SITE INSPECTION REPORT

01. Legal status of forest land Proposed for diversion

Reserved Forest Mesaki RF area in Saikhowa Range under Doomdooma

Forest Division.

Total area 3.545 hect.

Item wise breakup details of the 02. Forest land proposed for diversion

Plinth area: 2.341 Hect. i. : 0.17 hect. ii. Flare : 0.03 hect. iii. Boiler App. Road : 1.004 hect. iv. : 3.545 hect. Total

Item wise proposed involves any 03. Construction of building (including Residential) or not. If yes, details Thereof enclosed

Does not arise.

Drawing No. OIL/9224.

Total cost of the project at present 04. Refers

Rs.28.00 (twenty eight) crores.

approx.

05. Wild Life Whether forest area proposed for Diversion is important from wild life Point of view or not

Mesaki RF does not falls under any National park or sanctuaries. The effect of diversion of 3.545 hects of land out of total area of the 1366.80 hects. will be not much significant provided the OIL adopt sufficient safety measures.

06. Vegetation Total number of trees to be felled

Trees = 70 nos.Poles = 117 no.

Effect of removal of trees on the general Eco-system in the area.

There will be little bit effect on general eco- system but later on that may be adjusted as because the area involved is very small and within the Reserve Forest area. Moreover, the compensatory afforestation will be taken up.

Important species

Simul, Paroli, Kecheru, Bowal, Poma, Outenga, Dimoru, Amora, Urium, Khokon, Bhelkor,

Number of trees to be felled of girth below 60 cm: 117 nos.

Number of trees to be felled of girth above 60 cm: 70 nos.

07. Background note on the proposal : Does not arise.

O8. Compensatory afforestation Whether land for compensatory afforestation is suitable for plantation and management point of view or not:

As the compensatory afforestation scheme will be implemented on degraded forest land of Mesaki RF area under comp No.1 so, it will be suitable for plantation and management point of view.

Whether land for compensatory afforestation is free from encroachment /other incumbencies :

Yes.

Whether land for compensatory afforestation is important from religious/ archaeological point of view.

No.

Land identified for raising compensatory afforestation is in how many patches, Whether patches are compact or not.:

It is single and compact patch.

Map with details

Enclosed.

Total financial outlay:

a. Net present value (NPV)

Rs. 33,28,755.00

b. Cost of compensatory afforestation:

Total

Rs. 58,26,271.00 Rs. 2,03,436.00

c. Timber operation cost

Rs. 93,58,462.00

09. Whether proposal involves violation Forest Conservation Act. 1980 or not If yes, a detailed report on violation including action taken against the Concerned officer

No.

10. Whether proposal involved rehabil--itation of disposed persons, if yes, Whether rehabilitation plan has been Proposed by the state Govt. or not:

None will be displaced.

Details be furnished specifically if rehabilitation plan would affect any other forest area by transecting outrees in ground the said forest.

N/A

11. Reclamation plant

N/A.

Details financial allocation

N/A.

12. Details on catchment and command area under the project. Catchment area treatment plant to prevent Situation of reserve

N/A.

Cost benefits analysis

Oil India Ltd. is a Public Sector undertaking devoted to exploration and production of Oil and Gas. Production of Oil is immense importance to the Government from both Economic and Defence angles production of oil benefits the State Government as well as the Central Govt. as it receives substantial royalty. Local inhabitants benefit greatly from the growth of oil production.Communication facilities are developed with the growth of Oil Industry, local youth will get jobs in OIL. O. I. Ltd. gives top priority for control pollution environmental measures and adopts all possible steps to minimise pollution.

- Recommendation of Principal Chief Conservator of Forests / State Govt. :
- Recommendation of Regional Chief Conservator of Forests along with Details reasons
- 16. Regional Chief Conservator of Forests
 Shall give detailed comments on
 Whether there are any alternative routes
 /alignment for locating the project on
 the non-forest land :

17. Utility of the project.

Number scheduled caste/scheduled tribes to be benefited by the project

Exact number of beneficiaries cannot be ascertained at present.

18. a. Whether land being diverted has any socio-cultured/religious value :

No.

b. Whether any sacred grove of very old growth trees/forests exist in the area proposed for diversion.

No.

c. Whether the land under diversion from part of any unique eco-system:

No.

19. Situation w.e.f. any P.A.

Nil.

 Any other information relating to the Project

Not specific.

Divisional Forest Officer, Doom Dooma Division, Doomdooma.