Number-wise and Component wise Statement of forest land requirement in given village Has a list of GPS readings (Datum: WGS-84; in <u>Degree, decimals</u>) of all corners/ turning points of the forest area proposed for diversion. <i>[Note: After Stage-1 approval, RCC pillars will have to be provided at all these GPS points. However, temporary stone pillars are sufficient t for field verification at present.]</i> <p>Have the GPS points (Serial numbers) distinctly marked on corresponding points on proposed road.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Parally, 1:6,000 Scale of Anthawaram Village & 1:6500 Scale of Dharmasagara village, 1:4,139 of Lachamsagara Village, 1:5,000 scale of Mumbapura Village, 1:9,000 scale Venkatapura Village, 1:5,500 scale of Sangapura Village, 1:4,000 scale of Konchawaram Villages are uploaded for kind perusal. We are erecting temporary Stone Pillar at the time of site inspection by local filed officers. In this regard, we have uploaded a "UNDERTAKING for erecting temporary stone pillars.
<p>D(i) Copy of note containing justification for locating the Project in forest land</p> <p>(it) Whether- a Copy f••a i•dic tti•g location of alternative examine</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Instead of Justification Not providing the specific valid reasons for inevitability of using forest land for the project, a note containing a general description of the proposed Project with its uses is uploaded in Item D(i). It is not specified what alternative alignments were examined before zeroing in on the presently proposed alignment 	<p>I the undersigned along with our filed staff and forest officials have personally inspected the spot and the proposal transmission line is going along the road. There is NO</p>

K. Narasimha

<p>is required to be provided</p> <p>(a) Reason for not providing such map</p>	<p>through forest land. Further, the reasons for discarding such alternatives in favour of the proposed alignment through forest land are also not provided.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The 'NO' option is selected in Item D(ii) and an invalid reason <i>Transmission line passes in all along the existing</i> is given in Item D(ii)(a) for not providing a map indicating location of alternative examined by User Agency <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please note that the project is essentially non-site specific and can be implemented in non-forest land as well. 2. Please upload a <u>detailed Justification Note</u> on official letterhead under seal and signature (with date) of User Agency duly giving the reasons in Item D(i) why the project has to be implemented in forest land only and how it is unavoidable to utilize the given forest land for the project. 3. Please note that the Government of India specifically seeks the map showing alternatives (non-forest) examined by User Agency in Item D(ii)(a) and also the reasons for discarding such alternatives in favour of forest land. 4. It is thus advised to: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Examine at least 2-3 alternatives (non-forest) and <u>upload a map on appropriate scale showing such alternatives as well as the presently proposed location</u> in Item D(ii)(•)i and also b. Provide the detailed valid reasons as a separate Note (merged with the abovementioned Map into a single PDF file) in this Item why each of these alternatives has been discarded in favour of presently proposed location in forest land. 	<p>alternate route available for executing the transmission line. Hence, the proposal please be considered.</p>
--	--	---

Humayun

I(i)(d)(ii) Status of approval of the Standing Committee of National Board for the Wildlife(NBWL) to the Project	'No' option is selected. As per technical scrutiny shows that the forest land proposed for diversion is within Chincholi Wildlife Sanctuary and its Fico-Sensitive Zone (ESZ). Please consult the DCF of the concerned Division to ascertain the facts and then select 'YES' and apply on the same web portal for seeking wildlife clearance from the National Board for Wildlife.	Now, we have selected the 'YES' option and the same application will be submitted for wild life clearance.
K(i)(a) Copy of documentary evidence in support of settlement of rights under the Forest Rights Act, 2006 on the forest land proposed to be diverted	'No' option is selected. Please note that RoFR Compliance Certificate FORM-I (for linear projects) issued by Deputy Commissioner as per the latest FC Guidelines issued by GOI, MOEF&CC, New Delhi vide F.No. 5-2/2017-FC dated 28-03-2019 (<i>available on DC Web Portal</i>) will have to be submitted before final approval under FC Act.	We have already selected in "YES" option. Accordingly, we have uploaded an UNDERTAKING for obtaining and submit the RoFR certificate from Deputy Commissioner, Kalaburgi District in Perform-I for linear project.
Additional Information Details/ General	<p>a. Please upload the following documents.</p> <p>b. Detailed Project Report</p> <p>c. Administrative approval for the project</p> <p>d. A single map/ sketch on appropriate scale and with proper Map Index showing the entire project alignment (with the forest and non-forest stretches of the project shown in two different colours).</p> <p>e. Please provide the following information through email to 'gis3ictc@aranya.gov.in', marking copy to 'rfo5ictc@aranya.gov.in' & apccffc@gmail.com</p> <p>f. AutoCAD drawing (with 'Polygon' feature) of entire Project alignment (all components) in forest as well as non-forest land (in two different colours).</p> <p>g. Tabular Statement showing Component-wise GPS readings (Datum: WGS 84; in Degree. decimals) of all corners of forest land Proposed for diversion (Transmission Line corridor width)</p>	<p>Administrative and technical approval already uploaded</p> <p>1:17,000 Scale of Single map are covering 7 Villages and marked in the entire transmission alignment both forest and non forest land in two different colors along Village boundary & Sub-station & Ink signed by myself.</p>

Subarna

	<p>as well as at 20 meter interval in MS Excel Sheet.</p> <p>h. As the proposal needs to be examined by the Government of India, all documents need to be in English. In case of non-English documents, please upload and authenticated/attested English translation. [Note. Man) documents uploaded presently ore not in English,]</p> <p>i. Please ensure that all uploaded documents in relevant Items are duly ink-signed by User Agency/ Competent Authority.</p> <p>j. Please ensure that:</p> <p>k. Each issue mentioned above is carefully addressed before re-submission of application.</p> <p>l. Other crucial information not pointed out above does not get deleted/ altered while submitting the application online again.</p> <p>m. All uploaded documents in relevant Items arc duly ink- signed by User Agency/ Competent Authority.</p> <p>n. No work is undertaken in forest land without FC clearance.</p> <p>o. Copy of RTC containing mutation in favour of the forest Department in respect of the forest area proposed to be diverted may be uploaded.</p>	
--	--	--

Hence, we hereby resubmitting the proposal duly attending the observations for your kind approval and perusal.

Yours Faithfully


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (ELE)
O&M DIVISION GESCOM SEDAM