



Sr.no.	Shortcomings stated by Regional Office MOEF Lucknow	Rectification/Action required
1	As per GIS DSS analysis of proposed forest land diversion shows discrepancy in extent of area of proposed forest land diversion. The difference is of 0.2187 ha less area has been proposed for diversion.	KML file with correctness in area has been attached in CD
2	Kml files of alternate examined to arrive at the minimum forest area for proposed alignment needs submission. (Part I at D).	KML File for alternate alignments attached in CD.
3	The information at E in part I regarding permanent employment to be generated is mentioned as 2, 63,658 which appears to be erroneous.	Permanent Employment generated is 196 (UPEIDA Staff)
4	Environmental clearance of the proposal is based on 35ha of proposed forest land whereas the proposal is of 17.5714 ha, whether competent authority providing environmental clearance has been updated or not.	Letter no.3681/Env/UPEIDA/17 dated 2nd February issued by UPEIDA to SEAC is attached.
5	Certificates issued by DMs under FRA 2005 of all 6 districts have not mentioned about rights of pre agriculture forest dwelling communities.	Lucknow revised FRA obtained. Barabanki revised FRA obtained. Sultanpur revised FRA obtained. Azamgarh revised FRA obtained. Mau revised FRA obtained. Ghazipur revised FRA obtained.
6	Area calculation sheet provided with the proposal has not clarified basis of extent of forest area calculation. The sheet mentions length and width of forest land required at a proposed chainage for irregular shapes which is incorrect. Area calculation sheet requires revision.	Area calculation sheet revised and attached.
7	As per guidelines of FCA 1980 (para 3.2 of FCA handbook) user agency shall compensate loss of forest due to diversion of forest patches (irrespective of legal status i.e Reserve Forest or Protected Forest) through equivalent non forest land within state of Uttar Pradesh along with levies required for afforestation on it rather proposing afforestation on double degraded forest land.	The details of Non Forest Land for Compensatory Afforestation (CA) in lieu of the forest area is as follows: 1) Reserve Forest-9.1549 Ha-Non Forest Land . District Sultanpur,village Fatehpur-7.2754 Ha. District Azamgarh,Village Sehda-2.129 Ha 2)Protected Forest-8.4165 Ha Non Forest Land. District Mirzapur,Tehsil Lalganj,village Matwar-10.092 Ha. An undertaking from CEO,UPEIDA that the Non Forest land in lieu of the Forest Land being taken will be made available to Forest department before the construction of Road is being annexed herewith.
8	Site inspection report of DFO, Lucknow and CCF, Varanasi does not	Revised Site inspection report of DFO Lucknow and CCF Varanasi is attached.


 (विश्वनाथ राय)
 उप मुख्य कार्यपालक अधिकारी
 उत्तर प्रदेश एक्सप्रेसवेज औद्योगिक
 विकास प्राधिकरण (यूपीएड)

	clarify legal status of proposed forest land inspected.	
9	Part V of the proposal has not been uploaded.	Part V is the recommendation from State Secretary, Forest Department, Govt. of Uttar Pradesh, is in place, but yet to be uploaded on the website of MoEF by State Secretary, Forest Department
10	The Proposal requires undertaking from user agency that non forest land in lieu of diversion of reserve forest patches proposed shall be made available to state forest department without any encumbrances.	An undertaking from CEO,UPEIDA that the Non Forest land in lieu of the Forest Land being taken will be made available to Forest department before the construction of Road is being annexed herewith.
11	A brief description of the project duly provided for social, economic and other benefits of the project	Complied and Attached


 (विश्वजीत राय)
 उप मुख्य कार्यपालक अधिकारी
 उत्तर प्रदेश एक्सप्रेसवेज और
 विकास प्राधिकरण (यूपी)