

Site Inspection Report

(Request for permission for Rehabilitation of Forest Village Malani (Part-II)

in North Betul Division)

S.No.	Particular	Inspection Report By CCF
1	Extent is hectares.	285.700
2	Location (lat-long) of the forest land proposed for diversion.	N-22° 21' 00" E-77° 50' 00"
3	Legal status of the forest land (Protected forest, reserved forests, revenue forest lands or any other forest land)	Reserve Forest
4	Demarcation of the area with temporary cairns etc.	No
5	Any signs of encroachment.	No
6	Any activity already taken up within the forest land or adjoining non-forest land as part of the proposed project by the user agency. Details of action taken against the User Agency in case of violation of the FC Act and guidelines there under.	No
7	Status of vegetation. Site quality, species composition etc.	Site quality III & IV a , Miscellaneous species.
8	Importance of area from wildlife point of view. Status of wildlife (density and abundance of important species, bird life reptiles, butterflies and other scheduled animals, any endangered wildlife) Any latest census of wildlife in this area.	No
9	Endemism of flora / fauna or any other unique ecosystem in the area.	No, Only common flora & fauna is found.
10	Current land use. Is this area managed as per prescriptions in the Working Plan and, if not, why ?	Yes
11	Importance of the area from historical or religious point of view.	No
12	Any dependent person / families on this land.	No
13	Any displacement of person proposed.	No
14	Is there any Rehabilitation and Resettlement Plan for the persons to be affected? Is there any dissenting voice among the persons proposed to be displaced ?	No

15	Compensatory afforestation proposed is on forest land or non-forest land. Location of this area, suitability of the area for CA. If in the degraded forest land then what is the current Working Plan prescription for the area? Distance of the non-forest land for CA from the nearest forest area. Number of patches in case the area should be more than 10 kms.	Compensatory afforestation scheme is not applicable in this project.
16	Proposed area should not be part of any protected area. Also distance from the boundary of the nearest protected area should be more than 10 kms.	Nil
17	Dependence of tribal's in the area. Whether the rights of the tribal's have been recognized in this area.	Not Dependant.
18	Utility of the project, including the people living in close vicinity of the project.	Yes
19	In case of renewal whether all the conditions stipulated in the earlier sanction order have been complied with.	New project
20	Alternatives examined by the user agency in case of non-site specific projects.	Yes
21	A certificate by the user agency that the forest land requested for diversion for non-forestry purpose is bare minimum.	Yes
22	Any scope of saving tree growth while ensuring that the purpose for which the forest land is being diverted is also not adversely affected.	No
23	Any other issue of significance.	No

24	Specific recommendations of the CCF with reasons for approval of the project.	The relocation and resettlement on this land will make available 87.170 hac. of desirable meadow in the Satpura Tiger Reserve making it a compact patch for wide range of wildlife from small insects to large herbivores and simultaneously will form prey-base for the carnivores. This relocation will help in reducing the anthropogenic pressure on the tiger reserve , all well as minimize the possiblilty of any future human-animal conflict. The proposed area has Saj , Bhiriya and other miscellenous species. and is found suitable for the purpose of the project.
----	---	--

Rakesh Kumar Yadav (IFS)

Chief Conservator of Forests

Betul Circle, Betul (M.P.)

Place: Betul

Date: 18-12-2016

Chief Conservator of Forest
Betul Circle Betul (M.P.)