PART-II

(To be filled by the concerned Deputy Conservator of Forests)

7. Location of the Project/Scheme.

(i) State/Union	Troject Benefite.						
Territory.		Odisha.					
(ii) District.	Keonjhar.						
(iii) Forest Division.	Keonjhar Forest Division.						
(iv) Area of Forest land	The area of the fo						
proposed for	The area of the forest land proposed for diversion is 0.744 ha. The detailed						
diversion (in ha.)	pattern of utilization in respect of applied forest land is produced hereunder						
	Purpose of Utilization	Reserved	Proposed	Revenue	DLC	Total	
	Othization	Forest (R.F.) in ha	Reserved Forest	Forest	Forest	Forest	
		III IIa	(PRF) in	in ha	in ha	Land in ha	
	Iron Ore Slurry	0.36	0.024	0.274	0.096	0.744	
	Pipeline System	0.50	0.024	0.274	0.086	0.744	
	Total	0.36	0.024	0.274	0.086	0.744	
(v) Legal status of	Reserved Forest	=	0.360 ha		0.000	0.744	
Forest.	Proposed Reserved F	Forest =	0.024 ha				
1 Olest.	Revenue Forest	=	0.274 ha				
	DLC Forest	=	0.086 ha				
(vi) Density of	Total	==	0.744 ha	a			
vegetation.	The proposed site for laying of underground pipeline runs from Kitabeda of Barbil Tahasil to Taramakanta of Banspal Tahasil in Keonjhar district involves Thakurani RF, Baitarani RF, Naibaga RF, Taramakanta PRF, Revenue Forest and DLC forest. Thakurani and Baitarani RF are sub grouped under type 3C/C _{2e} Moist Peninsular Low Level Sal and Naibuga RF is sub grouped under type 5B/C ₂ Northern Dry Mixed Deciduous Forest. The prevailing vegetation in the applied Revenue and DLC forest is sporadic and patchy with dominance of Sal and Sal associates. The Reserved Forests, involved in the project, are placed under Eco Value Class- I. The prevailing forest growth comprises the sal forests of Bhuyan & JuangPirh plateau and Joda-Barbil plateau region stretching along Chamakpur-Bonai border region extending over a large area. The main associates of sal are Pterocarpus marsupium, Terminalia alata, Emblica officinalis, Bridelia retusa, Gmelina arborea, Cleistanthus collinus, Careya arboria, Diospyrus melanoxylon, Butea parviflora, Woodfordia fruiticosa, Zyziphus spp. The main climbers associated with sal in these areas are Combretum decandrum, Butea superba, Bahunia vahlii, Smilax tetraphylla etc. The important grass species are Heteropogon contortus, Aplida mutica, Dicanthium carricosum, Cynodondactylon, Chrysopogon aciculatus etc. The canopy density of the prevailing forest growth varies from 0.3 to 0.7 and is kept at 0.5.						
(vii) Species wise (Scientific names) and diameter class wise enumeration of trees in unbroken/broken	Species wise and diam applied forest land is e	neter class wise a enclosed as Anno	bstract of texure- <u>V</u> \(\lambda	rees enumer	ated in resp	pect of	
area.							
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1	

(viii) Brief note on vulnerability of the forest area to erosion	There are 70 nos. of trees (35 - sound trees and 35 - unsound trees) standing on the forest land proposed for diversion for laying of Iron Ore Slurry Pipeline for transportation of iron Ore in slurry form from Kitabeda village under Barbil Tahasil to Taramakanta village under Banspal Tahasil in Keonjhar Forest Division. The pipeline can be laid with minimum removal of forest growth in the proposed corridor. However, the User Agency is required, to address the impact of the project on the forest growth and forest floor, to make good the land after use and make good any loss to forest/environment. In addition, it is also suggested, keeping in view the removal of tree growth and digging of forest floor for laying underground pipeline, to take up intensive soil and moisture conservation measures along both sides of the proposed pipeline project in the forest blocks.
(ix) Approximate distance of the	The proposed underground pipeline system will be laid inside Thakurani RF,
proposed site for	Baitarani RF, Naibaga RF, Taramakanta PRF, Revenue Forest and DLC Forest within RoW of Existing Roads.
diversion from boundary of	
forest.	
(x) Whether forms part	The applied area does not form part of any National Park, Wildlife Sanctuary,
of National Park, Wild Life	Biosphere Reserve, Tiger Reserve, Elephant Corridor etc. Movement of wild
Sanctuary,	elephants is often witnessed in the applied forest land and adjoining fringe area.
Biosphere Reserve, Tiger	The applied site falls under elephant habitat zone- 2 as mentioned by ORSAC,
Reserve, Elephant	Bhubaneswar.
Corridor etc. (If so, the details of	
the area and	
comments of the	
Chief Wild Life Warden to be	
annexed.	
(xi) Whether any	No rare or endangered species is available in the applied area for diversion but
rare/endangered/u nique species of	movement of wild elephants is often noticed in the applied forest land and adjoining
Flora and Fauna	fringe area.
found in the area	
if so, details there of	
(xii) Whether any	No archeological/heritage site/ defense establishment or any other important Monument
protected	is located in the area.
Archeological/her itage site/ defense	
establishment or	
any other	
important Monument is	
located in the	
area. If so, details	
thereof with NOC from competent	
Authority, if	
required.	
8. Whether the requirement of	The proposed alignment route i.e. Alternative - 1 is the barest minimum and unavoidable. The other two elements of the state of the sta
forest land as	avoidable. The other two alternative alignments opted for the project involve more forest land and therefore, will require more number of trees to be removed.
proposed by the	in require more number of trees to be removed.
User Agency in	

column 2 of Part I is un-avoidable and barest minimum for the project. If no, recommended area item wise with details of alternatives examined. 9. Whether any work in violation of the Act has been carried out (Yes/No). If yes, details of the same including period of work done, action taken No violation is observed in the forest land, involved in the instant div proposal and proposed for diversion under FC Act, 1980, in respect of underground Iron Ore Slurry Pipeline by M/s Jindal Steel & Power Limited.	avina
barest minimum for the project. If no, recommended area item wise with details of alternatives examined. 9. Whether any work in violation of the Act has been carried out (Yes/No). If yes, details of the same including period of work No violation is observed in the forest land, involved in the instant div proposal and proposed for diversion under FC Act, 1980, in respect of underground Iron Ore Slurry Pipeline by M/s Jindal Steel & Power Limited.	avina
recommended area item wise with details of alternatives examined. 9. Whether any work in violation of the Act has been carried out (Yes/No). If yes, details of the same including period of work No violation is observed in the forest land, involved in the instant div proposal and proposed for diversion under FC Act, 1980, in respect of underground Iron Ore Slurry Pipeline by M/s Jindal Steel & Power Limited.	avina
item wise with details of alternatives examined. 9. Whether any work in violation of the Act has been carried out (Yes/No). If yes, details of the same including period of work No violation is observed in the forest land, involved in the instant div proposal and proposed for diversion under FC Act, 1980, in respect of underground Iron Ore Slurry Pipeline by M/s Jindal Steel & Power Limited.	avina
details of alternatives examined. 9. Whether any work in violation of the Act has been carried out (Yes/No). If yes, details of the same including period of work No violation is observed in the forest land, involved in the instant div proposal and proposed for diversion under FC Act, 1980, in respect of underground Iron Ore Slurry Pipeline by M/s Jindal Steel & Power Limited.	avina
alternatives examined. 9. Whether any work in violation of the Act has been carried out (Yes/No). If yes, details of the same including period of work No violation is observed in the forest land, involved in the instant div proposal and proposed for diversion under FC Act, 1980, in respect of underground Iron Ore Slurry Pipeline by M/s Jindal Steel & Power Limited.	avina
examined. 9. Whether any work in violation of the Act has been carried out (Yes/No). If yes, details of the same including period of work No violation is observed in the forest land, involved in the instant div proposal and proposed for diversion under FC Act, 1980, in respect of underground Iron Ore Slurry Pipeline by M/s Jindal Steel & Power Limited.	avina
9. Whether any work in violation of the Act has been carried out (Yes/No). If yes, details of the same including period of work No violation is observed in the forest land, involved in the instant div proposal and proposed for diversion under FC Act, 1980, in respect of underground Iron Ore Slurry Pipeline by M/s Jindal Steel & Power Limited.	avina
in violation of the Act has been carried out (Yes/No). If yes, details of the same including period of work	avina
Act has been carried out (Yes/No). If yes, details of the same including period of work	aying
out (Yes/No). If yes, details of the same including period of work	
same including period of work	
period of work	
	·
uone, action taken	
on erring officials.	
Whether work in	ì
violation is still in	ļ
progress.	
10. Details of Non-forest Private land over 2 630 ha has been identified in will.	
under rangulara lanasi linder illrisdiction of Degraph Forget Division of	mula
the folest failed proposed for diversion over 2 062 ha in respect of two distinctions	_:
i.e. Reolijilar & Deogarn Forest Division. This includes 0.744 ha non forest	land
in neu of forest land proposed for diversion over 0.744 has under V	! 1
Division and 1.318 ha non-forest land, in lieu of forest land proposed	for
afforestation, its distance diversion over 1.318 ha, under Deogarh Division.	
from adjoining forest	1
number of patches, size Distance from adjoining forest – 0 Km	
of each patch. Number of Patches – One	
Size - 2.630 ha	
(ii) Map showing non- Map showing the Non-forest land identified for commence of	
forest/degraded forest	ıd
area identified for adjoining forest boundaries is enclosed as Plate No-VIII.	
compensatory	
afforestation and	
adjoining forest boundaries.	
The state of componitation and the state of	on,
afforestation scheme is enclosed vide Annexure \(\subseteq \tau \)	
including species to be	
planted, implementing	
agency, time schedule,	
cost structure, etc.	
(iv) Total financial outlay Rs. 17,22,611/-	
for compensatory afforestation scheme.	
competent authority	ing
regarding suitability of suitability of area identified for compensatory afforestation. The said certificat	e is
area identified for enclosed in the scheme prepared in this regard.	
compensatory	
afforestation and from	
management point of	

view. (To be signed by	
,	
the concerned Deputy	
Conservator of Forests).	
11 0' 1	
11. Site Inspection	
report of the DCF	Site Inspection report of the DCF is enclosed vide Annexure - XV.
(to be enclosed)	<u></u>
especially	
highlighting facts	
asked in column 7	
(xi,xii) 8 and 9	
above.	
12. Division/District	
Profile.	
(i) Geographical area	
of the district.	920200 000 1
of the district.	830300.000 ha
(ii) Forest area of the	336615.6293 ha
district.	
(iii) Total Forest area	10316.5406 ha
diverted since 1980	(75 Nos.)
with numbers.	(73 1103.)
(iv) Total	
compensatory	
afforestation	
stipulated in the District/Division	
since 1980 on	
(A) F	
(a) Forest land	
including Penal	
compensatory	C.A. 1669.6180 ha.
afforestation.	P.C.A. <u>4994.8675 ha</u> .
(b) Non-forest	
land.	
idild.	C.A- 7247.7931ha.
(v) Progress of	
Compensatory	
afforestation as on	
(date)	
(a) Forest land	C.A. 1152.58 ha.
	P.C.A. 2996.34 ha.
	2770:37 114.
(b) Non-forest	
land.	C.A 5983.9016 ha.
13. Specific	The total forest land involved in the project is 0.744 ha under Keonjhar Forest
recommendation of	Division. This includes 0.360 ha RF, 0.024 ha PRF, 0.274 ha Revenue Forest and
the D.C.F. for	0.086 ha DLC Forest land. The proposed area is unavoidable and the barest
acceptance or other	minimum for the purpose of laying underground pipeline from village Kitabeda
wise of the	under Barbil Tahasil to Village Taramakanta under Banspal Tahasil of Keonjhar
proposal with	District. There is no sign of prevalence of endangered, threatened and vulnerable
reasons.	wild fauna in the said area except movement of wild elephant often noticed in the
	applied areas. The Pipeline route is aligned within the RoW of existing Roads.
	The repoint route is angued within the Row of existing Roads.
	The following site specific recommendation is greated to
	The following site specific recommendation is suggested in respect of the aforesaid diversion proposal-
	arotopara arversion proposar-

1. Intensive soil and moisture conservation measures along both sides of the proposed pipeline project in forest blocks.

2. Site Specific Wildlife Conservation Plan in addition to an elephant anti-depredation scheme for Barbil, Champua and BJP Range.

The said proposal, is therefore, submitted for necessary approval u/s- 2(ii) of FC Act, 1980 taking the aforesaid facts into consideration.

Date: $16-09\cdot2017$ Place. Keonjhar