


(b)	non-forest land	Nil.
13.	Specific recommendations of the DCF for acceptance or otherwise of the proposal with reasons.	The proposal of project is a run of the river scheme using barest minimum of forestland and having detailed Environment Impact Assessment following it with Environment Management Plan; hence disturbance to ecology and environment would be least and would be duly mitigated. Therefore the proposal is recommended for approval.

Date:- 18.02.2020

Place:- Reckong Poo

Signature 

Name Dr. Chhuan Lal  
 Divisional Forest Office  
 Official Seal of Forest Division  
 At Reckong Poo



iii.	Detailed compensatory afforestation scheme including species to be planted, implementing agency, time schedule, cost structure, etc.	Copy attached.
iv.	Total financial outlay for compensatory afforestation scheme.	Copy attached.
v.	Certificates from competent authority regarding suitability of area identified for compensatory afforestation and from management point of view. (To be signed by the concerned Deputy Conservator of Forests).	Copy attached.
11.	Site inspection report of the DCF (to be enclosed) especially highlighting facts asked in col. 7 (xi, xii), 8 and 9 above.	Copy attached.
12.	Division/District profile:	
i.	Geographical area of the district.	6 28742 hectare.
ii.	Forest area of the district.	36904 hectare.
iii.	Total forest area diverted since 1980 with number of cases.	1034.1728hec. and no. of cases are 77.
iv.	Total compensatory afforestation stipulated in the district/division since 1980 onward	1390.0616 hectare.
(a)	forest land including penal compensatory afforestation,	1390.0616 hectare
(b)	Non-forest land.	Nil
v.	Progress of compensatory afforestation as on (date) on	1097 hectare.
(a)	Forest land	1097 hectare.



	annexed).	
xi.	Whether any rare/endangered/unique species of flora and fauna found in the area- if so details thereof.	No, there is no any flora and fauna of rare/endangered/unique species reported from the area. However, the Project Authority would get a detailed study under Environment Impact Assessment carried out and if any such species is found, the same would be duly protected by taking sufficient measures in the Environment Management Plan.
xii.	Whether any protected archaeological/heritage site/defence establishment or any other important monument is located in the area. If so, the details thereof with NOC from competent authority, if required.	No, there is no protected area archeological site or any other important monument is located in the area. However, one platoon of ordinance wing of defence establishment exists adjacent to the proposed project area, which is being shifted by the defence authorities (probably to upstream location at Lippa – about 35-40 kms) under some international convention to locate them sufficiently away from the borders.
8.	Whether the requirement of forestland as proposed by the user agency in col. 2 of Part-I is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project. If no, recommended area item-wise with details of alternatives examined.	Yes, involvement of forestland is unavoidable and diversion of barest minimum of forestland to non-forest use has been proposed.
9.	Whether any work in violation of the Act has been carried out (Yes/No). If yes, details of the same including period of work done, action taken on erring officials. Whether work in violation is still in progress.	No.
10.	Details of compensatory afforestation scheme:	Copy attached.
i.	Details of non forest area/degraded forest area identified for compensatory afforestation, its distance from adjoining forest, number of patches, size of each patch.	No.
ii.	Map showing non-forest/degraded forest area identified for compensatory afforestation and adjoining forest boundaries.	Copy attached.



State serial No. of proposal \_\_\_\_\_

(To be filled up by the Nodal Officer with date of receipt)

## PART-II

(To be filled by the concerned Deputy Conservator of Forests)

State serial No. of proposal \_\_\_\_\_

7.	Location of the project/scheme:	
i.	State/union Territory	Himachal Pradesh.
ii.	District	Kinnaur.
iii.	Forest Division	Kinnaur Forest Division at Reckong-Peo.
iv.	Area of forestland proposed for diversion (in ha)	7.3722 hectare.
v.	Legal status of forest	UPF
vi.	Density of vegetation	2.79 or (say 3) based on total number of trees and total forest area calculated as per guidelines issued by PCCF HP for NPV.
vii.	Species-wise (scientific names) and diameter class-wise enumeration of trees (to be enclosed. In case of irrigation/hydel projects enumeration at FRL, FRL-2 meter & FRL-4 meter also to be enclosed.)	List of enumeration of trees and abstract of trees are enclosed.
viii.	Brief note on vulnerability of the forest area to erosion	<p>The area where the project is located is covered with hard and competent rock formations, which are not susceptible to erosion. The possibility of erosion of all lands including forestland due to construction of the proposed project has been reduced to the barest minimum by locating all components on the hard rocky strata. Adequate and effective measures would be taken to retain the dumped muck in the muck disposal areas by way of a combination of engineering and biological measures as also in road construction.</p> <p>Thus, the project would not cause any significant possibility of erosion.</p>
ix.	Approximate distance of proposed site for diversion from boundary of forest.	Barrage site and Powerhouse site of the proposed project is located partly within the forest area.
x.	Whether forms part of National Park, wildlife sanctuary, biosphere reserve, tiger reserve, elephant corridor, etc. (If so, the details of the area and comments of the Chief Wildlife Warden to be	It is certified that the project does not involve land from National Park, Wildlife Sanctuary, Biosphere Reserve or similar protected area.