


## Site inspection Report by the DFO

SL No.	Particular	Inspection Report By CCF/DFO (T)																														
1.	Extent in hectares	150.098 hac																														
2.	Location (lat-long) of the forest land proposed for diversion.	<div>(1) Location (lat-long) Cmp.No. RF- 710, PF 730 A , PF 730 B</div> <table><tr><th>SN</th><th>LATITUDE</th><th>LONGITUDE</th></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>22°13'45.282"</td><td>78° 52'18.407"</td></tr><tr><td>2</td><td>22°13'45.898"</td><td>78° 52'39.637"</td></tr><tr><td>3</td><td>22°13'16.124"</td><td>78° 52'40.442"</td></tr><tr><td>4</td><td>22°12'41.965."</td><td>78° 52'40.725"</td></tr><tr><td>5</td><td>22°12'26.570"</td><td>78° 51'31.689"</td></tr><tr><td>6</td><td>22°13'0.971"</td><td>78° 51'18.308"</td></tr><tr><td>7</td><td>22°13'14.578"</td><td>78° 51'49.377"</td></tr><tr><td>8</td><td>22°13'38.884"</td><td>78° 52'13.936"</td></tr></table>				SN	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	1	22°13'45.282"	78° 52'18.407"	2	22°13'45.898"	78° 52'39.637"	3	22°13'16.124"	78° 52'40.442"	4	22°12'41.965."	78° 52'40.725"	5	22°12'26.570"	78° 51'31.689"	6	22°13'0.971"	78° 51'18.308"	7	22°13'14.578"	78° 51'49.377"	8	22°13'38.884"	78° 52'13.936"
SN	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE																														
1	22°13'45.282"	78° 52'18.407"																														
2	22°13'45.898"	78° 52'39.637"																														
3	22°13'16.124"	78° 52'40.442"																														
4	22°12'41.965."	78° 52'40.725"																														
5	22°12'26.570"	78° 51'31.689"																														
6	22°13'0.971"	78° 51'18.308"																														
7	22°13'14.578"	78° 51'49.377"																														
8	22°13'38.884"	78° 52'13.936"																														
3.	Legal status of the forest land (Protected forest , reserved forests, revenue forest lands or any other forest land)	Reserved Forest 32.475 hac, Protected Forest 29.181 hac, Revenue Forest 88.442 hac.																														
4.	Demarcation of the area with temporary cairns etc.	Yes																														
5.	Any signs of encroachment.	No																														
6.	Any activity already taken up within the forest land or adjoining non-forest land as part of the proposed Project by the user agency.Details of action taken against the User Agency in case of violation of the FC Act and guidelines there under.	No																														
7.	Status of vegetation.Site quality,species composition etc.	<div>Density of vegetation</div> <table><tr><th>S.No</th><th>Area</th><th>Density</th><th>Eco-Class</th></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>150.098</td><td>0.6</td><td>Eco3</td></tr></table>				S.No	Area	Density	Eco-Class	1	150.098	0.6	Eco3																			
S.No	Area	Density	Eco-Class																													
1	150.098	0.6	Eco3																													
8.	Importance of area from wildlife point of view. Status of wildlife (density and abundance of important species , bird life reptiles,butterflies and other scheduled animals,any endangered wildlife). Any latest census of wildlife in this area.	Some Wild Life like Wild Bore , Wild Cat , Monkey.																														
9.	Endemism of flora/fauna or any other unique ecosystem in the area.	No																														
10.	Current land use. In this area managed as per prescriptions in the Working Plan and , if not , why ?	Yes																														
11.	Importance of the area from historical or religious point of view.	No																														
12.	Any dependent persons/families on this land.	No																														
13.	Any displacement of persons proposed.	No																														
14.	Is there any Rehabilitation and Resettlement Plan for the person to be affected ? Is there any dissenting voice among the person proposed to be displaced ?	Not required																														
15.	<div>• Compensatory afforestation proposed is on forest land or non-forest land. Location of this area , suitability of the area for CA, if in the degraded forest land then what is the current Working Plan prescription for the area ?</div> <div>• Distance of the non-forest land for CA from the nearest forest area.</div> <div>• Number of patches in case the area should be more than to kms.</div>	<div>Compensatory afforestation proposed on only 4 ha of land because the total Area 150.098 hac. proposed for diversion Only 3.691 ha (chote bade Jhad ka Jungle) will be used at surface level. Rest of the land will be used for underground mining &amp; hence attract no CA land.</div> <div>Proposed site of 4 ha CA Land: District Rewa , Forest Range Atraila , Village Koni. Suitability certificate is attached for CA. Proposed Area 4.00 hac.</div> <div>• 0.00 km</div> <div>• One Patch</div>																														
16.	Proposed area should not be part of any protected area. Also	Distance Between protected area and proposed																														

	distance from the boundary of the nearest protected area should be more than 10 kms.	area are following 1. Pench Tiger Reserve - 40 km 2. Satpura Tiger Reserve - 55 km 3. Proposed Satpura-Pench Corridore distance - 20 km
17.	Dependence of tribal's in the area. Whether the rights of the tribal's have been recognized in this area.	Only 3.691 hac (chote bade Jhad ka Jungle) of land will be used at surface level. Rest of the land will be used for underground mining & hence attract no CA land.
18.	Utility of the project, including the people living in close vicinity of the project.	For Underground Coal Mining.
19.	In case of renewal whether all the conditions stipulated in the earlier sanction order have been complied with.	It is Fresh Proposal
20.	Alternatives examined by the user agency in case of non-site specific projects.	No
21.	A certificate by the user agency that the forest land requested for diversion for non-forestry	Yes
22.	Any scope of saving tree growth while ensuring that the purpose for which the forest land is being diverted is also not adversely affected.	-
23.	Any other issue of significance.	No
24.	Specific recommendations of the DFO with reasons for approval of the project.	The diversion of the land for non-forestry purpose is recommended because it is a proposal for underground mining of coal in forest land and will not affect the standing trees or the surface land.

  
**Ishwar Jarande**  
(IFS)

Divisional Forest Officer  
Place : Chhindwara  
Date : 08-09-2021