

## Site Inspection Report

(Request for permission for **Morand- Ganjal Joint Pariyojana 188.886 Hec. in**  
**North Betul Division)**


S.No.	Particular	Inspection Report By DFO NORTH (T) BETUL					
1	Extent is hectares.	188.886 Hec.					
2	Location (lat-long) of the forest land proposed for diversion.	S. No.	Range	Com.No.	Proposed Area in Hec.	GPS Reading	
						Latitude	Longitude
		1		74	57.104	22°18'18.184"N	77°30'26.453"E
		2		75	22.299	22°18'14.737"N	77°30'48.746"E
		3		76	0.149	22°17'47.395"N	77°31'11.132"E
		4		80	22.685	22°18'40.004"N	77°31'14.284"E
		5		81	45.377	22°19'0.841"N	77°31'13.990"E
		6		82	12.496	22°19'38.147"N	77°32'23.372"E
		7		83	26.815	22°20'17.718"N	77°32'24.222"E
		8		84	1.085	22°20'15.158"N	77°33'16.563"E
		9		88	0.876	22°20'14.063"N	77°33'43.633"E
				<b>Total:-</b>	<b>188.886</b>		
3	Legal status of the forest land (Protected forest, reserved forests, revenue forest lands or any other forest land)	Reserved forest.					
4	Demarcation of the area with temporary cairns etc.	Yes.					
5	Any signs of encroachment.	Nil.					
6	Any activity already taken up within the forest land or adjoining non-forest land as part of the proposed project by the user agency. Details of action taken against the User Agency in case of violation of the FC Act and guidelines there under.	No.					

7	Status of vegetation. Site quality, species composition etc.	Teak Forest , Miscellaneous Forest & Bamboo Forest. RF.- 74 Site quality III, IVa RF.- 75 Site quality II, III, IVa RF.- 76 Site quality III, IVa RF.- 80 Site quality II, III, IVa RF.- 81 Site quality III, IVa RF.- 82 Site quality III, IVa RF.- 83 Site quality II, III, IVa RF.- 84 Site quality III, IVa RF.- 88 Site quality II, III, IVa
8	Importance of area from wildlife point of view. Status of wildlife (density and abundance of important species, bird life reptiles, butterflies and other scheduled animals, any endangered wildlife) Any latest census of wildlife in this area.	wildlife animals Tiger, Panther, Bison, Blue Bull, Sambher, Spotted Deer, Indian Wildboar.
9	Endemism of flora / fauna or any other unique ecosystem in the area.	Nil.
10	Current land use. Is this area managed as per prescriptions in the Working Plan and, if not, why ?	Yes.
11	Importance of the area from historical or religious point of view.	No.
12	Any dependent person / families on this land.	No.
13	Any displacement of person proposed.	No.
14	Is there any Rehabilitation and Resettlement Plan for the persons to be affected? Is there any dissenting voice among the persons proposed to be displaced ?	No.



15	Compensatory afforestation proposed is on forest land or non-forest land. Location of this area, suitability of the area for CA. If in the degraded forest land then what is the current Working Plan prescription for the area? Distance of the non-forest land for CA from the nearest forest area. Number of patches in case the area should be more than to kms.	Compensatory afforestation Land as per Guideline & appropriate for plants has not been proposed.
16	Proposed area should not be part of any protected area. Also distance from the boundary of the nearest protected area should be more than 10 kms.	Proposed area in not a part of protected area. Distance of proposed area is more than 10 kms. from protected area.
17	Dependence of tribal's in the area. Whether the rights of the tribal's have been recognized in this area.	Under process.
18	Utility of the project, including the people living in close vicinity of the project.	Ground water level will increase and drinking water will be available for wild animals.
19	In case of renewal whether all the conditions stipulated in the earlier sanction order have been complied with.	Fresh Proposal.
20	Alternatives examined by the user agency in case of non-site specific projects.	Site Specific.
21	A certificate by the user agency that the forest land requested for diversion for non-forestry purpose is bare minimum.	Attached with online application.
22	Any scope of saving tree growth while ensuring that the purpose for which the forest land is being diverted is also not adversely affected.	No.

23	Any other issue of significance.	Nil.
24	Specific recommendations of the DFO with reasons for approval of the project.	Morand Ganjal Joint Pariyojna will provide Irrigation Approx 64111 Hectare Agriculture land and this Project will also provide Water for domestic use to Approx 201 Villages. As such Proposed 188.886 Hectare forest land is Recommended for diversion with provision as per FCA, 1980.

  
**RAKESH KUMAR DAMOR (IFS)**  
**Divisional Forest Officer**  
**North Betul (T) Division**  
**Place: BETUL**  
**Date: 04.01.2023**