

Site Inspection Report

A proposal has been received by this office from Manager, Jio Digital Fiber Pvt. Ltd, Ahemdabad for Permission for Diversion (under FCA) of **0.2934** Ha. of forest land for a non forestry purpose. The Project envisages the use of forest land of (koddha to Anvarpura) (R.F) The side Inspection of the land involved in the proposal has been done by me on **Dt. 10/02/2020**. inspection of the side it is found that the land require by the user agency is a R.F. **0.2934 Ha.** The requirement of forest land as proposed by the agency in col-2 of part-1 is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project. No protected Archaeological/Heritage site? Defense establishment of any other important monuments is located in the area. No rare/endangered/unique spices of flora and fauna are found in the area.

Sr.no	Particular	Inspection Report by DFO (T)
1	Extent in hectares	0.2934
2	Location (Lat-Long) of the forest land proposed for Diversion	N 23'33'12.57" E71'30'5.66" N 23'35'20.44" E71'32'44.79"
3	Legal status of the forest land (protected forest, Reserved forest, Revenue forest land or any other forest land)	Reserved Forest
4	Demarcation of the area with temporary cairns etc.	Demarcation on demanded area
5	Any signs of encroachment	No, there is no signs of encroachment
6	Any activity already taken up within the forest land or adjoining on forest land as part of the proposed project by the user agency. Details of action taken against the user agency in case of violation of the FC Act and guidelines there under.	No, There Is no any sign of Violation
7	Status of vegetation, site, quality, species composition etc.	Annexture -16 enclosed
8	Importance of area from wildlife point of view, status of wildlife (density and abundance of important species, bird life reptiles, butterflies and other scheduled animals any endangered wildlife). Any latest census of wildlife in this area.	With an overview of the proposal, I find that there is no harm to wildlife.
9	Endemism of flora / fauna or any other unique ecosystem in the area.	No. there is no such unique ecosystem in priscibe area.
10	Current land use. Is this area managed as per prescriptions in the working plan and if not, why	altogether it is a Reserved Forest area
11	Importance of the area from historical or religious point of view.	No, there is no historical and religious content in this area
12	Any department of persons proposed	No, any person proposed for this site
13	Any displacement of person proposed	No
14	Is there any Rehabilitation and Resettlement plan for the person to be affected? Is there any dissenting	No, there is no any Rehabilitation plan for this demanded area.
15	Compensatory afforestation proposed Is on forest land or non – forest land. Location of this area, suitability of the area for CA. If in the degraded forest land then what is the current working plan prescription for the area? Distance of the non – forest land for CA from the nearest forest area. Number of patches in case the area should be more than 10 kms.	Total CA Scheame:- 1 hect. Sr.no 42/ pt, village:-Chanasara, Ta:-Santalpur, Dist:-patan

16	Proposal area should not be part of any Protected area. Also distance from the boundary of the nearest protected area should be more than 10 kms.	Demanded Area is part Of Reserved Forest Area
17	Dependence of tribal in the area, Whether the rights of the tribal have been recognized in this area.	No, there is no tribal family available in this demanded area
18	Utility of the project, including the people living in closed vicinity of the project.	Extra privilege is been given to the vicinity people and they will be benefited from this project
19	In case of renewal whether all the conditions stipulated N/A in the earlier sanction order have been complied with.	Yes. All terms and conditions will be followed
20	Alternatives examined by the user agency in case of non – site specific projects.	Yes, but there is no any alternative for this proposal
21	A certificate by the user agency that the forest land requested for diversion for non – forestry purpose is bare minimum.	Yes, they will use minimum forest land for the nonforestry purpose
22	Any scope of saving tree growth while ensuring that the purpose for which the forest land is being diverted is also not adversely affected.	NO There is No any Tree will be uprooted
23	Any other issued of significance	No, there no any other issue
24	Specific recommendation of the DFO with reason for approval of the project.	0.2934 hec. is recommended to divert this land for the purpose.

Place : Patan

Date : 12/01/2021


Deputy Conservator of Forests
Patan Forest Division, Patan

I have inspected the project in Length on and recommend for diverting the land requested in the proposal for the purpose.

Chief Conservator of Forests

- N.B. * State the purpose for which the forest land is proposed to be diverted.
 ** Out of (a) and (b) tick () the option which is applicable and cross (x) the option which is not applicable.

As per letter No.2-2/2000-FC,Dt.16/10/2000 from Ministry of Environment and Forest, Govt. of India, for proposals involving less than 40 Ha. Of forest land. The site inspection report from DYCF is required and for proposal involving more than 40 ha. Of Forest land Site inspection report from the conservator of forest is required.