

PART - II

(To be filled by the concerned Deputy Conservator of Forests)

State Serial No. of Proposal _____

7.	Location of the Project/Scheme:	:	
	(i) State /Union Territory	:	Telangana.
	(ii) District	:	Ranga Reddy
	(iii) Forest Division	:	Hyderabad (T)
	(iv) Area to Forest Land proposed for Diversion (in Ha.)	:	12.23 Ha.
	(v) Legal status of Forest	:	List of Forest Blocks showing legal status is enclosed.
	(vi) Density of Vegetation	:	Density of vegetation Forest Block wise is enclosed.
	(vii) Species- wise (Scientific Names) and diameter class-wise enumeration of tree (to be enclosed. In case of irrigation/hydel projects enumeration at FRL, FRL-2 meter & FRI-4 meter also to be enclosed).	:	The species wise (Scientific Names) and diameter class-wise enumeration of trees is enclosed.
	(viii) Brief note on Vulnerability of the forest area to erosion.	:	As reported in the site inspection of the Divisional Forest Officer.
	(ix) Approximate distance of proposed site for diversion from boundary of forest.	:	Passing through Reserve Forests as per maps enclosed.
	(x) Whether forms part of National park, wildlife sanctuary, biosphere reserve, tiger reserve, elephant corridor , etc. (if so, the details of the area and comments of the chief wildlife warden to be annexed).	:	-No-
	(xi) Whether any rare/endangered / unique species of flora and fauna found in the area if so detail thereof.	:	-No-
	(xii) Whether any protected archaeological/heritage site/ defense establishment or any other important monument is located in the area. If so, the details thereof with NOC from competent authority, if required.	:	-No-
8.	Whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the user agency in col.2 of part-1 is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project. If no, recommended and area item-wise with details of alternatives examined.	:	Yes, the area proposed for diversion of land is necessary, unavoidable and is barest minimum for the project as per the user agency project proposals.
9.	Whether any work in violation of the Act has been carried out (yes/No). If yes details of the same including period of work done, action taken on erring officials. Whether work in violation is still in progress.	:	-No-
10.	Details of Compensatory afforestation scheme:	:	
	(i) Details of non-forest area/degraded forest area identified for compensatory afforestation, its distance from adjoining forest, number of patches, size of each patch	:	The non-Forest land is identified for compensatory afforestation in WLM Division, Nagarjunasagar division, in Nalgonda District.

	(ii) Map showing non-forest/degraded forest area identified for compensatory afforestation and adjoining forest boundaries.	:	Does not arise in Hyderabad (T) Division in view of 10 (i)
	(iii) Detailed compensatory afforestation scheme including species to be planted, implementing agency, time schedule, cost structure, etc.	:	Does not arise in Hyderabad (T) Division in view of 10 (i)
	(iv) Total financial outlay for compensatory afforestation scheme.	:	Does not arise in Hyderabad (T) Division in view of 10 (i)
	(v) Certificated from competent authority regarding suitability of area identified for compensatory afforestation and from management point of view. (To be signed by the concerned Deputy Conservator Forests).	:	Does not arise in Hyderabad (T) Division in view of 10 (i)
11.	Site inspection report of the DCF (to be enclosed) especially highlighting facts asked in col.7 (xi.xii), 8 & 9 above.	:	Enclosed
12.	Division/District profile.	:	
	(i) Geographical area of the district.	:	7, 49,321 Ha.
	(ii) Forest area of the district.	:	70437.93 Ha.
	(iii) Total forest area diverted since 1980 with number of cases.	:	217.744 ha diverted in (19) cases.
	(iv) Total compensatory afforestation stipulated in district/division since 1980 on (a) forest land including penal compensatory afforestation, (b) Non-forest land.	:	Nil 32.21 Ha
	(v) Progress of compensatory afforestation as on (date) 05/01/2011 on (a) forest land (b) non-forest land	:	Nil 32.21 Ha
13.	Specific recommendations of the DCF for acceptance of otherwise of the proposal with reasons	:	As the present project is aimed to supply of safe drinking water to the public in Rangareddy district and there is no alternate suitable routes as per Hydraulic designs and topography of the area as reported by the user agency, the project is recommended.

Signature

Name: Sri B. Srinivas, I.F.S.,
Divisional Forest Officer (T)
Hyderabad & R.R. District.

Date: /09/2016,
Place: Hyderabad.