


OFFICE OF THE CHIEF CONSERVATOR OF FORESTS, BHARATPUR

SITE INSPECTION REPORT OF CCF BHARATPUR

(For the Forest land to be diverted under FCA, 1980)

| | | | | | |
|-------|---|--|-------------|-------------|---------------|
| S.No. | Proposal Name | Diversion of 248.5515 Hac. Forest Land of Block A and B Paharpur Tehsil -Roopwas, Dist. Bharatpur. | | | |
| 1. | Proposal No | FP/RJ/MIN/149012/2021 | | | |
| 2. | Name of the District/Division: | Bharatpur | | | |
| 3. | Date of Inspection | 16/02/2022 | | | |
| 4. | Name & designation of the Inspection Officer: | Tikam Chand Verma, Chief Conservator of Forests, Bharatpur. | | | |
| 5. | Name & designation of the other Officer who attended the inspection including representatives of the user agency: | Abhimanyu Saharan (Deputy Conservator of Forests, Bharatpur) Rupendra sharma (Range Officer, Bayana territorial), Jitendra kumar jat (Range Officer, Bandh Baretha) | | | |
| 6. | Legal status of forest land and Forest Type of applied area: | Protected Forest. Tropical dry deciduous forests (Eco-class III), open forests. Mostly rocky (Daang), degraded forest, old mines spread all over and extensively eroded. | | | |
| 7. | Item-wise break-up details of the forest land proposed for diversion: | Component wise area calculation sheet | | | |
| | S.No. | Component | Forest Land | | |
| | | Two forest blocks | Mining Area | Safety Zone | Approach Road |
| | 1. | Block A | 108.055 ha | 247.4834 ha | 1.0681 ha |
| | | Block B | 140.4965 ha | | - |
| 8. | Background note on the proposal: | This Proposal is in continuity with the earlier proposal FP/RJ/MIN/125714/2021 which required diversion of 398.0085 hac. of forest land out of total 646.56 hac. and in-principle sanction has already been accrued to that while final sanction is still awaited. This whole area 646.56 hac. should have been proposed for diversion under single proposal at once. Nevertheless, Again the proposal FP/RJ/MIN/149012/2021 for diversion of remaining forest land for mining operations have been submitted. The mining department proposes to auction mines of pink/red sandstone by diverting 248.5515 hac. area of Bansi Pahadpur A and B forest blocks. | | | |
| 9. | Whether proposal involves any construction of building (including residential) or not. If yes, details thereof: | Not Reported. | | | |
| 10. | Position of displacement of people and adequacy of rehabilitation, if any | Reported NIL. | | | |
| 11. | Mining plan: | Briefly worded not exhaustive. Complete mining plan with reclamation procedure should be prepared and approved by the competent forest authority. | | | |


मुख्य वन संरक्षक
भारतपुर (राज.)

| | |
|-----|---|
| 12. | <p>Position with respect to any eco-sensitive area/national park/sanctuary:</p> <p>The area earlier was part of Bandh Baretha Wildlife Sanctuary but the sanctuary boundaries have been rationalized. Now Eco-sensitive zone of Bandh Baretha wildlife sanctuary is crossing the proposed land. Out of total area, the area lying inside of eco-sensitive zone is 15.30 ha. (schematic map is attached in miscellaneous documents)</p> |
| 13. | <p>Wildlife:</p> <p>Whether forest area proposed for diversion is important from wildlife point of view: As the area is fragmented and lies embedded in a mining zone (both legal and illegal), its relevance as far as wildlife is concerned is quite low. Very rare visit of wild animals has been observed in past 5-7 findings. No movement of leopard/tiger or other big cats has been observed here in past decade as per records of the forest department.</p> <p>Vegetation:</p> <p>Whether any rare/endangered/unique species of flora and fauna found in the area. If so, the details thereof NO</p> <p>Total 12023 tress of different species, have been reported for falling/cutting by the DCF, Bharatpur.</p> |
| 14. | <p>Non Forest land used in the project :</p> <p>Non Forest Land for use in project is reported NIL.</p> |
| 15. | <p>Compensatory afforestation:</p> <p>1. The proposed CA NFL is already in the charge of DCF Bharatpur and forms the part of Range Kaman. This land was handed to the forest deparment on the condition that it will be used in lieu of future diversion proposals.</p> |
| 16. | <p>Whether proposal involves violation of Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 or not. If yes, a detailed report on violation including action taken against the concerned officials:</p> <p>No violation has been done by the user agency.</p> |
| 17. | <p>Whether there are any alternative sites/routes/alignment for locating the project on the non-forest land:</p> <p>No.</p> |
| 18. | <p>Utility of the project:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Will ensure production of aesthetically beautiful sand stone. 2. Employment generation in the region. 3. Reduction in illegal mining. |
| 19. | <p>Whether any protected archaeological/heritage site/defence establishment or any other important monument is located in the area. If so, the details thereof with NOC from component authority, If required NO</p> |
| 20. | <p>Whether land being diverted has any socio-cultural/ religious value:</p> <p>Not reported</p> |

(Signature)



(Tikam Chand Verma)
Chief Conservator of Forests
Bharatpur