

स्थल निरीक्षण रिपोर्ट

बण्डा सिचाई परियोजना हेतु आवेदित वनभूमि रकवा 494.15 हे. एवं राजस्व वनभूमि रकवा 11.35 हे. कुल रकवा 505.50 हे. का दिनांक 05.07.2019 को मेरे द्वारा स्थल निरीक्षण किया गया, निरीक्षण में पायी गयी स्थिति अनुसार आवेदित वनक्षेत्र के संबंध में बिन्दुवार जानकारी निम्नानुसार है :-

| Sl. No. | Particular | Inspection Report by DFO (T.) |
|---------|--|---|
| 1 | Extent is hectares. | 505.50 hac. |
| 2 | Location (lat-long) of the forest land proposed for diversion. | According to attached GPS Reading List. |
| 3 | Legal status of the forest land (Protected forest, reserved forest, revenue forest lands or any other forest land) | PF- 277, 194, 195, 192, 218, 191, 276, 403, 404, 405, 406, 407, Kh. No. 161, 270, 1515, 154, 158, 206, 165, 196 (Protected Forest) RF- 258, 207, 378, 379, 380 (Reserved Forest) |
| 4 | Demarcation of the area with temporary cairns etc. | Yes |
| 5 | Any signs of encroachment. | No |
| 6 | Any activity already taken up within the forest land or adjoining non-forest land as part of the proposed project by the user agency. Details of action taken against the User Agency in case of violation of the FC Act and guidelines there under. | No |
| 7 | Status of vegetation. Site quality, species composition etc. | Mixed Forest, IV-B, V-A, V-B density 0.2 to 0.5 |
| 8 | Importance of area from wildlife point of view. Status of wildlife (density and abundance of important species, bird life reptiles, butterflies and other scheduled animals, any endangered wildlife) Any latest census of wildlife in this area. | Area has no importance from wildlife point of view. |
| 9 | Endemism of flora/fauna or any other unique ecosystem in the area. | Not applicable |
| 10 | Current land use. Is this area managed as per prescriptions in the Working Plan and, if not, why? | Current Working plan are doing revise |
| 11 | Importance of the area from historical or religious point of view. | Area has no importance from historical or religious point of view. |
| 12 | Any dependent persons/families on the land. | No, Persons/Families are dependent on the proposed area. |
| 13 | Any displacement of persons proposed. | No displacement proposed. |
| 14 | Is there any Rehabilitation and Resettlement Plan for the persons to be affected? Is there any dissenting voice among the persons to be affected? Is there any dissenting voice among the persons proposed to be displaced? | As no persons/families are dependent on the proposed area, therefore no resettlement and rehabilitation plan is applicable and there is no dissenting voice. |

वन मण्डलाधिकारी
उत्तर वन मण्डल, रायगढ़

| | | |
|----|--|--|
| | Compensatory afforestation proposed is on forest land or non-forest land. Location of the area, suitability of the area for CA. If in the degraded forest land then what is the current Working Plan prescription for the area? Distance of the non-forest land for CA from the nearest forest area. Number of patches in case the area should be more than 10 kms. | According to attached transfer order of revenue land. |
| 16 | Proposed area should not be part of any protected area. Also distance from the boundary of the nearest protected area should be more than 10 kms. | Proposed area is not a part of protected area. Proposed area is at above 100 km. from Panna Tiger Reserve. |
| 17 | Dependence of tribals in the area. Whether the rights of the tribals have been recognized in the area. | Rights of the Tribals has been recognised. There are no tribals residing on the proposed area. |
| 18 | Utility of the project, including the people living in close vicinity of the project. | Project is of great importance from national point of view and also for development of agricultural and allied activities, including generation of employment to those living in close vicinity of the project. |
| 19 | In case of renewal whether all the conditions stipulated in the earlier sanction order have been complied with. | It is an application for allocation of fresh forest land. |
| 20 | Alternatives examined by the user agency in case of non-site specific projects. | Yes, alternatives are examined and proposed forest land is minimum and inevitable. |
| 21 | A certificate by the user agency that the forest land requested for diversion for non-forestry purpose is bare minimum. | Certificate is obtained from the user agency. |
| 22 | Any scope of saving tree growth while ensuring that the purpose for which the forest land is being diverted is also not adversely affected. | No |
| 23 | Any other issue of significance. | No |
| 24 | Specific recommendations of the DFO with reasons for approval of the project. | Banda Irrigation Project of National Importance and it will provide Water for Irrigation. Therefore it is recommended for approval of diversion of 505.50 hac. of forest land for construction of aforesaid project. |

Divisional Forest Officer
North Division Sagar

