PART – II

(To be filled by the concerned Deputy Conservator of Forests)

State Serial No. of Proposal _____

7	Location of the Project / Scheme		
i)	State / Union Territory	:	Telangana State
ii)	District	:	Nizamabad
iii)	Forest Division	:	Kamareddy
iv)	Area of forest land proposed for diversion (in ha)	:	1.272 Ha.
v)	Legal status of forest	:	Vellutla – I Forest Block un-notified
vi)	Density of Vegetation	:	0.4
vii)	Species wise (Scientific names) and diameter class wise enumeration of trees (to be enclosed. In case of irrigation / hydel projects enumeration at FRI...1 FRI ...2 meter and FRL – 4 meters also to be enclosed).	:	Enclosed.
viii)	Brief note on vulnerability of the forest area to erosion	:	Negligible
ix)	Approximate distance of proposed site for diversion from boundary of forest	:	Passing through Reserve Forest
x)	Whether forms part of National Park, wildlife sanctuary, biosphere reserve, tiger reserve, elephant corridor, etc. (If so, the details of the area and comments of the Chief Wildlife Warden to be annexed)	:	No
xi)	Whether any rare / endangered / unique species of flora and fauna found in the area – if so details thereof.	:	There is no any rare/ endangered/ unique species in the proposed R.F area. But there are '12' no.of mother teak trees are existing in the proposed area.

xii)	Whether any protected archaeological / heritage site / defence establishment or any other important monument is located in the area. If so, the details thereof with NOC from competent authority, if required.	:	No
8	Whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the user agency in col. 2 of Part I is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project. If no, recommended area item wise with details of alternatives examined		Proposed forest area is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project.
9	Whether any work in violation of the Act has been carried out (Yes / No). If yes, details of the same including period of work done, action taken on erring officials. Whether work in violation is still in progress		No violation
10	Details of compensatory afforestation scheme:		
i)	Details of non forest area/degraded forest area identified for compensatory afforestation, its distance from adjoining forest, number of patches, size of each patch		Non forest area an extent of 4.306 Ha. was identified in Sy.No.312/1 of Kyasampally Village, Kamareddy Mandal, Nizamabad District
ii)	Map showing non-forest / degraded forest area identified for compensatory afforestation and adjoining forest boundaries		Enclosed
iii)	Detailed compensatory afforestation scheme including species to be planted, implementing agency, time schedule, cost structure etc.		Enclosed
iv)	Total financial outlay for compensatory afforestation scheme		Rs.156.84 lakhs

v)	Certificates from competent authority regarding suitability of area identified for compensatory afforestation and from management point of view (To be signed by the concerned Deputy Conservator of Forests)	Area is suitable for plantation.
11	Site Inspection report of the DCF (to be enclosed) especially highlighting facts asked in col. 7 (xi, xii), 8 and 9 above.	Enclosed.
12	Division / District profile:	
i)	Geographical area of the district	2,99,916 Ha. (2999.16 Sq.Kms)
ii)	Forest area of the district	170767.66 Ha. (1707.67 Sq.Kms)
iii)	Total forest area diverted since 1980 with number of cases	68.565 Ha/ 7 cases
iv)	Total compensatory afforestation stipulated in the district / division since 1980 on	
	a) forest land including penal compensatory afforestation	49.810 Ha.
	b) non – forest land	---
v)	Progress of compensatory afforestation as on (date) 29.02.2016 on forest land/ non – forest land	49.810 Ha (22.654 Ha Stage-I approval has given by the Govt. For Power Grid Project)

13	Specific recommendation of the DCF for acceptance or otherwise of the proposal with reasons	❖ There is no alternate way except this area. ❖ No effect on flora & fauna on formation of road. ❖ This is very important road which connects to inter state transportation i.e., Maharashtra and Karnataka. ❖ The User agency should fell barest minimum of trees and should fell if require only. ❖ Side walls should be constructed to avoid soil erosion at one place. ❖ Removal of trees for the purpose of widening may add to the erosion factor which should also be taken care of. Hence a detailed soil conservation plan is proposed and enclosed. The proposal is recommended with the above conditions. **Hence recommended.**

Date : 09-05-2016

Place : Kamareddy

Signature

M. JOJI

Name

Official Seal

Divisional Forest Officer,
Kamareddy.