

## PART - III

## (To be filled by the concerned Conservator of Forests)

Sr. No.	Description	Comments
14.	Whether site, where the forest land involved is located has been inspected by concerned Conservator of Forests agree (Yes/No) If yes, the date of inspection & observations made in form of inspection note to be enclosed.	Yes
15.	Whether the concerned Conservator of Forests agree with the information given in Part – B and the recommendations of Deputy Conservator of Forests.	
16.	Specific recommendation of concerned Conservator of Forests for acceptance or otherwise of the proposal with detailed reasons.	

OFFICIAL SEAL

Dates 08/11/2023. Placer Bharna.

DR. K. SASIKUMAR Conservator of Forests Social Forestry Circle, Bharuch.

## **Annexure-17**

## SITE INSPECTION REPORT

ub:	Project of Widening and Strengthening of Tithal-Valsad-Dharmpur Road K.M. 0/0 to 31/4 (W/S 8/0 to 31/4) Ta.Dist. Valsad. (Protected Area: 40.365 Ha.)			
<u>Sr</u>	Particular_	Inspection Report by DFO (T) 40.365		
1	Extent in hectares			
2	Location ( Let-Long) of the forest land proposed for	N 20°35'26.40"	E 72°57'5.73"	
	Diversion	N 20°32'27.99"	E 73°09'51.68"	
	Legal status of the forest land ( protected forest, Reserved forest, Revenue forest land or any other forest land)	Protected Forest		
	Demarcation of the area with temporary cairns etc.	Not Applicable		
5	Any signs of encroachment	Nil		
6	Any activity already taken up within the forest land or adjoining on forest land as part of the proposed project by the user agency. Details of action taken against the user agency in case of violation of the FC Act and guidelines there under.	No Work has been done yet, hence no violation of Forest Conversation Act. 1980 has been done by user agency.		
7	Status of vegetation, site, quality, species composition etc.	Attached herewith in Annexure-16		
8	Importance of area from wildlife point of view, status of wildlife (density and abundance of important species, bird life reptiles, butterflies and other scheduled animals any endangered wildlife). Any latest census of wildlife in this area.	No Studies were conducted regarding density, abundance of Wildlife.		
9	Endemism of flora / fauna or any other unique ecosystem in the area.	No Studies were conducted regarding endemism of flora/fauna in the area.		
10	Current land use. Is this area managed as per prescriptions in the working plan and if not, why	Yes Land is used in Road side Plantation		
11	Importance of the area from historical or religious point of view.	No Historical place in this area		
12	Any department of persons proposed	Not Applicable		
13	Any displacement of person proposed	Not Applicable		
14	Is there any Rehabilitation and Resettlement plan for the person to be affected? Is there any dissenting	Not Applicable		
15	Compensatory afforestation proposed Is on forest land or non – forest land. Location of this area, suitability of t			

9		
	area for CA. If in the degraded forest land then what is the current working plan prescription for the area? Distance of the non – forest land for CA from the nearest forest area. Number of patches in case the area should be more than 10 Kms.	
16	Proposal area should not be part of any Protected area.  Also distance from the boundary of the nearest protected area should be more than 10 Kms.	Proposal area is not part of any Protected area. The Distance from the boundary of the nearest protected area is more than 10 kms.
17	Dependence of tribal in the area, Whether the rights of the tribal have been recognized in this area.	Not Applicable
18	Utility of the project, including the people living in closed vicinity of the project.	The Project will ensure providing journey Speed and riding quality to rural population & small development in Valsad District.
19	In case of renewal whether all the conditions stipulated N/A in the earlier sanction order have been complied with.	Not Applicable
)	Alternatives examined by the user agency in case of non – site specific projects.	Alternate routes may not be applicable in this case.
21	A certificate by the user agency that the forest land requested for diversion for non – forestry purpose is bare minimum.	Yes, Attached herewith in Annexure-10.
22	Any scope of saving tree growth while ensuring that the purpose for which the forest land is being diverted is also not adversely affected.	Since the project is of road widening thus felling of 3369 trees is required.
23	Any other issued of significance	Not Applicable
24	Specific recommendation of the DFO with reason for approval of the project.	40.365 Hectare area is recommended to divert this land for the purpose.

Date : 08) 11) 2023,

Place : Bharuch

(Dr. K. Sasikumar)

Conservator of Forest Social Forestry Circle, Bharuch