


SITE INSPECTION REPORT OF COMPENSATORY AFFORSTATION AREA AGAINST

GOLDEN PAGODA

01	Legal status of the forest land proposal for diversion	Manabhum Reserved Forests.
02	Item-wise break up details of the forest land proposed for diversion	Total requirement of forest land for de-reservation against Golden Pagoda under Manabhum Reserve Forests = 19.52 Ha.
03	Whether proposal involves any construction of buildings (including residential) or not. If yes, details thereof.	Yes 1. Golden Pagoda. 2. Meditation Centre. 3. Library. 4. Guest House. 5. Monk Resident. 6. Monk Hostel. 7. Old age home. 8. Heritage Site.
04	Total cost of the project at present rates.	Rs. 300 lakhs.
05	<b>Wildlife:-</b>  Whether forest area proposed for diversion is important from wildlife points of view or not	No
06	<b>Total number of trees felled of girth:-</b>  i) Number of trees to be felled of girth below 120 cm.	0
	ii) )Number of trees to be felled of girth above 120 cm.	11 Nos.
07	Back ground note of the proposal:-	Diversion of 19.52 Ha. area against Golden Pagoda under Manabhum Reserve Forest.
08	Compensatory Afforestation:-  i) Whether land for Compensatory Afforestation is suitable for plantation and management point of view or not.	Yes.
	ii) Whether land for Compensatory Afforestation is free from encroachment /other encumbrances.	Yes.
	Whether land for Compensatory Afforestation is important from Religious/Archaeological point of view	No.
	Land identified for raising Compensatory Afforestation is in how many patches, Whether patches are compact or not	Compensatory Afforestation area under Tengapani Reserve Forest are in 2 (two) patches. Plot I- 16.4 Ha. & Plot II-23.2 Ha.
	Map with details	Compartment number and name: 70 (P) and 71 (P) under Tengapani Reserve Forest. (Copy Enclosed)
	Total financial outlay	
	NPV	Rs. 1,22,19,520 (Rupees One Crore Twenty Two Lakhs Nineteen Thousand Five Hundred Twenty) only.
	Overhead cost	
	Cost of CA	Rs. 1,22,19,520 (Rupees One Crore Twenty Two Lakhs Nineteen Thousand Five Hundred Twenty) only.
09	Whether proposal involves violation of Forest	No.

	(Conservation) Act, 1980 or not. If yes, a detailed report on violation including action taken against the concerned officials.	
10	Whether proposal involves rehabilitation of displaced persons. If yes, whether rehabilitation plan has been prepared by the State Government or not.	No
	Details are furnished especially if rehabilitation plan would affect any other forest areas by trans-locating outstees in and around the said forest.	Not Applicable
11	Reclamation plan	Not Applicable
12	Details and financial allocation: -  Details on catchment and command area under the project. Catchment area treatment plan to prevent siltation of reservoir.	Not applicable.
13	Cost benefit ratio.	Enclosed
14	Recommendation of the Principal Chief Conservator of Forests/State Government.	
15	Recommendation of the regional Chief Conservator of Forests along with detailed reasons.	
16	Regional Chief Conservator of Forests shall give detailed comments on whether; there are any other alternative routed alignments for locating.	

  
 T. Jambh (APFS)  
 Divisional Forest Officer  
 Namsai Forest Division, Namsai  
 Divisional Forest Officer  
 Namsai Forest Division  
 Namsai (A.P.)