

Part-II

(To be filled by the concerned Deputy Conservator of Forest/Divisional Forest Officer)

State Serial No. of Proposal FP/MH/ROAD/148050/2021

| | Location of Project/ Scheme | |
|--------|--|---|
| (i) | State/ Union territory | Maharashtra. |
| (ii) | District | Thane |
| (iii) | Forest Division | Mumbai Mangroves Conservation Unit |
| (iv) | Area of forest land proposed for diversion (in ha.) | 12.2607 Ha |
| (v) | Legal status of forest | As per area statement attached on Page No. 81-85 |
| (vi) | Density of vegetation | Density- 0.1 to 0.4 below Eco-value Class II |
| (vii) | Species-wise [Scientific names] and diameter class-wise enumeration of trees [to be enclosed. In case of Irrigation / hydro projects enumeration at FRL, FRL-2 meter & FEL-4 meter also to be enclosed.] | Attached on Page No. 90-128 |
| (viii) | Brief note on vulnerability of the forest area to erosion | The area is not vulnerable and there is no possibility erosion. |
| (ix) | Approximate distance of proposed site for diversion from boundary of forest | Within the Mangrove Forest |
| (x) | Whether forms part of National Park, Wildlife Sanctuary, Biosphere Reserve, Tiger Reserve, Elephant Corridor, etc. [If so, the details of the chief wildlife warden to be annexed.] | No Distance from Thane Creek Flamingo Sanctuary is 6.0 km and Sanjay Gandhi National Park is 0.49 Km |
| (xi) | Whether any rare/ Endangered species of Flora and Fauna found in the area. [If so, details thereof. | No. |
| (xii) | Whether any protected archeological/ heritage site/ defense establishment or any other important monument is located in the area. If so, the details thereof with NOC from competent authority, if required. | No. |

| | | |
|-------|--|--|
| 8. | Whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the user agency in col. 2 of Part-I is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project. If no, recommended area with item wise break-up and details of alternatives examined. | Yes. The land affected by mangrove is unavoidable and barest minimum required for this project. |
| 9. | Whether any work in violation of the Act has been carried out (Yes/No). If yes, details of the same including period of work had done, action taken on erring officials. Whether work in violation is still in progress. | No |
| 10. | Details of compensatory Afforestation scheme: | |
| (i) | Details of Non-forest area/ degraded forest area identified for compensatory Afforestation, its distance from adjoining forest number of patches, size of each patch. | Attached on Pg. No. 47-56 |
| (ii) | Map showing non-forest / degraded forest area identified for compensatory Afforestation and adjoining forest boundaries. | Attached on Pg. No. 32, 63 |
| (iii) | Detailed compensatory Afforestation scheme including species to be planned, implementing agency, time schedule, cost structure, etc. | Attached on Pg. No. 58-62 |
| (iv) | Total financial outlay for compensatory Afforestation scheme. | Attached on Pg. No. 58-63 |
| (v) | Certificates from competent authority regarding suitability of area identified for compensatory Afforestation and from management of points of view. (To be signed by the concerned Deputy Conservator of Forest) | Attached on Pg. No. 49, 56 |
| 11. | Site inspection report of the DFO (to be enclosed) specially highlighting facts asked in col.7 (Xi, Xii), 8 and 9 above. | Attached on Pg. No. 77-80 |
| 12. | Division /District Profile : | |
| (i) | Geographical area of the district | 4214 Sq. Km |

| | | |
|-------|--|---|
| (ii) | Forest area of the district | 3450.1852 Ha |
| (iii) | Total forest area diverted since 1980 with number of cases. | 8.2453 ha with 13 cases |
| (iv) | Total compensatory Afforestation stipulated in the 1980 on (a) forest land including penal compensatory Afforestation (b) non-forest land. | a) 7 ha b) Nil ha. |
| (v) | Progress of compensatory afforestation as on (date) -31.03.2022 on Progress of compensatory afforestation as on (date) -31.03.2022 on (a) forest land (b) Non-forest land. | a) 7 ha. b) Nil ha. |
| 13. | Specific recommendations of the DCF for acceptance or otherwise of the proposal with reasons. | The project is recommended as it is a public utility project which will help in reducing traffic congestion and help in people's transport. |

Date: 22/01/2023

Place: Mumbai



MA
(Adarsh Reddy)

Divisional Forest Officer
Mumbai Mangrove Conservation Unit