

To

The Divisional Forest Officer,  
Rohru Forest Division.

**Sub:- Diversion of 0.252 ha of forest land in favour of Municipal Council Rohru Distt. Shimla, H.P., for the construction of Solid Waste Management Plant at Rohru, within the jurisdiction of Rohru Forest Division, District Shimla, Himachal Pradesh -reg.**

Sir,

This is with reference to the subject cited above. In this context this is to inform you that the EDS raised by the forest department has been attended and parawise reply for the same is as under:-

Sr. No.	Observation	Reply
1.	In reply S.no. 1 REPLY NOT ACCEPTED. The State Government needs to upload the feasibility report of the proposed site and provide reasons for the rejection of the two other alternative proposed sites, as indicated in the KML uploaded along with administrative approval for the construction of the Solid Waste Management Plant.	The feasibility report, Justification for alternative examined and reasons for their rejection of the proposed project has been uploaded against additional information detail in online Part-I. Also, Administrative approval has been uploaded against additional information detail in online Part-I.

  
Executive Officer  
Municipal Council Rohru

## CHECK LIST SERIAL NUMBER:-10

### JUSTIFICATION FOR LOCATING THE PROJECT IN FOREST AREA

It is certified that the **0.2520 hectares** of forest land proposed to be diverted is inescapable and other alternatives for the proposed project have been explored and the forest land proposed to be diverted is barest minimum. It is further certified that no suitable non-forest land is available for the diversion of proposed **C/O Solid Waste Management Plant at Rohru District Shimla H.P.**

During the course of finalization of project land to be diverted, three alternatives were examined and there is no scope of any land available in any alternative except forest land **0.2520 Hect.**

#### Detail of Alternative Examined

**Alternate-I:-** The patch of land selected for the proposed project as per requirement of the proposal. The land identified is 0.2520 Ha and no tree is involved in the project site. Moreover, the land identified is far away from habitation. Hence, **Alternate-I is selected.**

**Alternate-II:-** The patch of land involves more area i.e. 0.4055 Ha and needs approach access to the project site. Also, 8-12 number of trees are involved in the project site. Hence, **Alternate-II is rejected.**

**Alternate-III:-** The patch of land is sloppy and is not as per the requirement of the project, further 8 number of trees are involved in the project site. Due to sloppy site the land development is required which will involve more area and area of the patch is 0.7555 ha. Hence **Alternate-III is rejected.**

Sr. No.	Alternate Examined	Area in Ha.	No. of Trees involved	Remarks
1.	Alternate-I	0.2520	Nil	Selected
2.	Alternate-II	0.4055	12	Rejected
3.	Alternate-III	0.7555	8	Rejected

Date:-

Place:-

  
Divisional Forest Officer  
Rohru Forest Division Rohru

  
Executive Officer  
Municipal Council Rohru

## Feasibility Report

This feasibility report presents the highlights of the proposed project for setting up of Solid Waste Management Plant at Rohru Distt. Shimla in the State of Himachal Pradesh. The Implementation of Solid Waste Management Plant is an important component of the Government of India's (GoI) "Swachh Bharat Mission" (SBM).

The objective of the project is to implement integrated solid waste management system into action in the identified ULBs and offer the citizens a clean, healthy and safe environment.





**Effective solid waste management has the following benefits: -**

1. It prevents contamination of water and soil.
2. Reduces waste sent to the landfill, which may have negative impacts on groundwater and air quality.
3. Reduce emissions from energy consumption, as waste when recycled requires less energy than making goods from virgin materials thereby reducing the energy demand and pressure on non renewable sources.
4. Contributes to Climate Change by reducing methane emissions from landfills. Waste prevention and recycling (including composting) divert organic wastes from landfills, reducing the methane released when these materials decompose.
5. Reduction in quantum of waste by diverting it to recycling and other processing unit reduces the landfill costs.
6. An effective waste management implies the concept of 3-Rs e.g. reduce, reuse and recycle waste matter leading to introduction of more and more of waste matter into the value chain leading to economic benefits.
7. Waste management being a labour intensive activity, it helps in employment generation.

The Implementation of Municipal solid Waste (MSW) Management is an important component of the Government of India's (GoI) "Swachh Bharat Mission" (SBM)

**Project Highlights:**

Project Details	Setting up of Solid Waste Management Plant
Site	Barara under MC Rohru Distt. Shimla H.P.
Land Area Required	0.2520 Ha.
Water Source	Existing I&PH Supply
Water Requirement	0.5-1 KLD
Electrical Power Source	Nearest Sub-Station
Electrical Power Demand	100 KVA
Fuels	HSD for DG Set power back-up
Employment Opportunity	About 5-10 Persons (Direct)

Capital Investment (INR)	Rs. 1500 Lacs
--------------------------	---------------

MC Rohru is located about 100 Kms from District Shimla and surrounded by beautiful mountain peaks with apple orchards and is also known as apple valley. The Rohru Town is centre to areas of Tehsil Jubbal, Chopal and also for some area of State of Uttarakhand and is also Headquarter of Jubbal & Rohru Sub-Divisions.

The population of MC Rohru is about 12000 and increasing day by day due to which Solid Waste Management Plant is required to keep this beautiful town neat and clean and to provide a healthy life to the people of Rohru Town and surroundings.

The predominance of food waste compounded by horticultural waste would drive the choice of technology more towards biological processing i.e. composting. Good C/N ratio and lean calorific value of wastes probably indicate composting as the preferred choice of technology for waste processing for MC Rohru.

The physical infrastructure components in the primary and secondary collection and transportation services include the procurement of tricycles, Household Bins, Community Bins, Tippers and garbage compactors for transportation of SW from various wards.

With the increase in population the waste generation would also increase which would in turn require larger number infrastructure components for collection and transport of the waste.

The existing dump material in the site are scattered indiscriminately. And to overcome this problem the construction of SWM for the proposed processing and land filling is essentially required and an area has been identified to store the waste already dumped and strewn around the site.

The manpower would be ensured for smooth functioning of the activities involved in waste collection, transportation, processing and land filling operations of designated Contractor Agencies as applicable on a day to day basis.

Living conditions of nearby settlements would be improved by setting up of this project facility and also facilitate prevention of water borne diseases to significant extent

Site clearing or operational activities would not impact the ecology of the area adversely, since there are no known rare, endangered or ecologically significant animal and plant species in the area.

#### **JUSTIFICATION FOR LOCATING THE PROJECT IN FOREST AREA**

It is certified that the **0.2520 hectares** of forest land proposed to be diverted is inescapable and other alternatives for the proposed project have been explored and the forest land proposed to be diverted is barest minimum. It is further certified that no suitable non-forest land is available for the diversion of proposed **C/O Solid Waste Management Plant at Rohru District Shimla H.P.**

During the course of finalization of project land to be diverted, three alternatives were examined and there is no scope of any land available in any alternative except forest land **0.2520 Hect.**

#### **Detail of Alternative Examined**

**Alternate-I:-** The patch of land selected for the proposed project as per requirement of the proposal. The land identified is 0.2520 Ha and no tree is involved in the project site. Moreover, the land identified is far away from habitation. Hence, **Alternate-I is selected.**

**Alternate-II:-** The patch of land involves more area i.e. 0.4055 Ha and needs approach access to the project site. Also, 8-12 number of trees are involved in the project site. Hence, **Alternate-II is rejected.**

**Alternate-III:-** The patch of land is sloppy and is not as per the requirement of the project, further 8 number of trees are involved in the project site. Due to sloppy site the land development is required which will involve more area and area of the patch is 0.7555 ha. Hence **Alternate-III is rejected.**

Sr. No.	Alternate Examined	Area in Ha.	No. of Trees involved	Remarks
1.	Alternate-I	0.2520	Nil	Selected
2.	Alternate-II	0.4055	12	Rejected
3.	Alternate-III	0.7555	8	Rejected

Date:-

Place:-

*Rohru, Distt. Shimla*

*[Signature]*

Divisional  
Rohru Forest Division Rohru

*[Signature]*  
Executive Officer  
Municipal Council Rohru



No:UD-H(F)(1)-1/2015(SWM Cluster)-II-  
Directorate of Urban Development,  
Himachal Pradesh.

147

**Dated: Shimla-2, the**

**January, 2024**

**From**

**Director,**  
Urban Development,  
Himachal Pradesh.

**To**

**Executive Officer,**  
Municipal Council Rohru.

**Subject:**


**Regarding authorization to upload FCA case of land for the construction of solid waste management plant of Municipal Council Rohru and administrative approval thereof.**

**Sir,**

This is with reference to your letter No. MCR/SWM/FCA/2024/156 dated 23.01.2024 on the subject cited above.

In this context, in principle administrative approval is hereby accorded for development of Solid Waste Management plant at Municipal Council Rohru and further Directorate of Urban Development hereby authorizes Sh. Sandeep Kumar, Executive Officer, Municipal Council Rohru to process the case of land transfer under the Forest Conservation Act 1980 for construction of Solid Waste Management Plant at Municipal Council Rohru, Distt. Shimla, Himachal Pradesh.

**Yours faithfully**

  
(Gopal Chand) IAS  
Director,  
Urban Development,  
HP, Shimla.

No. UD-H(C)(10)-1/2020-I-CFC Release-  
Directorate of Urban Development,  
Himachal Pradesh.

THROUGH E-MAIL

60623-85

Dated: Shimla-171002, the

2 February, 2023.

From:

Director,  
Urban Development,  
Himachal Pradesh.

To

1. Commissioner,  
Municipal Corporations- Shimla, Dharamshala, Solan, Mandi  
& Palampur in Himachal Pradesh.
2. Executive Officer/Secretary,  
All Municipal Councils/Nagar Panchayats in Himachal Pradesh.
3. Chief Executive Officer,  
Cantonment Boards- Khas Yol, Subathu, Dagshai, Kasauli,  
Jutogh, Dalhousie & Bakloh in H.P.

Subject: -

Release of 1<sup>st</sup> Installment of Tied Grant to ULBs and  
Cantonment Boards for the Financial Year FY 2022-23) under  
15<sup>th</sup> Finance Commission.

Sir/ Madam,

On the subject cited above, it is informed that 1<sup>st</sup> Installment of  
Tied Grant under 15<sup>th</sup> Finance Commission for the financial year 2022-23 to Urban  
Local Bodies (ULBs) and Cantonment Boards (CBs) has been credited in your Bank  
Account (online) through PFMS on dated 20.02.2023 as per detail list enclosed at  
Annexure "A".


This Tied Grant can be used on 50:50 basis for *creation of assets*  
under two parameters:-

1. Water Management (WM)

- (a) Rejuvenation of Water Bodies — जल निकायों का कायाकल्प
- (b) Drinking water → पीन जल
- (c) Rainwater harvesting
- (d) Water Recycling.

2. Solid Waste Management (SWM)

- (a) Sanitation
- (b) Solid Waste Management. —

  
Executive Officer,  
Municipal Council ROHRU  
Distt Shimla (H.P.)



Further, if any Urban local Body has fully saturated the needs of one category, it can utilise the funds for the other category.


You are therefore, requested to utilize the above Tied Grant for strictly as per recommendations of the 15<sup>th</sup> Finance Commission.

Since, further release of funds under 15<sup>th</sup> Finance Commission will be on the basis of full utilization of the previous grant, as such ULBs/CBs are requested that UCs of above grant may be submitted immediately after utilizing the funds, so that further claim in this regard could be made to the Government of India.

Please acknowledge the receipt.

Encl. As above.

Yours faithfully,


  
(Manmohan Sharma), IAS  
Director,  
Urban Development  
Himachal Pradesh.

End. No. As above

Dated:

Copy forwarded for necessary action to :-

1. Principal Secretary (UD) to the Govt. of H.P. Shimla-2 w.r.t. their sanction letter No. UD-C(10)-5/2022 dated 15.02.2023 for information, please.
2. Cashier, Directorate of Urban Development, H.P.

  
Director,  
Urban Development  
Himachal Pradesh.



Allocation of First Installment of Tied Grant amounting to Rs. 48,60,00,000/- for the FY 2022-23 under 15th Central Finance Commission (CFC) to be distributed amongst 68 ULBs/CBs in H.P.

(Figures in Rupees)

Sr. No.	Name of Urban Local Bodies	Population (2011)	Area	Allocation (90% population basis)	Allocation (10% Area basis)	Total (5+6)	Drinking Water, Rainwater Harvesting & Water Recycling	Sanitation and Solid Waste Management (SWM)	Amount of 1st Installment of Tied Grant for FY 2022-23
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

**Municipal Corporations**

Total allocation of Tied Grant (100% Population Basis) = Rs. 209293698/-

Allocation of Rs. 209293698/- into Population &amp; Area basis (90:10) (188364327 : 20929371)

1	Municipal Corporation, Shimla	169578	32.30	90668569	5130682	95799251	47899626	47899625	95799251
2	Municipal Corporation, Dharamshala	53543	27.60	28627930	4384112	33012042	16506021	16506021	33012042
3	Municipal Corporation, Solan	47418	11.62	25353065	1845775	27198840	13599420	13599420	27198840
4	Municipal Corporation, Mandi	41375	28.66	22122044	4552488	26674532	13337266	13337266	26674532
5	Municipal Corporation, Palampur	40385	31.58	21592719	5016314	26609033	13304517	13304516	26609033
	<b>Total M.Corp.</b>	<b>352299</b>	<b>131.76</b>	<b>188364327</b>	<b>20929371</b>	<b>209293698</b>	<b>104646850</b>	<b>104646848</b>	<b>209293698</b>


Sr. No.	Name of Urban Local Bodies	Population (2011)	Area	Allocation (90% population basis)	Allocation (10% Area basis)	Total (5+6)	Drinking Water, Rainwater Harvesting & Water Recycling	Sanitation and Solid Waste Management (SWM)	Amount of 1st Installment of Tied Grant for FY 2022-23
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

**Municipal Councils**

Total allocation of Tied Grant (100% Population Basis) = Rs. 203447960/-

Allocation of Rs. 203447960/- into Population &amp; Area basis (90:10) (183103159 : 20344801)

1	Municipal Council, Rampur	9239	2.08	4939832	278880	5218712	2609356	2609356	5218712
2	Municipal Council, Theog	4351	4.00	2326357	536307	2862664	1431332	1431332	2862664
3	Municipal Council, Rohru	6875	1.07	3675869	143462	3819331	1909666	1909665	3819331
4	Municipal Council, Nalagarh	10709	3.88	5725800	520218	6246018	3123009	3123009	6246018
5	Municipal Council, Parvanoo	8758	15.00	4682656	2011150	6693806	3346903	3346903	6693806
6	Municipal Council, Baddi	29911	6.99	15992565	937196	16929761	8464881	8464880	16929761
7	Municipal Council, Nahan	29014	8.78	15512966	1177194	16690160	8345080	8345080	16690160
8	Municipal Council, Paonta-Sahib	25183	6.18	13464639	828594	14293233	7146617	7146616	14293233
9	Municipal Council, Bilaspur	13654	10.62	7300408	1423895	8724303	4362152	4362151	8724303
10	Municipal Council, Nainadevi ji	1204	0.82	643745	109943	753688	376844	376844	753688
11	Municipal Council, Ghumarwin	7899	3.10	4223372	415638	4639010	2319505	2319505	4639010
12	Municipal Council, Una	18722	4.97	10010125	666361	10676486	5338243	5338243	10676486
13	Municipal Council, Santokgarh	9363	7.00	5006132	938537	5944669	2972335	2972334	5944669
14	Municipal Council, Mehatpur-Basdehra	9218	3.80	4928604	509492	5438096	2719048	2719048	5438096
15	Municipal Council, Hamirpur	17604	5.24	9412362	702562	10114924	5057462	5057462	10114924
16	Municipal Council, Sujanpur-Tihra	7943	3.03	4246898	406253	4653151	2326576	2326575	4653151
17	Municipal Council, Kangra	9528	3.12	5094353	418319	5512672	2756336	2756336	5512672
18	Municipal Council, Nurpur	9809	4.75	5244596	636864	5881460	2940730	2940730	5881460
19	Municipal Council, Nagrota-Bagwan	5900	3.54	3154563	474632	3629195	1814598	1814597	3629195
20	Municipal Council, Jawalamkuhi	5361	4.52	2866375	606027	3472402	1736201	1736201	3472402
21	Municipal Council, Dehra	4816	3.27	2574979	438431	3013410	1506705	1506705	3013410
22	Municipal Council, Chamba	19933	4.33	10637613	580552	11238165	5619083	5619082	11238165
23	Municipal Council, Dalhousie	7051	7.58	3769971	1016301	4786272	2393136	2393136	4786272
24	Municipal Council, Sundernagar	24344	11.46	13016050	1536519	14552569	7276285	7276284	14552569
25	Municipal Council, Sarkaghat	5573	2.95	2980795	395526	3376321	1688161	1688160	3376321
26	Municipal Council, Nerchowk	8528	5.73	4559681	768260	5327941	2663971	2663970	5327941
27	Municipal Council, Jogindernagar	5335	4.25	2852474	569826	3422300	1711150	1711150	3422300
28	Municipal Council, Kullu	18536	6.68	9910676	895632	10806308	5403154	5403154	10806308
29	Municipal Council, Manali	8096	3.00	4328703	402230	4730933	2365467	2365466	4730933
	<b>Total MCs</b>	<b>342459</b>	<b>151.74</b>	<b>183103159</b>	<b>20344801</b>	<b>203447960</b>	<b>101723986</b>	<b>101723974</b>	<b>203447960</b>

  
Executive Officer,  
Municipal Council ROHRU  
Distt. Shimla (H.P.)



THROUGH E-MAIL

No. UD-H(C)(10)-1/2020-I-CFC Release- 28040-28109  
Directorate of Urban Development,  
Himachal Pradesh.

Dated: Shimla-171002, the

From:

Director,  
Urban Development,  
Himachal Pradesh.

To

1. Commissioner,  
Municipal Corporations- Shimla, Dharamshala, Solan, Mandi &  
Palampur in Himachal Pradesh.
2. Executive Officer/Secretary,  
All Municipal Councils/Nagar Panchayats in Himachal Pradesh.
3. Chief Executive Officer,  
Cantonment Boards- Khas Yol, Subathu, Dagshai, Kasauli,  
Jutogh, Dalhousie & Bakloh in H.P.

Subject: - Release of <sup>7th</sup> 2<sup>nd</sup> Installment of Tied Grant to ULBs and  
Cantonment Boards for the year 2021-22 (drawn in FY 2022-23)  
under 15<sup>th</sup> Finance Commission.


Sir/Madam,

On the subject cited above, it is intimated that 2<sup>nd</sup> Installment of  
Tied Grant under 15<sup>th</sup> Finance Commission for the year 2021-22 (drawn in FY 2022-23)  
to ULBs and Cantonment Boards has been credited in your Bank Account (online)  
through PFMS on dated 27.08.2022 as per detail list enclosed at Annexure "A".

1. This Tied Grant can be used for two parameters (a) Drinking water  
(including rainwater harvesting and recycling) (b) Solid waste management  
& Sanitation consists of two equal parts each.
2. The ULBs/CBs shall earmark one half of the tied grants each to above  
mentioned two critical services and this amount will be in addition to the  
funds received from relevant CSS.
3. If any Urban local Body has fully saturated the needs of one category, it can  
utilise the funds for the other category.

You are therefore, requested to utilize the above Tied Grant for  
strictly as per recommendations of the 15<sup>th</sup> Finance Commission.

Contd. to Page No. 2

  
Executive Officer,  
Municipal Council ROHP  
M. C. Boards (B) P.Y.




Since, further release of funds under 15<sup>th</sup> Finance Commission will be on the basis of full utilization of the previous grant, as such ULBs/CBs are requested that UCs of above grant may be submitted immediately after utilizing the funds, so that further claim in this regard could be made to the Government of India.

**Please acknowledge the receipt.**

**Encl. As above.**

**Yours faithfully,**




**(Manmohan Sharma), IAS**  
Director,  
Urban Development  
Himachal Pradesh.

**End. No. As above**

**Dated:**

**Copy forwarded for necessary action to :-**

1. Principal Secretary (UD) to the Govt. of H.P. Shimla-2 w.r.t. their sanction letter No. UD-C(10)-5/2022 dated 22.08.2022 for information, please.
2. Cashier, Directorate of Urban Development, H.P.



**Director,**  
Urban Development  
Himachal Pradesh.



Allocation of 2nd Installment of Tied Grant amounting to Rs. 46,80,00,000/- for the FY 2021-22 (drawn in FY 2022-23) under 15th Central Finance Commission (CFC) to be distributed amongst 68 ULBs/CBs in H.P.

(Figures in Rupees)

Sr. No.	Name of Urban Local Bodies	Population (2011)	Area	Allocation (90% Population basis)	Allocation (10% Area basis)	Total (5+6)	Drinking Water, Rainwater Harvesting & Water Recycling	Sanitation and Solid Waste Management (SWM)	Amount of 2nd Installment of Tied Grant for FY 2021-22
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

**Municipal Corporations**

Total allocation of Tied Grant (100% Population Basis) = Rs. 201542079/-

Allocation of Rs. 201542079/- into Population &amp; Area basis (90:10) (181387871 : 20154208)

1	Municipal Corporation, Shimla	169578	32.30	87310473	4940656	92251129	46125565	46125564	92251129
2	Municipal Corporation, Dharamshala	53543	27.60	27567637	4221738	31789375	15894688	15894687	31789375
3	Municipal Corporation, Solan	47418	11.62	24414063	1777413	26191476	13095738	13095738	26191476
4	Municipal Corporation, Mandi	41375	28.66	21302709	4383877	25686586	12843293	12843293	25686586
5	Municipal Corporation, Palampur	40385	31.58	20792989	4830524	25623513	12811757	12811756	25623513
	<b>Total M.Corp.</b>	<b>352299</b>	<b>131.76</b>	<b>181387871</b>	<b>20154208</b>	<b>201542079</b>	<b>100771041</b>	<b>100771038</b>	<b>201542079</b>

Sr. No.	Name of Urban Local Bodies	Population (2011)	Area	Allocation (90% Population basis)	Allocation (10% Area basis)	Total (5+6)	Drinking Water, Rainwater Harvesting & Water Recycling	Sanitation and Solid Waste Management (SWM)	Amount of 2nd Installment of Tied Grant for FY 2021-22
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

**Municipal Councils**

Total allocation of Tied Grant (100% Population Basis) = Rs. 195912845/-

Allocation of Rs. 195912845/- into Population &amp; Area basis (90:10) (176321561 : 19591284)

1	Municipal Council, Rampur	9239	2.08	4756876	268551	5025427	2512714	2512713	5025427
2	Municipal Council, Theog	4351	4.00	2240196	516444	2756640	1378320	1378320	2756640
3	Municipal Council, Rohru	6875	1.07	3539725	138149	3677874	1838937	1838937	3677874
4	Municipal Council, Nalagarh	10709	3.88	5513733	500950	6014683	3007342	3007341	6014683
5	Municipal Council, Parwanoo	8758	15.00	4509224	1936663	6445887	3222944	3222943	6445887
6	Municipal Council, Baddi	29911	6.99	15400250	902485	16302735	8151368	8151367	16302735
7	Municipal Council, Nahan	29014	8.78	14938412	1133594	16072006	8036003	8036003	16072006
8	Municipal Council, Paonta-Sahib	25183	6.18	12965949	797905	13763854	6881927	6881927	13763854
9	Municipal Council, Bilaspur	13654	10.62	7030023	1371158	8401181	4208591	4208590	8401181
10	Municipal Council, Nainadevi ji	1204	0.82	619902	105871	725773	362887	362886	725773
11	Municipal Council, Ghumarwin	7899	3.10	4066951	400244	4467195	2233598	2233597	4467195
12	Municipal Council, Una	18722	4.97	9639380	641681	10281061	5140531	5140530	10281061
13	Municipal Council, Santokgarh	9363	7.00	4820719	903776	5724495	2862248	2862247	5724495
14	Municipal Council, Mehatpur-Basdehra	9218	3.80	4746063	490621	5236684	2618342	2618342	5236684
15	Municipal Council, Hamirpur	17604	5.24	9063756	676541	9740297	4870149	4870148	9740297
16	Municipal Council, Sujampur-Tihra	7943	3.03	4089605	391206	4480811	2240406	2240405	4480811
17	Municipal Council, Kangra	9528	3.12	4905673	402826	5308499	2654250	2654249	5308499
18	Municipal Council, Nurpur	9809	4.75	5050351	613277	5663628	2831814	2831814	5663628
19	Municipal Council, Nagrota-Bagwan	5900	3.54	3037728	457050	3494778	1747389	1747389	3494778
20	Municipal Council, Jawalamkuhi	5361	4.52	2760213	583581	3343794	1671897	1671897	3343794
21	Municipal Council, Dehra	4816	3.27	2479610	422193	2901803	1450902	1450901	2901803
22	Municipal Council, Chamba	19933	4.33	10262886	559050	10821936	5410968	5410968	10821936
23	Municipal Council, Dalhousie	7051	7.58	3630342	978660	4609002	2304501	2304501	4609002
24	Municipal Council, Sundernagar	24344	11.46	12533974	1479611	14013585	7006793	7006792	14013585
25	Municipal Council, Sarkaghat	5575	2.95	2870395	380877	3251272	1625636	1625636	3251272
26	Municipal Council, Nerchowk	8528	5.73	4390804	739805	5130609	2565305	2565304	5130609
27	Municipal Council, Joginder Nagar	5335	4.25	2746827	548721	3295548	1647774	1647774	3295548
28	Municipal Council, Kullu	18536	6.68	9543614	862461	10406075	5203038	5203037	10406075
29	Municipal Council, Manali	8096	3.00	4168380	387333	4555713	2277857	2277856	4555713
	<b>Total MCs</b>	<b>342459</b>	<b>151.74</b>	<b>176321561</b>	<b>19591284</b>	<b>195912845</b>	<b>97956431</b>	<b>97956414</b>	<b>195912845</b>

Executive Officer,  
Municipal Council ROHRU  
Distt. Shimla (H.P.)